

CHAMBERS GLOBAL PRACTICE GUIDES

Securitisation 2023

Definitive global law guides offering comparative analysis from top-ranked lawyers

Luxembourg: Law & Practice Vassiliyan Zanev and Natalja Taillefer Loyens & Loeff

practiceguides.chambers.com

LUXEMBOURG

Law and Practice

Contributed by: Vassiliyan Zanev and Natalja Taillefer Loyens & Loeff see p.24



Contents

	Structurally Embedded Laws of General	
A	Application	р.З
1.1	Insolvency Laws	р.З
1.2	Special Purpose Entities (SPEs)	p.4
1.3	Transfer of Financial Assets	p.5
1.4	Construction of Bankruptcy-Remote Transactions	p.7
2. T	ax Laws and Issues	p.7
2.1	Taxes and Tax Avoidance	p.7
2.2	Taxes on SPEs	p.7
2.3	Taxes on Transfers Crossing Borders	p.8
2.4	Other Taxes	p.8
2.5	Obtaining Legal Opinions	p.9
3. A 3.1	Accounting Rules and Issues	p.9
0.1	Rules	p.9
3.2	Dealing With Legal Issues	p.10
4. L	aws and Regulations Specifically	
F	Relating to Securitisation	p.10
4.1	Specific Disclosure Laws or Regulations	p.10
4.2	General Disclosure Laws or Regulations	p.11
4.3	Credit Risk Retention	p.11
4.4	Periodic Reporting	p.12
4.5	Activities of Rating Agencies	p.13
4.6	Treatment of Securitisation in Financial Entities	p.14
4.7	Use of Derivatives	p.15
4.8	Investor Protection	p.15
4.9	Banks Securitising Financial Assets	p.16
4.10	SPEs or Other Entities	p.16

4.11	Activities Avoided by SPEs or Other	
	Securitisation Entities	p.18
4.12	Material Forms of Credit Enhancement	p.19
4.13	Participation of Government-Sponsored	
	Entities	p.19
4.14	Entities Investing in Securitisation	p.19
5. C	ocumentation	p.19
5.1	Bankruptcy-Remote Transfers	p.19
5.2	Principal Warranties	p.19
5.3	Principal Perfection Provisions	p.20
5.4	Principal Covenants	p.20
5.5	Principal Servicing Provisions	p.20
5.6	Principal Defaults	p.20
5.7	Principal Indemnities	p.20
6. F	Roles and Responsibilities of the	
	Roles and Responsibilities of the Parties	p.21
		p.21 p.21
F	Parties	
F 6.1	Parties Issuers	p.21
F 6.1 6.2	Parties Issuers Sponsors	p.21 p.21
F 6.1 6.2 6.3	Parties Issuers Sponsors Underwriters and Placement Agents	p.21 p.21 p.21
F 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	Parties Issuers Sponsors Underwriters and Placement Agents Servicers	p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21
F 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6	Parties Issuers Sponsors Underwriters and Placement Agents Servicers Investors	p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21
F 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6	Parties Issuers Sponsors Underwriters and Placement Agents Servicers Investors Trustees	p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21
F 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6 7. S	Parties Issuers Sponsors Underwriters and Placement Agents Servicers Investors Trustees Synthetic Securitisation	p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21
F 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6 7. S 7.1	Parties Issuers Sponsors Underwriters and Placement Agents Servicers Investors Trustees Synthetic Securitisation Synthetic Securitisation Regulation and	p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21
F 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6 7. S 7.1	Parties Issuers Sponsors Underwriters and Placement Agents Servicers Investors Trustees Synthetic Securitisation Synthetic Securitisation Regulation and Structure	p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21
F 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6 7.5 7.1 8.5	Parties Issuers Sponsors Underwriters and Placement Agents Servicers Investors Trustees Synthetic Securitisation Synthetic Securitisation Regulation and Structure Specific Asset Types	p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21 p.21

1. Structurally Embedded Laws of General Application

1.1 Insolvency Laws Securitisation Law

Securitisation transactions in Luxembourg are governed by the Luxembourg Law of 22 March 2004 on securitisation, as amended (the "Securitisation Law"). The law of 25 February 2022 amended the Securitisation Law in order to modernise the framework for securitisation transactions in Luxembourg.

The Securitisation Law aims to ensure the bankruptcy remoteness of securitisation undertakings and their insulation from the financial risk of the originator. In order to benefit from the bankruptcy remoteness regime under the Securitisation Law, it is necessary that:

- the transaction satisfies the substantive criteria of the securitisation set out in the Securitisation Law; and
- the Luxembourg securitisation undertaking (also referred to here as an SPE) submits itself to the provisions of the Securitisation Law in its articles of incorporation, management regulations or issue documents.

Regarding the first condition, the Securitisation Law defines a securitisation as a transaction by which a securitisation undertaking (i) acquires or assumes, directly or indirectly through another undertaking, risks relating to claims, other assets, or obligations assumed by third parties or inherent to all or part of the activities of third parties, and (ii) issues financial instruments or contracts for the whole or part of any kind of loan, the value or yield of which depends on such risks. Despite this very broad definition, the Luxembourg Supervisory Commission of the Financial Sector (*Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier*, or CSSF) clarifies in its guidelines on securitisation dated 23 October 2013 (the "Securitisation FAQ") that the main purpose of a securitisation transaction under the Securitisation Law must be an economic "transformation" of certain risks into securities and that the parties should comply with the legal definition of securitisation and the spirit of the law.

Insolvency Regime

Luxembourg SPEs are subject to the general insolvency regime set out in the Luxembourg Commercial Code. The main risk associated with insolvency proceedings initiated in Luxembourg is the claw-back of the assets transferred to the SPE in the course of the securitisation.

Regarding the qualification (and, consequently, potential recharacterisation) of the legal nature of the transfer of the securitised assets as a "true sale" or a secured loan, this is, in principle, determined in accordance with the law applicable to the transfer instrument. This law would normally be chosen depending on the jurisdiction where the securitised assets and, where applicable, the underlying debtors are located. Most securitisations in Luxembourg involve assets located abroad, and thus the transfer documents are typically not governed by Luxembourg law. For this reason, the qualification of the transfer as a true sale or a secured loan is most often a matter of foreign law.

Irrespective of the law applicable to the transfer, the Securitisation Law provides expressly that an SPE's obligation to reassign the securitised claims back to the transferor included in the securitisation documents may not give basis for the requalification of the assignment and the risk

Contributed by: Vassiliyan Zanev and Natalja Taillefer, Loyens & Loeff

that the assignment would be considered as a secured loan is thus limited as a matter of Luxembourg law.

Similarly, foreign law would usually also apply with regard to the grounds for the claw-back of the assets transferred to the SPE, as the originators and sellers in a securitisation transaction are normally located outside Luxembourg. Where Luxembourg law does apply, certain transactions entered into, or payments made, during the pre-bankruptcy hardening period (which is of a maximum of six months and ten days preceding the bankruptcy judgment, except in the case of fraud, where no time limit is applied) could be clawed back; for example:

- any transfer of assets made without consideration;
- any payment of debt that has not fallen due, as well as any payment of due debt if made by any means other than in cash or by bill of exchange; and
- any other payment of due debt or any other act made by the insolvent company after it has ceased payments to its creditors (such cessation of payments being one of the bankruptcy criteria in Luxembourg), if the counterparty was aware of such cessation of payment.

The Securitisation Law excludes the claw-back risk in relation to security interests granted by the SPE no later than the time of issuance of the financial instruments or the conclusion of the agreements secured by such security interests, notwithstanding the security interests being extended to new assets or claims.

The Securitisation Law seeks to mitigate the risk of bankruptcy by recognising standard non-petition, limited recourse and subordination

provisions included in the documentation governing the securitisation transaction (please see **1.2 Special-Purpose Entities**) that are meant to exclude the occurrence of the bankruptcy proceedings in the first place.

1.2 Special Purpose Entities (SPEs)

Securitisation transactions in Luxembourg are usually structured to avoid a potential bankruptcy of the SPE. For this purpose, securitisation undertakings are normally set up under – and need to comply with – the Securitisation Law to be able to benefit from its protection.

As bankruptcy remoteness is mostly a factual matter, the following criteria generally need to be satisfied (and the relevant provisions are included as standard in the issuance and corporate documentation of an SPE) for an SPE to be sufficiently protected against the risk of bankruptcy:

- restrictions on corporate object and activities in the articles of association of the SPE and in the issuance documents are meant to ensure that the SPE will not engage in any transactions other than the relevant securitisation transaction;
- debt limitation provisions in the issuance documents are meant to limit the number of creditors that may potentially file for insolvency of the SPE;
- independent directors and separateness covenants in the securitisation documents are meant to mitigate the risk of potential consolidation of the SPE with any other entity (including the originator); and
- security interests over the securitised assets of the SPE are meant to give the investors a priority over such assets vis-à-vis other creditors.

Contributed by: Vassiliyan Zanev and Natalja Taillefer, Loyens & Loeff

Structurally, securitisation undertakings are normally set up to eliminate any corporate connection with the originator in order to avoid a potential consolidation for the purpose of any bankruptcy, accounting or tax laws. For this reason, shares in an SPE would generally be held by an orphan; for example, a Dutch foundation (*stichting*) or an Anglo-American charitable trust.

Contractually, the securitisation documentation and/or the constitutional documents of an SPE would usually include standard non-petition, limited recourse and subordination provisions, which are expressly recognised by the Securitisation Law. Any proceedings initiated in front of a Luxembourg court in breach of non-petition provisions will be declared inadmissible.

The Securitisation Law includes statutory subordinations rules that determine the rank of various instruments that can be issued by an SPE. This order of priority may be overridden by the constitutional documents of, or any agreement entered into by, the SPE and any proceedings initiated in breach of either such default waterfall, or the overriding provisions will be declared inadmissible.

In Luxembourg, it is also possible to set up a compartmentalised SPE, as a result of which the estate of the SPE would effectively be segregated into different compartments, each representing a distinct part of the assets and liabilities of the securitisation undertaking, ring-fenced by law, including in the event of its bankruptcy.

The recourse rights of the creditors are, as a rule, limited to the assets of the SPE. Where such rights relate to a specific compartment, the recourse of the relevant creditors is then limited to the assets of that compartment. Please see 4.10 SPEs or Other Entities with regard to the multitude of corporate forms available for an SPE under Luxembourg law.

1.3 Transfer of Financial Assets

The validity, enforceability and perfection of the transfer of financial assets are a matter of the applicable law determined pursuant to the Luxembourg conflict of law rules, which, in turn, depend on the types of assets being transferred.

Conflict of Law Rules

In regard to the assignment of, or security over, receivables, Article 14 of Regulation (EC) 593/2008 on the law applicable to contractual obligations (the "Rome I Regulation") provides that:

- the relationship between the assignor/security provider and the assignee/security taker is governed by the law applicable to the agreement between such parties; and
- the law governing the underlying claims determines (i) the question of whether that claim can be assigned or made subject to a security interest, (ii) the relationship between the assignee/security taker and the debtor, (iii) the conditions under which the granting of an assignment of, or a security interest over, that claim can be enforced against the debtor, and (iv) the question of whether the debtor's obligations under that claim have been paid and discharged in full.

The Securitisation Law also contains certain conflict of law rules applicable in securitisations. In particular, and in line with Article 14 of the Rome I Regulation, the following matters are subject to the law governing the receivable:

• the transferrable nature of the receivable;

Contributed by: Vassiliyan Zanev and Natalja Taillefer, Loyens & Loeff

- the relationship between the transferee and debtor;
- the conditions of effectiveness of the transfer against the debtor; and
- the satisfactory nature of the payment made by the debtor.

While Article 14 of the Rome I Regulation does not provide for any conflict of law rules in relation to the enforceability of an assignment of receivables vis-à-vis third parties, the Securitisation Law states explicitly that it is the law of the location of the transferor that governs the effectiveness of the assignment towards third parties. This solution offered by the Securitisation Law is consistent with the approach adopted in the EU Commission proposal of 12 March 2018 for a regulation on the law applicable to the third-party effects of assignments of claims (the "Proposal"). According to the Proposal, the third-party effects of an assignment of receivables would be subject to the law of the country in which the assignor has its habitual residence.

It is notable that the Proposal also offers an option for securitisation transactions where the assignor and the assignee would be able to choose the law applicable to the assigned receivable to govern the third-party effects of the assignment.

Regarding assets other than receivables, the creation, perfection and enforcement of a security interest over, or transfer of, assets is governed by the law where such asset is located, notwithstanding the contractual choice of the parties.

In practice, the originators, sellers and securitised assets are prevailingly located abroad and thus the perfection of the transfer of (or the security interest over, as the case may be) such assets would not be governed by Luxembourg law.

Luxembourg Perfection Requirements

Where Luxembourg law applies, perfection requirements depend on the type of the relevant financial asset. Regarding the receivables, the assignment of an existing claim to or by an SPE becomes effective both between the parties and against third parties as from the moment the assignment is agreed on (unless agreed otherwise). While the assignment of a future claim is conditional on it coming into existence, as soon as the claim does come into existence, the assignment becomes effective between the parties and against third parties as from the moment the assignment is agreed on (unless agreed otherwise) despite the opening of bankruptcy proceedings or any other collective proceedings against the assignor, even if such proceedings are opened before the date on which the claim comes into existence.

The Securitisation Law does not require notification of the assigned debtor for the purpose of the perfection of the assignment. Nevertheless, the debtor can validly discharge its obligations to the transferor if it has not become aware of the transfer. A transfer of receivables entails a transfer of any related guarantees and/or security interests and its enforceability by operation of law against third parties, without any further formalities.

In the case of other assets, it is recommended to assess the relevant perfection requirements on a case-by-case basis, depending on the type of the asset.

Contributed by: Vassiliyan Zanev and Natalja Taillefer, Loyens & Loeff

Requirements for a Transfer to be Deemed a True Sale

As described in **1.1 Insolvency Laws**, the qualification of a transaction as a true sale or a secured loan would normally be subject to the laws governing the sale agreement (which is, in turn, generally chosen based on the location of the assets to be transferred). As the securitised assets are rarely located in Luxembourg, foreign law would usually be applicable to such determination.

Where Luxembourg law does apply, the court would normally look at the economic substance of the transaction and the intention of the parties, as determined based on the available evidence. Unfortunately, there is little to no case law in Luxembourg, which would set the precise criteria. The Securitisation Law provides expressly that an SPE's obligation to reassign the securitised claims back to the transferor included in the securitisation documents may not give basis for the requalification of the assignment and the risk that the assignment would be regarded as a secured loan is thus limited.

As the qualification of the sale agreement is rarely a matter of Luxembourg law, true sale opinions are uncommon in Luxembourg and the practitioners would instead normally opine on the enforceability of the foreign-law judgments made with regard to such agreements.

1.4 Construction of Bankruptcy-Remote Transactions

A Luxembourg SPE governed by the Securitisation Law can also hold the securitised assets as a fiduciary for the investors, under the Luxembourg Law of 27 July 2003 on trust and fiduciary contracts. A Luxembourg fiduciary arrangement (*fiducie*) results in a separate fiduciary estate distinct from the personal estate of the fiduciary (or other fiduciary estates held by such fiduciary) and the assets forming part of the fiduciary estate can be seized only by the creditors whose rights relate to such estate, including in the case of bankruptcy or liquidation of the fiduciary.

Given that bankruptcy remoteness is mostly a factual matter, Luxembourg opinions would normally be issued only with regard to the validity of the non-petition, limited recourse and subordination provisions.

2. Tax Laws and Issues

2.1 Taxes and Tax Avoidance

According to the Securitisation Law, agreements entered into in the context of a securitisation transaction and all other instruments relating to the transaction are not subject to registration formalities, provided that they do not have the effect of transferring rights to (i) immovable property located in Luxembourg, which must be transcribed, recorded or registered; or (ii) aircraft, ships or riverboats recorded on a public register in Luxembourg. If they are nonetheless voluntarily registered, a fixed nominal registration duty applies.

2.2 Taxes on SPEs

A taxation regime applicable to a securitisation undertaking will depend on its legal form (see **4.10 SPEs or Other Entities**).

Securitisation Company

A securitisation company is subject to Luxembourg corporate taxes, levied at a combined general rate of 24.94% for 2022 in Luxembourg City. A securitisation company benefits from a special tax deduction right under which commitments towards investors and creditors are tax deductible. Hence, not only interest accruing on debt instruments but also profits available

for distribution to shareholders are tax deductible. As a result, but subject to the comments in the next paragraph, a securitisation company should, in principle, be income tax neutral.

The securitisation company's interest expenses and other commitments may, however, be subject to deduction limitations pursuant to an interest deduction limitation rule (IDLR) effective since 1 January 2019 upon implementation of the European Anti-Tax Avoidance Directive (EU) 2016/1164 of 12 July 2016 (ATAD 1). If a securitisation company realises income other than (i) interest income and (ii) taxable income that is economically equivalent to interest, it would, in principle, be affected by these rules. The deductions in respect of commitments would then be capped at the higher of 30% of EBITDA or EUR3 million; this may lead to corporate tax leakage.

As securitisation companies are liable to Luxembourg taxes, they should normally qualify as tax treaty residents. Ultimately, the relevant source country must confirm whether tax treaty benefits are granted to securitisation companies.

Securitisation Fund

A securitisation undertaking set up in the form of a fund (see **4.10 SPEs or Other Entities**) is not subject to Luxembourg corporate taxes, and thus also not subject to the IDLR. A securitisation fund would generally not qualify for tax treaty benefits.

Securitisation Partnership

The SPEs in the form of a common limited partnership (société en commandite simple) (SCS) or a special limited partnership (société en commandite spéciale) (SCSp) are also, in principle, transparent for Luxembourg tax purposes. Taxtransparent SPEs should still monitor the potential impact of the so-called "reverse hybrid rules" under ATAD 2.

2.3 Taxes on Transfers Crossing Borders Payments of interest by a securitisation company or a securitisation fund are not subject to Luxembourg withholding tax (subject to the below exception).

In relation to securitisation undertakings issuing shares, non-resident shareholders (those without a Luxembourg permanent establishment to which the shares of a securitisation company can be allocated) may be taxable in Luxembourg on so-called speculative gains (ie, capital gains realised within six months after acquisition in respect of a shareholding of more than 10%), unless an applicable tax treaty provides for an exemption.

Payments of interest or similar income on debt instruments made or deemed to be made by a paying agent (within the meaning of the Luxembourg Law of 23 December 2005) established in Luxembourg to an individual resident in Luxembourg will be subject to a withholding tax at a rate of 20%. Such withholding tax will be in full discharge of income tax if the individual beneficial owner acts in the course of the management of their private wealth.

2.4 Other Taxes Net Wealth Tax

A securitisation company is subject to the minimum annual net wealth tax, which should not exceed EUR4,815, provided that at least 90% of the assets of the securitisation company consist of financial-type assets such as shares, loans, securities and cash (which is typically the case). A securitisation fund is not subject to net wealth tax.

Contributed by: Vassiliyan Zanev and Natalja Taillefer, Loyens & Loeff

VAT

Management services provided to a securitisation undertaking benefit from a VAT exemption and VAT leakage is therefore reduced to a minimum. If they are specific and essential to the management of the securitisation undertaking, collateral management fees and investment advisory fees may be considered to be covered by this exemption. Subscription, underwriting and placement fees may also be VAT exempt, based on the general exemption of fees on the negotiation of securities.

A securitisation company qualifies, per se, as a VAT-taxable person in Luxembourg. As a result, the securitisation company must register for VAT if it receives services from non-Luxembourg service suppliers in order for it to self-assess the Luxembourg VAT (in the absence of a general exemption for such services).

FATCA

On 28 March 2014, Luxembourg signed an intergovernmental agreement (IGA) for the exchange of tax information with the USA under the US Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act (FATCA). The IGA was implemented in Luxembourg domestic law through a law dated 24 July 2015. Further guidance was published in the form of several circulars. As a result of the implementation of FATCA in Luxembourg, a review is also required for securitisation undertakings, after which, registration and reporting requirements may apply.

CRS

The OECD has developed the Common Reporting Standard (CRS), which aims at implementing automatic exchange of financial account information among participating countries. The CRS was implemented into Luxembourg law by the Law of 18 December 2015 that governs the classification of Luxembourg entities for CRS purposes.

Qualification as well as reporting considerations may apply, similar to the FATCA laws and regulations.

2.5 Obtaining Legal Opinions

Luxembourg legal opinions may contain opinions on taxation matters, such as absence of withholding tax and stamp duties. However, comprehensive tax opinions are, as a rule, issued by tax advisers.

3. Accounting Rules and Issues

3.1 Legal Issues With Securitisation Accounting Rules

All SPEs (including SPEs in the form of a common limited partnership (*société en commandite simple*) (SCS), a special limited partnership (*société en commandite spéciale*) (SCSp) and a general corporate partnership/unlimited company (*société en nom collectif*) (SENC)) have to prepare and publish annual accounts.

The annual accounts and financial statements of both regulated and unregulated SVs have to be audited by one or more approved Luxembourg independent auditors (*réviseurs d'entreprises agréés*). In case of a multi-compartments SV, each compartment will have to be separately detailed in the financial statements of the SV.

The Securitisation Law allows multi-compartments SPEs that are financed by equity, to approve the balance sheet and the profit and loss statement of each compartment by virtue of the votes of such compartment's shareholders only, provided that such option is included in their articles of association. Similarly, the articles

Contributed by: Vassiliyan Zanev and Natalja Taillefer, Loyens & Loeff

of association of an SPE may provide that profits, distributable reserves and mandatory legal reserves of a compartment, are determined on a separate basis and without reference to the financial situation of the SPE as a whole.

Also, to provide investors with an adequate overview, the CSSF recommends that the valuation of the underlying assets is to be carried out at fair value.

In practice, the originators are generally located outside Luxembourg and, for this reason, the balance sheet treatment of the transfer of securitised assets and the questions of consolidation would normally be dealt with by the accountants in the jurisdiction of the originator.

3.2 Dealing With Legal Issues

In Luxembourg, legal opinions do not generally cover accounting issues.

4. Laws and Regulations Specifically Relating to Securitisation

4.1 Specific Disclosure Laws or Regulations

Regarding transactions falling within the scope of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 of 12 December 2017 laying down a general framework for securitisation and creating a specific framework for simple, transparent and standardised securitisation (the "Securitisation Regulation"), the latter imposes extensive transparency obligations on the originator, the sponsor and the securitisation special purpose entities (SSPEs, as defined in the Securitisation Regulation).

The Securitisation Regulation defines "securitisation" as a transaction or scheme whereby the credit risk associated with an exposure or a pool of exposures is tranched, having all of the following characteristics:

- payments in the transaction or scheme are dependent upon the performance of the exposure or of the pool of exposures;
- the subordination of tranches determines the distribution of losses during the ongoing life of the transaction or scheme; and
- the transaction or scheme does not create exposures that possess all the characteristics listed in Article 147(8) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and investment firms and amending Regulation (EU) No 648/2012.

The Securitisation Regulation requires that the holders of a securitisation position, the competent authorities and the potential investors (upon request) are provided with, inter alia:

- · regular information on underlying exposures;
- prior to pricing, all underlying documentation that is essential for the understanding of the transaction, with an indicative list of the documents included in the Securitisation Regulation – the underlying documentation must include a detailed description of the priority of payments in the securitisation;
- prior to pricing, in the absence of a prospectus, a transaction summary or overview of the main features of the securitisation (including the structure of the deal, the cash flows and the ownership structure, exposure characteristics, the voting rights of the holders of a securitisation position and their relationship to other secured creditors);
- · regular investor reports; and

Contributed by: Vassiliyan Zanev and Natalja Taillefer, Loyens & Loeff

• any inside information and the significant events.

The originator, sponsor and SSPE must designate among themselves a reporting entity.

The Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2020/1224 of 16 October 2019 and the Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2020/1225 of 29 October 2019 entered into force on 23 September 2020 and are applicable with regard to the detailed disclosure requirements under the Securitisation Regulation, including various templates for the provision of information.

4.2 General Disclosure Laws or Regulations

In Luxembourg, the securitisation undertaking offering its securities – or, where applicable, the entities distributing or placing such securities with investors – must ensure compliance with the restrictions deriving from the Prospectus Regulation (EU) 2017/1129 (the "Prospectus Regulation") and the Law of 16 July 2019 on prospectuses for securities, as amended (the "Prospectus Law").

Pursuant to the Prospectus Regulation (and subject to the exemptions described below), no offer of debt securities may be made to the public in Luxembourg without the prior publication of a Prospectus Regulation-compliant prospectus duly approved by the CSSF or, as the case may be, the competent authority in another member state and duly passported in Luxembourg. Such prospectus needs to comply with the information requirements set out in the Prospectus Regulation and in the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2019/980 of 14 March 2019, including the relevant annexes. The Prospectus Regulation provides that an offer of debt securities to the public is exempted from the obligation to publish a prospectus if:

- the offer is addressed solely to qualified investors, as defined in the Prospectus Regulation;
- the offer is addressed to fewer than 150 natural or legal persons per member state, other than qualified investors;
- the offer is addressed to investors who acquire debt securities for a total consideration of at least EUR100,000 per investor, for each separate offer; and
- the offered securities have a denomination per unit of at least EUR100,000.

4.3 Credit Risk Retention

The Securitisation Regulation has replaced and consolidated risk retention requirements formerly spread across various sectoral laws. Generally, the originator, sponsor or original lender in respect of a securitisation must retain on an ongoing basis a material net economic interest in the securitisation of not less than 5% of the nominal value of the concerned exposures or, in the case of non-performing exposures (NPEs), where a non-refundable purchase price discount has been agreed, of the sum of the net value of the securitised exposures that qualify as NPEs and, if applicable, the nominal value of any performing securitised exposures. In addition, in an NPE securitisation, the servicer is allowed to take on the risk retention slice. The Securitisation Regulation also includes an exhaustive list of acceptable risk retention techniques.

Where the originator, sponsor or original lender has not agreed who will retain the material net economic interest, the latter must be retained by the originator. For the purposes of the risk retention provisions set out in the Securitisation

Contributed by: Vassiliyan Zanev and Natalja Taillefer, Loyens & Loeff

Regulation, an entity shall not be considered to be an originator where it has been established or operates for the sole purpose of securitising exposures.

Institutional investors investing in securitisation positions are required in the course of their mandatory due diligence to verify whether these risk retention formalities have been complied with.

Enforcement of the Securitisation Regulation

The CSSF and the Luxembourg Authority for the Insurance Sector (*Commissariat aux Assurances*, or CAA) (the latter only with regard to the entities generally submitted to its supervision) are the competent authorities in Luxembourg to ensure compliance by the originators, original lenders and SSPEs established in Luxembourg with Articles 6 to 9 of the Securitisation Regulation (ie, risk retention, transparency requirements, ban on re-securitisation and criteria for credit-granting), as well as with the simple, transparent and standardised (STS) securitisations framework.

The penalties for non-compliance with the above risk retention requirements are set out in the Luxembourg Law of 16 July 2019 implementing, among others, the Securitisation Regulation (the "SR Law"). Pursuant to the SR Law, the CSSF and the CAA may, within their respective competences, impose administrative sanctions in the event of an infringement (ranging from a public statement regarding the identity of the infringing person and the nature of the infringement to a monetary fine).

The CSSF and the CAA also enjoy certain investigative powers and may refer information to the State Prosecutor for criminal prosecution.

4.4 Periodic Reporting Statistical Reporting for All Securitisation Undertakings

All Luxembourg securitisation undertakings are subject to reporting obligations pursuant to Circular 2014/236 of the Luxembourg Central Bank (LCB) and Regulation (EU) No 1075/2013 of the European Central Bank (ECB) of 18 October 2013 concerning statistics on the assets and liabilities of financial vehicle corporations engaged in securitisation transactions, consisting of an initial registration obligation with the LCB, as well as ongoing reporting obligations (eg, liquidation or major changes in the information provided at the registration). Securitisation undertakings whose balance sheet exceeds certain thresholds will also need to comply with the periodic reporting obligations towards the LCB, including guarterly reports and monthly reports.

Pecuniary sanctions may be imposed on a defaulting SPE.

Reporting and Regulatory Requirements for Authorised Securitisation Undertakings Securitisation undertakings subject to authorisation

Luxembourg securitisation undertakings issuing financial instruments to the public on a continuous basis must be authorised and supervised by the CSSF and must, among others, comply with certain reporting and regulatory requirements.

Financial instruments are deemed to be issued on a continuous basis if there are more than three issuances of financial instruments offered to the public during a financial year. For multicompartments securitisation undertakings, this threshold is determined at the level of the securitisation undertaking on a consolidated basis, and not at the level of each compartment.

Public issuances are issuances of financial instruments:

- which are not intended for professional clients within the meaning of Article 1(5) of the law of 5 April 1993 relating to the financial sector, as amended (the "1993 Law") (which corresponds to the definition of professional clients for MiFID II purposes);
- whose denominations are less than EUR100,000; and
- which are not distributed on a private placement basis.

Criminal sanctions and fines may apply in case an SPE issues financial instruments to the public on a continuous basis without having obtained a prior authorisation from the CSSF.

Reporting

Authorised securitisation undertakings are required, among others, to present to the CSSF a copy of the securities issue documents, a copy of the financial and annual reports, and the documents issued by an auditor of the annual accounts, as well as any information on the change of a service provider, any change of fees or commissions, or the amendment of any substantial provisions of a contract (including the terms of the issued securities).

Additionally, authorised securitisation undertakings must provide to the CSSF on a semi-annual basis a report summarising new securities issuances, other upcoming issuances and the issuances matured during the relevant reporting period. The details of the report are further clarified in the Securitisation FAQ.

Finally, a draft balance sheet and profit and loss account of the securitisation undertaking (where

applicable, by compartment) is to be provided within 30 days of the financial year close.

The CSSF may impose upon the directors, managers and officers of authorised securitisation undertakings (and the liquidators, in the case of voluntary liquidation) a monetary fine in the event that they refuse to provide the financial reports and the requested information, or where such documents prove to be incomplete, inaccurate or false, as well as if the existence of any other serious irregularity is established.

4.5 Activities of Rating Agencies

Rating agencies are regulated by Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of 16 September 2009 on credit rating agencies as most recently amended by Directive 2014/51/EU of 16 April 2014 and by the Securitisation Regulation (the "CRA Regulation"). The CRA Regulation sets out the rules with regard to, inter alia, the registration procedure and the surveillance of credit agencies in the European Union. It also aims to address, among others, the over-reliance on credit ratings by financial institutions, which are now required to make their own credit risk assessment and may not mechanistically rely on credit ratings, potential conflicts of interest involving the credit agency or its relating persons, as well as various disclosure obligations of the rating agencies.

It is noteworthy that with regard to securitisation instruments (ie, financial instruments or other assets resulting from a securitisation transaction or scheme, as defined in the Securitisation Regulation), the CRA Regulation establishes a requirement of a double credit rating, to be issued by two credit rating agencies independent of each other. It also provides that the issuer of the securitisation instrument must consider appointing at least one credit rating agency with no more than 10% of the total market share. In

Contributed by: Vassiliyan Zanev and Natalja Taillefer, Loyens & Loeff

order to facilitate the evaluation of the relevant market share of the credit rating agency, the European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA) publishes on an annual basis a list of registered credit rating agencies, indicating their total market share.

The CRA Regulation also sets out a number of requirements with regard to ratings on resecuritisations, notably a mandatory rotation of credit rating agencies issuing ratings on resecuritisations with underlying assets from the same issuer every four years.

ESMA is in charge of the supervision of credit rating agencies and may impose pecuniary penalties on infringing credit rating agencies. The CSSF and the CAA are the competent authorities in Luxembourg for the purposes of implementing the CRA Regulation and verifying compliance with the obligations arising from this regulation by the entities subject to their respective supervision.

4.6 Treatment of Securitisation in Financial Entities

The EU capital and liquidity rules transposing the Basel III framework are included in Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and investment firms, as amended by Regulation (EU) 2019/876 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 May 2019 (CRR II) and Directive 2013/36/EU on access to the activity of credit institutions and the prudential supervision of credit institutions and investment firms (CRD IV), as amended by Directive (EU) 2019/878 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 May 2019 (CRD V). Luxembourg has implemented CRD IV by the Law of 23 July 2015. CRD V has been transposed and CRR II has been recently implemented in Luxembourg by the Law of 20 May 2021. This regulatory framework contains, among others, a minimum capital requirement for securitisation positions held by Luxembourg credit institutions and investment firms.

STS securitisation transactions under the Securitisation Regulation may, if certain additional criteria set out in CRR II are satisfied, be subject to lower regulatory capital requirements.

As most securitisation transactions in Luxembourg involve originators and investors located outside Luxembourg, local capital adequacy laws applicable to such originators and investors need to be considered.

On 27 October 2021, the EU Commission adopted a review of the EU banking rules including:

- a Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council amending CRD IV as regards supervisory powers, sanctions, third-country branches, and environmental, social and governance risks, and amending Directive 2014/59/EU (the "CRD VI Proposal");
- a Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council amending CRR II as regards requirements for credit risk, credit valuation adjustment risk, operational risk, market risk and the output floor (the "CRR III Proposal"); and
- a Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council amending CRR II and Directive 2014/59/EU (BRRD) as regards the prudential treatment of global systemically important institution groups with a multiple point of entry resolution strategy and a methodology for the indirect subscription of instruments eligible for meeting the minimum requirement for own funds and eligible liabilities (the "Daisy Chain Proposal").

Contributed by: Vassiliyan Zanev and Natalja Taillefer, Loyens & Loeff

While the CRD VI Proposal and the CRR III Proposal are still waiting for the committee decision, the final text of the Daisy Chain Proposal was published on 19 October 2022 through the Regulation (EU) 2022/2036 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

4.7 Use of Derivatives

Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 on over-thecounter derivatives, central counterparties and trade repositories - also known as the European Market Infrastructure Regulation, as amended by Regulation (EU) 2021/168 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 10 February 2021 amending Regulation (EU) 2016/1011 as regards the exemption of certain third-country spot foreign exchange benchmarks and the designation of replacements for certain benchmarks in cessation, and amending Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 (EMIR) - is directly applicable in Luxembourg. EMIR also applies to non-financial counterparties, which are very broadly defined. The CSSF confirmed in its press release 13/26 dated 24 June 2013 that securitisation undertakings are also covered, and may therefore be subject to EMIR obligations (notably clearing and reporting obligations).

Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 has been implemented in Luxembourg by the Law of 15 March 2016 on OTC derivatives, central counterparties and trade repositories, in respect of the sanctioning powers granted to the CSSF to guarantee the correct application of rules and requirements deriving from EMIR.

4.8 Investor Protection

The Securitisation Regulation and the Securitisation Law ensure a high degree of investor protection.

Aside from the stringent disclosure and reporting requirements (see **4.1 Specific Disclosure Laws or Regulations**), the Securitisation Regulation imposes a wide array of other requirements aiming to ensure adequate investor protection.

- The risk retention rules (see **4.3 Credit Risk Retention**) aim to eliminate a potential conflict of interest by aligning the incentives of the originator with the incentives of an SSPE (and, ultimately, the investors).
- The credit-granting requirements imposed on the originators, sponsors and original lenders aim to ensure the quality of the securitised assets.
- Institutional investors are subject to rigorous due diligence requirements. In particular, the investors must, among others:
 - (a) verify the credit-granting criteria of the originator or original lender and their internal processes and systems, where such originator or lender is not a credit institution or an investment firm established in the European Union;
 - (b) verify that the originator, sponsor or original lender complies with the risk retention requirements;
 - (c) verify the compliance of the originator, sponsor or original lender with the transparency requirements;
 - (d) carry out a due diligence assessment of the risk characteristics of the individual securitisation position and of the underlying exposures, all the structural features of the transaction, etc; and
 - (e) have written procedures in place in order to monitor compliance with the above obligations and the performance of the investment and underlying exposures, and perform regular stress tests, etc.

Contributed by: Vassiliyan Zanev and Natalja Taillefer, Loyens & Loeff

In Luxembourg, the Securitisation Law ensures the bankruptcy remoteness of a securitisation undertaking (see **1.1 Insolvency Laws**) and legal certainty with regard to the standard contractual tools used in securitisation deals, such as non-petition, limited recourse and subordination provisions (see **1.2 Special-Purpose Entities**).

Please see 4.4 Periodic Reporting and 4.2 General Disclosure Laws or Regulations in relation to additional reporting and disclosure rules in Luxembourg.

The Securitisation Regulation aims to protect retail investors by including certain restrictions with regard to the sale of securitised positions to retail clients, including a requirement to perform a suitability test in accordance with Article 25(2) of MiFID II.

Additionally, in the case of offerings made to retail investors, a key information document may need to be prepared, in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 1286/2014 on key information documents for packaged retail and insurancebased investment products.

Finally, MiFID II contains a number of requirements aiming to protect investors, including product governance, information and recordkeeping.

4.9 Banks Securitising Financial Assets

The Luxembourg Law of 8 December 2021 implementing the EU's Covered Bonds Directive (EU) 2019/2162 (the "Covered Bonds Law") entered into force on 8 July 2022 and regulates the issue of covered bonds (*lettres de gage*). Although the existing framework under the 1993 Law already provides for a special covered bonds regime for Luxembourg mortgage banks (*banques d'emission de lettres de gage*),

the Covered Bonds Law also allows the issuance of covered bonds by the standard banks without requiring a specialised licence for this purpose.

Luxembourg banks (including mortgage banks) are supervised by the CSSF and are subject to certain activity restrictions and other requirements under the 1993 Law and the Covered Bonds Law, including a mandatory over-collateralisation ratio.

4.10 SPEs or Other Entities Legal Form

In Luxembourg, a securitisation undertaking governed by the Securitisation Law can be set up as a company or fund.

A securitisation company is subject to the general corporate framework under the Luxembourg Law of 10 August 1915 (the "Companies Law") and can take the form of:

- a public limited company (société anonyme, or SA);
- a private limited company (société à responsabilité limitée, or Sàrl);
- a partnership limited by shares (société en commandite par actions, or SCA);
- a co-operative organised as a public limited company (société cooperative organisée sous forme de société anonyme);
- a general corporate partnership/unlimited company (société en nom collectif);
- a common limited partnership (société en commandite simple);
- a special limited partnership (société en commandite spéciale); or
- a simplified company limited by shares (société par action simplifiée).

The possibility to establish a securitisation undertaking as a common limited partnership

Contributed by: Vassiliyan Zanev and Natalja Taillefer, Loyens & Loeff

(société en commandite simple) (SCS) or a special limited partnership (société en commandite spéciale) (SCSp) provides for structuring opportunities for securitisation transactions, given the (in principle) tax-transparent nature of such partnerships.

A securitisation undertaking can also be set up as a fund (*fonds de titrisation*), managed by a Luxembourg-based management company (*société de gestion*) in accordance with its management regulations. A securitisation fund does not have legal personality and can be structured as (i) a co-ownership of assets or (ii) as a fiduciary arrangement where the assets are held by the fiduciary for the account of the investors. Securitisation funds are required to be registered with the Luxembourg Register of Commerce and Companies (RCS).

Please also see **1.1 Insolvency Laws** for other formal and substantive conditions of securitisation and **1.2 Special-Purpose Entities** for the compartmentalisation option of Luxembourg securitisation undertakings.

AIFMD

Directive 2011/61/EU of 8 June 2011 on Alternative Investment Fund Managers (AIFMD) and the Luxembourg Law of 12 July 2013 on alternative investment fund managers transposing the AIFMD (the "AIFM Law") address the question of whether a securitisation undertaking can be considered as an alternative investment fund (AIF).

Pursuant to the AIFMD and the AIFM Law, an SSPE, as defined thereunder, does not constitute an AIF. The definition of an SSPE under the AIFMD is different from the definition of an SSPE under the Securitisation Regulation. SSP-Es are defined in the AIFMD as entities whose sole purpose is to carry on a securitisation or securitisations within the meaning of Regulation ECB/2008/30 of the European Central Bank of 19 December 2008 concerning statistics on the assets and liabilities of financial vehicle corporations engaged in securitisation transactions and other activities that are appropriate to accomplish that purpose. Regulation ECB/2008/30 has been repealed by Regulation ECB/2013/40.

According to the Securitisation FAQ (with reference to the guidance note on the definitions of "financial vehicle corporation" and "securitisation" under Regulation ECB/2008/30 issued by the ECB), securitisation undertakings issuing collateralised loan obligations are considered as being engaged in securitisation transactions and, as a result, are not subject to the AIFM Law. In contrast, entities that primarily act as "first" lenders (ie, originating new loans) are not considered as being engaged in securitisation transactions and will thus fall within the scope of the AIFM Law. The same applies to securitisation undertakings issuing structured products that primarily offer a synthetic exposure to assets other than loans (non-credit-related assets) and where the credit risk transfer is only ancillary.

Independently from their potential qualification as SSPEs (for the purpose of the AIFMD), securitisation undertakings that only issue debt instruments should not, according to the Securitisation FAQ, constitute AIFs for the purpose of the AIFM Law. Similarly, irrespective of whether securitisation undertakings qualify as SSPEs for the purpose of the AIFMD, it is the view of the CSSF that securitisation undertakings that are not managed in accordance within a "defined investment policy" (within the meaning of the AIFM Law) do not constitute AIFs.

Contributed by: Vassiliyan Zanev and Natalja Taillefer, Loyens & Loeff

4.11 Activities Avoided by SPEs or Other Securitisation Entities

Public Issuance of Financial Instruments

A securitisation undertaking issuing securities to the public on a continuous basis within the meaning of the Securitisation Law (see **4.4 Periodic Reporting**) will be subject to authorisation and prudential supervision by the CSSF. Please see **4.10 SPEs or Other Entities** with regard to the application of the AIFMD and the AIFM Law to the securitisation undertakings.

Passive Management

While the Securitisation Law permits any kind of assets to be securitised, the nature of securitisation transactions requires that the securitised risks stem exclusively from the assets acquired or assumed by a securitisation undertaking in the course of the securitisation and not from any entrepreneurial or commercial activity of the securitisation undertaking. Thus, Luxembourg securitisation undertakings must have a passive attitude when managing their assets. The role of the securitisation undertakings should be limited to the administration of financial flows linked to a securitisation transaction itself and to the "prudent-man" management of the securitised risks, and exclude all activities likely to qualify the securitisation undertaking as entrepreneur. Any management by the securitisation undertaking that creates increased risk in addition to the risk inherent to such assets or which aims at creating additional wealth or promoting the commercial development of the securitisation undertaking's activities would be incompatible with the Securitisation Law, even if the actual management had been delegated to an external service provider. The new Securitisation Law allows active management only with regard to undertakings securitising debt securities, debt financial instruments and receivables, provided that the securitisation undertakings do not issue financial instruments to the public. This creates opportunities for actively managed CLO structures to be established in Luxembourg.

Loan Origination

Loan origination by a Luxembourg SPE is also restricted. Structures originating loans instead of acquiring them on the secondary market may fall under the definition of securitisation, provided that the securitisation undertaking does not finance its loan origination activity from the funds raised from the public and that the issuance documentation either clearly defines the assets servicing the repayment of the loans originated by the SPE or clearly describes the borrowers and/or the borrower selection criteria, as well as information on characteristics of the loans granted.

Financing Arrangements

The acquisition of the securitised risks by a securitisation undertaking must generally be financed through the issuance of financial instruments *(instruments financiers)* or by contracting for the whole or part of any kind of loan, the value or yield of which is linked to such risks. Both debt and equity financial instruments can be issued for this purpose.

The financial instruments for the purpose of the Securitisation Law are as defined in the Luxembourg law of 5 August 2005 on financial collateral arrangements, as amended, which definition covers a broad range of instruments, whether they are in physical form, dematerialised, transferable by book-entry or delivery, bearer or registered, endorseable or not and regardless of their governing law. The new regime allows the securitisation undertakings to contract loans in order to finance, wholly or in part, the acquisition of underlying assets.

Contributed by: Vassiliyan Zanev and Natalja Taillefer, Loyens & Loeff

According to the parliamentary works relating to the amendment of the Securitisation Law, the term "loan" comprises, irrespective of its accounting treatment, any kind of debt that gives rise to the obligation to reimburse the creditors, including instruments where such reimbursement obligation is dependent on the performance of the underlying assets or the financial situation of the securitisation undertaking.

Assignment of Assets and Granting of Security Interests

A securitisation undertaking cannot assign its assets, except in accordance with the provisions set forth in its constitutional or issuance documents. It may only grant security interests over its assets in order to secure the obligations that are related to the securitisation transaction.

4.12 Material Forms of Credit Enhancement

Third-party guarantees, letters of credit, reserve funds and over-collateralisation are standard credit enhancement tools. Often, the financial instruments issued by the securitisation undertaking are split into several tranches carrying different risk and return profiles. The tranching of credit risk is one of the main conditions for the transaction to qualify as a securitisation under, and for the application of the provisions of, the Securitisation Regulation.

4.13 Participation of Government-Sponsored Entities

Luxembourg is not known to participate in the securitisation market through government-sponsored entities.

4.14 Entities Investing in Securitisation

The vast majority of securitisation undertakings in Luxembourg are not regulated and, as a result, they usually target investors that are "professional clients" for the purposes of MiFID II, including credit institutions and investment funds.

In most cases, the investors in Luxembourg securitisation transactions are located abroad. Luxembourg does not impose any additional obligations in terms of such investors, but they must comply with their local rules and regulations (eg, diversification and capital adequacy rules).

Please see **4.8 Investor Protection** concerning the restrictions on the sale of securitisation positions to retail clients under the Securitisation Regulation.

5. Documentation

5.1 Bankruptcy-Remote Transfers

In practice, transfer documents are rarely governed by Luxembourg law and, hence, their content would be determined by the chosen law and the market practice of the relevant jurisdiction.

Where Luxembourg assets are involved, Luxembourg law requirements with regard to the transfer of the title and the perfection of such transfer (depending on the types of the assets) would normally be included, as well as the customary representations and covenants with regard to the status of the securitised assets, the underlying debtors, etc.

5.2 Principal Warranties

In practice, securitisation documents are rarely governed by Luxembourg law and the scope of the principal warranties would thus be determined by the applicable foreign law and market practice. Standard warranties generally cover the status of the parties, the validity and enforce-

Contributed by: Vassiliyan Zanev and Natalja Taillefer, Loyens & Loeff

ability of the documents, as well as warranties with regard to the securitised assets.

From the Luxembourg perspective, the following matters are usually subject to specific warranties:

- the securitisation undertaking being an unregulated securitisation undertaking within the meaning of the Securitisation Law (ie, not issuing financial instruments to the public on a continuous basis);
- management of assets in compliance with the Securitisation Law;
- separate treatment of assets allocated to different compartments (in the case of a multicompartment securitisation undertaking);
- the securitisation undertaking not being subject to the AIFMD and the AIFM Law; and
- the central administration and the "centre of main interests" of an SPE, as the latter term is used in Article 3(1) of Regulation (EU) 2015/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 May 2015 on insolvency proceedings (recast), being in Luxembourg.

Additional representations may be required in a securitisation transaction subject to the Securitisation Regulation.

5.3 Principal Perfection Provisions

Luxembourg law will be applicable with regard to the perfection of the transfer of, or a security interest over, Luxembourg assets (see **1.3 Transfer of Financial Assets**).

5.4 Principal Covenants

In practice, securitisation documents are rarely governed by Luxembourg law and the scope of the principal covenants would thus be determined by the applicable foreign law and market practice. From the Luxembourg perspective, the matters referred to in **5.2 Principal Warranties** would normally also be subject to the relevant covenants.

5.5 Principal Servicing Provisions

In practice, servicing documents are rarely governed by Luxembourg law and the scope of the relevant servicing provisions would thus be determined by the applicable foreign law. Usually, the standard provisions relating to the collection, enforcement and administration of the securitised assets, information obligations, and servicing fees are expected.

It is notable that the Securitisation Law expressly provides that, in the case of any insolvency proceedings opened with regard to the servicer, the SPE may claim any sums collected by the servicer on its behalf prior to the opening of the bankruptcy proceedings without other creditors having any rights to such amounts. It is currently unclear how this provision would be treated in insolvency proceedings opened outside Luxembourg.

5.6 Principal Defaults

In practice, securitisation documents are rarely governed by Luxembourg law and the scope of the relevant default provisions would thus be determined by the applicable foreign law and market practice. Non-payment, insolvency, a misrepresentation and a breach of other undertakings are the standard principal defaults.

5.7 Principal Indemnities

In practice, securitisation documents are rarely governed by Luxembourg law and the scope of the relevant indemnities provisions would thus be determined by the applicable foreign law and market practice.

6. Roles and Responsibilities of the Parties

6.1 Issuers

The issuer is a bankruptcy-remote SPE under the Securitisation Law acquiring the securitised risk and transferring it to the investors, mainly through the issuance of debt financial instruments. Most SPEs in Luxembourg are unregulated.

6.2 Sponsors

The sponsor is the originator or other entity initiating and co-ordinating the securitisation process. The Securitisation Regulation requires a sponsor to be a credit institution or an investment fund.

6.3 Underwriters and Placement Agents

The underwriter (often an investment bank) serves as an intermediary between the issuer and the investors in an offering. The underwriter analyses investor demand, provides guidance on structuring the transaction and underwrites the notes.

6.4 Servicers

The servicer is in charge of collecting and enforcing the securitised receivables. This role is often performed by the originator, but other specialised service providers may also be appointed.

According to the Securitisation Law, the securitisation undertaking may entrust the assignor or a third party with the collection of claims it holds as well as with any other tasks relating to the management thereof, without such persons having to apply for an authorisation under the legislation on the financial sector.

6.5 Investors

Investors acquire the financial instruments issued by the SPE. The largest investors are usually foreign pension funds, insurance companies, investment fund managers and commercial banks.

6.6 Trustees

The trustees usually act on behalf of the investors under the securitisation documentation and/or hold the security interests in favour of the noteholders. The form of the trustee appointment (trust or agency) and the scope of its rights and obligations are determined in the securitisation documentation, commonly subject to foreign law.

If subject to Luxembourg law, the Securitisation Law also allows the appointment of a fiduciary representative, having their registered office in Luxembourg and entrusted with the management of the SPE's investors' interests. The fiduciary representative may also be granted a power to act in the investors' interest in a fiduciary capacity, in which case the assets it acquires for the benefit of investors form a fiduciary estate separate from its own assets and liabilities.

7. Synthetic Securitisation

7.1 Synthetic Securitisation Regulation and Structure

Synthetic securitisation (where only the risk but not the title to the assets is transferred) is permitted under the Securitisation Law. The Securitisation Regulation generally recognises synthetic securitisation, except in the case of STS securitisations, where a true sale is generally required. Nevertheless, the European Commission published on 24 July 2020 a proposal for an amendment in relation to the Securitisation Regulation,

which has been implemented through Regulation (EU) 2021/557 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2021 to help the recovery from the COVID-19 crisis, introducing an STS framework also for synthetic securitisations.

Synthetic securitisation is subject to the same requirements under the Securitisation Law as a traditional securitisation. Any securitisation undertaking engaged in synthetic securitisation and issuing financial instruments to the public on a continuous basis would thus be subject to authorisation and prudential supervision by the CSSF.

Synthetic securitisation is governed by the same legal framework as traditional securitisation; that is, mainly the Securitisation Law and the Securitisation Regulation.

Synthetic securitisations involving the use of derivatives may be subject to EMIR (see **4.7 Use of Derivatives**).

The Securitisation Law provides expressly that securitisation transactions falling within its scope do not constitute activities subject to the Luxembourg Law of 6 December 1991 on the insurance sector (repealed by the Law of 7 December 2015 on the insurance sector). For this reason, there is no risk in Luxembourg that certain synthetic securitisation structures would trigger the licensing requirements under the insurance legislation.

Due to the business-oriented securitisation regime established by the Securitisation Law (which requires the presence of a securitisation undertaking), synthetic securitisation structures in Luxembourg are usually set up with the involvement of an SPE, which would enter into a derivative contract or a guarantee with the counterparty. Similarly to a traditional securitisation, the securitisation undertaking would then issue financial instruments to the investors and use the proceeds of the issuance to fund its obligations under such derivative contract or a guarantee and to collateralise such obligations.

8. Specific Asset Types

8.1 Common Financial Assets

The Securitisation Law does not, per se, limit the types of assets to be securitised and Luxembourg SPEs have been used to cover a wide array of assets (notably commercial loans, mortgage loans, auto loans and leases, trade receivables and non-performing loans). Nevertheless, the passive management requirement (please see 4.11 Activities Avoided by SPEs or Other Securitisation Entities) may have some practical implications for the types of securitised assets. Securitisation of tangible assets (notably movable assets and commodities) is acceptable, provided that the purpose of the transaction is to refinance those assets and to render them liquid.

An SPE may acquire the securitised assets directly or indirectly; ie, through intermediate holding vehicles.

The Securitisation Regulation is more restrictive with regard to the types of securitised assets and limits the securitisation transactions falling within its scope to credit risk only, expressly excluding exposures that possess all of the characteristics listed in Article 147(8) of the CRR; ie, exposures in physical assets where the debtor is created specifically to finance or operate physical assets or comparable exposures, contractual arrangements give the investors a substantial degree of control over the assets and the related income,

Contributed by: Vassiliyan Zanev and Natalja Taillefer, Loyens & Loeff

and such income is the primary source of repayment of the debt.

8.2 Common Structures

Luxembourg SPEs are generally adapted to securitisation of any type of financial asset.

Contributed by: Vassiliyan Zanev and Natalja Taillefer, Loyens & Loeff

Loyens & Loeff has a securitisation practice in Luxembourg that handles the structuring, regulatory and tax aspects of structured finance and securitisation transactions, including true sale and synthetic securitisation deals, collateralised loan obligations (CLOs), commercial mortgagebacked securities (CMBS), inventory securitisations, securitisation platforms and issuances of asset-backed securities. It has an outstanding record of representing issuers, originators and investors (including financial institutions, investment funds and large corporates) and working on both traditional and innovative securitisations involving various asset classes (for example, the first Islamic finance sukuk securitisation of IP rights). The team is part of a fully integrated firm with home markets in the Benelux and Switzerland, and offices in all major financial centres, such as London, New York, Paris, Zurich and Tokyo.

Authors



Vassiliyan Zanev co-chairs the Investment Management group of Loyens & Loeff and he leads the fund finance and securitisation practice of Loyens & Loeff in Luxembourg. He

represents a variety of financial institutions, investment funds and corporations across a range of structured finance and lending transactions, with particular emphasis on securitisation, fund finance and real estate finance. Vassiliyan is a member of the EMEA Advisory Council of the Fund Finance Association.



Natalja Taillefer is a counsel in the finance practice of the Luxembourg Investment Management team. She has extensive experience in representing investors,

originators and issuers in securitisation and structured finance transactions. Natalja is a member of the Luxembourg Bar (List IV) and Estonian Bar.

Loyens & Loeff Luxembourg S.à r.l.

18-20, Rue Edward Steichen L-2540 Luxembourg

Tel: +352 466 230 Fax: +352 466 234 Email: info@loyensloeff.lu Web: www.loyensloeff.lu



CHAMBERS GLOBAL PRACTICE GUIDES

Chambers Global Practice Guides bring you up-to-date, expert legal commentary on the main practice areas from around the globe. Focusing on the practical legal issues affecting businesses, the guides enable readers to compare legislation and procedure and read trend forecasts from legal experts from across key jurisdictions.

To find out more information about how we select contributors, email <u>Katie.Burrington@chambers.com</u>