LOYENS LOEFF



© Loyens & Loeff N.V. 2019 All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or in an automated database or disclosed in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopy, recording or otherwise) without the prior written permission of Loyens & Loeff N.V. Insofar as it is permitted, pursuant to Section 16b of the Dutch Copyright Act 1912 (Auteurswet 1912) in conjunction with the Decree of June 20, 1974, Dutch Bulletin of Acts and Decrees 351, as most recently amended by the Decree of December 22, 1997, Dutch Bulletin of Acts and Decrees 764 and Section 17 of the Dutch Copyright Act 1912, to make copies of parts of this publication, the compensation stipulated by law must be remitted to Stichting Reprorecht (the Dutch Reprographic Reproduction Rights Foundation, PO Box 3060, 2130 KB Hoofddorp, the Netherlands). For reproductions of one or more parts of this publication in anthologies, readers or other compilations (Section 16 of the Dutch Copyright Act 1912), please contact the publisher. This publication does not constitute tax or legal advice and the contents thereof may not be relied upon. Each person should seek advice based on his or her particular circumstances. Although this publication was composed with the greatest possible diligence, Loyens & Loeff N.V., the contributing firms and any individuals involved cannot accept liability or responsibility for the results of any

actions taken on the basis of this publication without their cooperation, including any errors or omissions. The contributions to this book contain personal views of the authors and therefore do not

reflect the opinion of Loyens & Loeff N.V.

Introduction

We are pleased to present the 14th edition of our Holding Regimes publication.

This publication provides a practical tool to compare the main features of the holding company regimes in the covered jurisdictions. Initially developed as an internal tool for our tax practitioners, the popularity of such tool led to the decision to share its usefulness on a wider basis with our friends and clients. We hope that you will find this annual update of the publication useful and that it will find a permanent place on your desk.

The jurisdictions included in this publication were selected based on a number of factors. The inclusion (or non-inclusion) of a particular jurisdiction does not entail judgment by Loyens & Loeff on such jurisdiction. The selected countries are included in alphabetical order.

This publication is intended as a tool for an initial comparison of the most relevant tax aspects of the selected holding company regimes and should not be used as a substitute for obtaining local tax advice. The information contained in this publication reflects laws that are in effect as per 1 January 2019, unless otherwise mentioned.

With respect to the selected jurisdictions in which Loyens & Loeff has offices with a domestic tax practice (Belgium, Luxembourg, the Netherlands and Switzerland), such offices have provided the information contained herein. With respect to the selected jurisdictions in which Loyens & Loeff has offices but no domestic practice (Hong Kong, Singapore and the United Kingdom), the information was gathered from publicly available sources and reviewed by local tax experts. With respect to the other selected jurisdictions, we obtained the information from the firms listed below. We gratefully acknowledge the contributions of the aforementioned local tax experts and the below-listed firms. Additional information regarding the holding company regime in the selected jurisdictions may be obtained by contacting one of the Loyens & Loeff offices at the addresses shown on page 81 or one of the contributing firms via their website shown below or the contact person listed on page 79.

Malta Francis J. Vassallo & Associates www.fjvassallo.com Ireland Matheson www.matheson.com Cyprus Elias Neocleous & Co www.neo.law

Cyprus Elias Neocleous & Co www.neo.law Mauritius BLC Robert & Associates www.blc.mu

Spain Cuatrecasas www.cuatrecasas.com

It will not have escaped anybody's attention that international taxation is developing at an unprecedented pace. The OECD/G20 Base Erosion and Profit Shifting ('BEPS') project presented by the G20 in 2015 has led to various developments, including amendments to the OECD Model Tax Convention, the introduction of Country-by-Country Reporting and Local File/Master File obligations for multinational enterprises and the Multilateral Convention to Implement Tax Treaty Related Measures to Prevent Base Erosion and Profit Shifting ('MLI') that will amend tax treaties of participating jurisdictions. As of 1 February 2019, 87 countries have signed the MLI. The MLI – and in particular the principal purposes test it contains – is expected to further accelerate the alignment of legal structures and business functions. Most recently (as of the finalisation date of this publication), the OECD outlined three policy options addressing tax challenges posed by the increasing digitalisation of the economy.

Also within the EU, BEPS-related developments are occurring rapidly. The Anti-Tax Avoidance Directive ('ATAD') was adopted by the European Council on 12 July 2016 and a supplement to ATAD ('ATAD 2'), was adopted on 29 May 2017. Many of the ATAD measures have become effective within the EU as from 1 January 2019. The anti-hybrid-mismatch rules of ATAD 2 will generally become effective in EU Member States on 1 January 2020 (except for certain rules which may, under circumstances, become effective on 1 January 2022). Furthermore, discussions on, for example, a Common (Consolidated) Corporate Tax Base within the EU remain ongoing.

Loyens & Loeff New York Mick Knops, editor

Table of contents

1. Tax on capital contributions

2. Corporate income tax

6. Income tax treaties / MLI

6.1 Signatory to the MLI / ratification

6.2 Income tax treaties and effect of the MLI

Part I - Belgium, Cyprus, Hong Kong, Ireland, Luxembourg and Malta

| | 1 | |
|----|-------|--|
| | 2.1 | Corporate income tax ('CIT') rate |
| | 2.2 | Dividend regime (participation exemption) |
| | 2.3 | Gains on shares (participation exemption) |
| | 2.4 | Losses on shares |
| | 2.5 | Costs relating to the participation |
| | 2.6 | Tax rulings |
| | | |
| 3. | With | holding taxes payable by the holding company |
| | 3.1 | Withholding tax on dividends paid by the holding company |
| | 3.2 | Withholding tax on interest paid by the holding company |
| | 3.3 | Withholding tax on royalties paid by the holding company |
| | | |
| 4. | Non | -resident capital gains taxation |
| | | |
| 5. | Anti- | abuse provisions / CFC rules / BEPS measures |
| | | |

Part II - Mauritius, the Netherlands, Singapore, Spain, Switzerland and the United Kingdom

| 1. | lax on capital contributions | | 41 |
|----|---|---------------------|----|
| 2. | Corporate income tax | | 42 |
| | 2.1 Corporate income tax ('CIT') rate | | 42 |
| | 2.2 Dividend regime (participation exemp | otion) | 44 |
| | 2.3 Gains on shares (participation exemp | otion) | 50 |
| | 2.4 Losses on shares | | 54 |
| | 2.5 Costs relating to the participation | | 56 |
| | 2.6 Tax rulings | | 59 |
| | | | |
| 3. | Withholding taxes payable by the holding | company | 61 |
| | 3.1 Withholding tax on dividends paid by | the holding company | 61 |
| | 3.2 Withholding tax on interest paid by the | ne holding company | 64 |
| | 3.3 Withholding tax on royalties paid by | the holding company | 66 |
| | | | |
| 4. | Non-resident capital gains taxation | | 67 |
| | | | |
| 5. | Anti-abuse provisions / CFC rules / BEPS | measures | 69 |
| 0 | Inches to the Pro-7MII | | 70 |
| 6. | Income tax treaties / MLI | | 72 |
| | 6.1 Signatory to the MLI / ratification | | 72 |
| | 6.2 Income tax treaties and effect of the | MLI | 73 |

Part I

Belgium, Cyprus, Hong Kong, Ireland, Luxembourg and Malta

1. Tax on capital contributions

| Belgium | Cyprus | Hong Kong | Ireland | Luxembourg | Malta |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| There is a flat fee of EUR 50. | There is a flat fee of EUR 105 for registration and an annual company maintenance fee of EUR 350. Notional interest deduction A notional interest deduction ('NID') is available on new equity capital introduced into companies and permanent | Hong Kong does not levy capital duty. A business registration fee is payable on an application for the incorporation of a company and the registration of a business. As of 1 April 2017, business registration fees are HKD 2,000 (for a | There is no capital contribution tax in Ireland. | There is no tax on capital contributions in Luxembourg. | There is no capital contribution tax in Malta. There is, however, a company registration fee of EUR 245 – 2,250, depending on the amount of the authorised share capital. |
| | establishments of foreign companies. The NID is limited to 80% of the taxable profit before deducting the NID, and no NID will be allowed in the event of losses. Unutilised NID cannot be carried forward to be offset against future years' profits. | one-year certificate) and HKD 5,200 (for a three-year certificate). In addition, companies are required to pay a levy for the Protection of Wages on Insolvency Fund on their business registration certificates. As of 1 April 2017, the amount of the levy is reduced to HKD 250 per annum (for a one-year certificate) and HKD 750 (for a three year certificate). | | | |
| | | a three-year certificate). A sale and purchase of shares in a Hong Kong company is subject to a stamp duty of HKD 5 plus 0.2% on the greater of the consideration and the market value. The stamp duty is levied on the buyer and the seller (each 0.1%). | | | |

2. Corporate income tax

2.1 Corporate income tax ('CIT') rate

| Belgium | Cyprus | Hong Kong | Ireland | Luxembourg | Malta |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| 29.58% (29% increased by a crisis surcharge of 2%). The | The general corporate income tax ('CIT') rate is 12.5%. | A two-tiered profits tax rates regime applies if the following | The rate is 12.5% on trading income and 25% on passive | The effective combined maximum CIT rate is 26.01%, | 35% |
| CIT rate will further decrease to 25% as from 2020. Under certain conditions, SMEs can benefit from a reduced rate of | Special defence contribution tax Interest received other than | cumulative conditions are met: (i) the person carries on a trade, profession or business in Hong Kong; | income. However, certain trading dividends from foreign subsidiaries located in an EU member state or in a country | consisting of national CIT, municipal business tax (Luxembourg City rate) and contribution to the | The combined overall effective rate may be reduced to between 0% and 10% by application of Malta's full imputation system |
| 20.4% on the first tranche of EUR 100,000 taxable income. | in, or closely related to, the ordinary course of business is subject to a 30% special | (ii) that trade, profession or business generates profits; and | with which Ireland has a double tax treaty or in a country which has ratified the Convention | unemployment fund. Net wealth tax | and refund mechanism. Malta operates a full imputation |
| Minimum taxable base 30% of the taxable income exceeding a first tranche of | defence contribution tax ('SDC tax') on the amount received, without any deduction for | (iii) the profits arise in or are derived from Hong Kong. | on Mutual Assistance in Tax Matters or whose principal class of shares (or the shares | Annual net wealth tax is levied on the net assets of a company as per January 1 of each year. | system such that dividends distributed carry a credit in favor of a recipient shareholder |
| EUR 1 million will qualify as a minimum effective taxable basis. | costs of earning the interest. The SDC tax is withheld at source if it concerns interest | The profits tax rate for the first HKD 2 million of corporate profits is 8.25%, while the | of a 75% parent company) is traded on a recognised stock exchange are taxed at 12.5%. | The first EUR 500 million of taxable net wealth is taxed at a rate of 0.5% and a reduced | (resident or non-resident) equivalent to the amount of underlying CIT paid by the |
| The minimum taxable basis will be determined as follows: 1. The taxable basis is | income received from Cyprus, otherwise by assessment on the basis of a tax return. | standard profits tax rate of 16.5% remains for profits exceeding HKD 2 million. | | rate of 0.05% applies to any excess. Participations that qualify for | distributing company on the profits out of which the dividend was distributed. |
| determined and the following tax deductions are made (in this order): exempt dividends, patent income | Interest received in, or closely related to, the ordinary course of business is not subject to SDC tax but is subject to CIT | A 'person' is defined as a corporation, partnership, trustee and body of persons. | | the participation exemption on dividends are exempt from net wealth tax. See 2.2 below for the applicable conditions, | Additionally, part of that underlying CIT paid may be refunded to the recipient shareholder (resident or non- |
| deduction, innovation deduction and investment deduction. 2. If after those deductions. | at the rate of 12.5% mentioned above. | Hong Kong operates a territorial system of profits tax, whereby profits are only taxable if the profits arise in or | | except for the 12 month holding period requirement which is not applicable for the exemption from net wealth tax. | resident), depending on the nature and source of the profits out of which the dividend was distributed. |
| the remaining taxable basis exceeds EUR 1 million, the following deductions can only be applied to 70% of | | are derived from Hong Kong. Therefore, any offshore profits arising in or derived elsewhere and remitted to Hong Kong are | | Minimum net wealth tax Companies having their statutory seat or place of | Foreign tax credit Foreign tax actually paid or deemed to have been paid can |
| the taxable basis exceeding EUR 1 million, in the following order: the current year notional interest | | not chargeable to Hong Kong profits tax. | | effective management in Luxembourg (i) whose assets at the end of the preceding fiscal year consist for more than | be credited against Malta tax due on the foreign income. The tax credit cannot be higher than the Malta tax on that income. |

| Belgium | Cyprus | Hong Kong | Ireland | Luxembourg | Malta |
|---|--------|--|---------|--|--|
| deduction, the carry- forward dividends received deduction, the carry-forward innovation deduction, the carry-forward losses, and finally, the carry-forward notional interest deduction. The excess deductions are carried forward to the following years. An exception to the minimal taxable basis exists | Cyprus | The determination of the source of profits can be complicated and can involve uncertainty. Taxpayers may conclude advance tax rulings with the Inland Revenue Department in order to obtain certainty. | Ireland | 90% of financial fixed assets, transferable securities and cash items and (ii) whose balance sheet total at the end of the preceding fiscal year exceeds EUR 350,000 are subject to an annual minimum net wealth tax of EUR 4,815. In case the two abovementioned thresholds are not met, the amount of minimum | The claim of relief for foreign tax paid/deemed to be paid, affects the level of refund that may be claimed by the shareholder upon a distribution of profits. |
| for carry-forward tax losses incurred by start-up companies during the first four taxable periods. Notional interest deduction The notional interest deduction | | | | net wealth tax due depends on the balance sheet total of the taxpayer at the end of the preceding fiscal year, with a minimum of EUR 535 and a maximum of EUR 32,100. | |
| allows Belgian companies to deduct a notional amount from their taxable income. The notional amount is calculated on the incremental risk capital which equals 1/5 of the positive difference between the net equity at the end of the year | | | | | |
| concerned and the net equity at the end of the fifth preceding year. Specific conditions apply. Minimum Remuneration Each company that does not pay a minimum annual | | | | | |

2.2 Dividend regime (participation exemption)

| Belgium | Cyprus | Hong Kong | Ireland | Luxembourg | Malta |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | | |
| Dividends received are | In principle all dividends derived | Dividends received from a | Ireland operates a 'credit' | Dividends (including liquidation | Generally, dividends received |
| fully exempt from CIT if | from a foreign participation are | company subject to Hong | system as opposed to a | distributions) derived from a | by a Malta company are |
| the participation meets the | fully exempt from tax, unless | Kong profits tax are not | participation exemption. | participation are fully exempt | subject to 35% tax. |
| following cumulative conditions: | the dividend anti-tax avoidance | included in the assessable | | from CIT if the following | |
| (i) minimum participation of at | rules apply. No minimum | profits of any other Hong Kong | The law provides for a system | cumulative conditions are met: | However, in case of a company |
| least 10% or with acquisition | participation or minimum | taxpayer. | of onshore pooling of tax | (i) a minimum participation | receiving dividends from a |
| value of EUR 2.5 million; | holding period requirement | | credits to deal with the situation | of at least 10% or with an | 'participating holding' (provided |
| (ii) held (or commitment to | applies. | In practice, dividends received | where foreign tax on dividends | acquisition price of at least | certain anti-abuse provisions |
| hold) in full property for at | | by a Hong Kong company | exceeds the Irish tax payable | EUR 1.2 million is held; | are also satisfied, see below), |
| least 12 months; | The dividend anti-tax avoidance | from a foreign company are | (being either at the 12.5% or | (ii) the participation is held in | there are two options: |
| (iii) subject-to-tax requirement: | rules apply if more than 50% of | treated as offshore profits and | 25% rate). Foreign tax includes | (i) a capital company that is | (i) benefiting from the |
| dividends will not be exempt | the paying company's activities | hence are not subject to profits | any withholding tax imposed | fully subject to Luxembourg | participation exemption, in |
| if distributed by: | result directly or indirectly from | tax regardless of substance, | by the source jurisdiction on | CIT or a comparable foreign | which case no tax is paid on |
| a) a company that is not | investment income and the | foreign taxes paid, minimum | the dividend itself as well as an | tax (i.e. a tax rate of at | such dividends; or |
| subject to Belgian CIT or | foreign tax is significantly lower | holding period and percentage | amount of underlying foreign | least 9% and a comparable | (ii) paying tax at the rate of |
| to a similar foreign CIT | than the tax rate payable in | of ownership. | tax. The onshore pooling | tax base; a 'Comparable | 35%, in which case, upon |
| or that is established | Cyprus. Both conditions must | | system enables companies to | Tax') or (ii) an EU entity that | a distribution of dividends |
| in a country the normal | be met for the rules to be | | mix the credits for foreign tax | qualifies for the benefits of | by the Malta company from |
| tax regime of which | triggered. If they do apply, the | | on different dividend streams | the EU Parent-Subsidiary | dividends derived from a |
| is substantially more | dividend will be subject to 17% | | for the purpose of calculating | Directive; and | 'participating holding', the |
| advantageous than | SDC tax. | | the overall credit. Dividends | (iii) on the distribution date, | shareholder can claim a |
| the normal Belgian tax | | | that are taxed at 12.5% are | the holding company must | 100% refund of the tax paid |
| regime; | The 50% test requires a | | pooled separately to dividends | have held a qualifying | by the company on such |
| b) a finance company, a | quantitative assessment of the | | that are taxed at 25%. Thus, | participation continuously | dividends. |
| treasury company or an | foreign subsidiary's activities, | | any excess 'credit' on one | for at least 12 months (or | |
| investment company | including income from any | | dividend may be credited | must commit itself to hold | Therefore, Malta tax on |
| subject to a tax regime | subsidiaries it may have. | | against the tax payable on | such participation for at | dividends received from a |
| that deviates from the | Where no tax is payable by the | | another dividend received in | least 12 months). | 'participating holding' is, in |
| normal tax regime; | foreign subsidiary because of | | the accounting period within | | both scenarios, effectively zero. |
| c) a regulated real estate | a local tax exemption, the tax | | each pool. | See, however, under 5 | |
| company or a non- | burden of the foreign subsidiary | | | below regarding the potential | A company has a 'participating |
| resident company (i) the | for the purposes of the tax | | Foreign underlying tax includes | application of the anti- abuse | holding' if any one of the |
| main purpose of which | burden aspect of the dividend | | corporation tax levied at | rule and the anti-hybrid rule to | following six conditions is |
| is to acquire or construct | anti-tax avoidance test is zero. | | state and municipal level and | income derived from EU entities | satisfied: |
| real estate property and | | | withholding tax. In this respect, | that fall within the scope of the | |

2.3 Gains on shares (participation exemption)

| Belgium | Cyprus | Hong Kong | Ireland | Luxembourg | Malta |
|---|---|---------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|
| Gains realised by the holding | In principle any profits from the | Profits arising from the sale | The disposal of shares in a | Gains (including currency | The same rules apply to capital |
| company on the alienation of | disposal of securities (shares, | of capital assets are exempt | subsidiary company (referred | exchange gains) realised on | gains as to dividends, except |
| shares are fully exempt from | bonds, debentures, founder's | from profits tax. Capital gains | to in the law as the 'investee') | the alienation of a participation | that the anti-abuse provisions |
| CIT to the extent that potential | shares and other company | derived from a sale of shares | by an Irish holding company | are exempt from CIT under the | referred to under 2.2 above |
| income derived from those | securities) are exempt from | are exempt provided that the | (referred to in law as the | following conditions: | do not apply in the context of |
| shares would be exempt under | taxation. Gains from the | gain is regarded as 'capital' | 'investor') is exempt from Irish | (i) a minimum participation of | capital gains. |
| the dividend participation | disposal of shares of unlisted | rather than 'revenue' in nature | capital gains tax in certain | 10% or with an acquisition | |
| exemption (see 2.2 above) and provided that the shares have | companies directly or indirectly owning immovable property in | or the gain is non- Hong Kong | circumstances. An equivalent exemption applies to the | price of at least EUR 6 million is held: | |
| been held in full property for at | Cyprus are subject to capital | sourced. | disposal of assets related to | (ii) the participation is held in | |
| least 12 months. | gains tax at 20% to the extent | | shares, which include options | (ii) the participation is field in | |
| least 12 months. | that the gains are derived from | | and securities convertible into | fully subject to Luxembourg | |
| Only the net gain realised | such property. | | shares. | CIT or a comparable foreign | |
| will be exempt, i.e. after the | such property. | | Silales. | tax (i.e. a tax rate of at least | |
| deduction of the alienation | | | The exemption is subject to the | 9% and a comparable tax | |
| costs (e.g. notary fees, bank | | | following conditions: | base) or (ii) an EU entity | |
| fees, commissions, publicity | | | (i) the investor must directly or | qualifying under the EU | |
| costs, consultancy costs etc.). | | | indirectly hold at least 5% | Parent- Subsidiary Directive; | |
| A specific anti-abuse provision | | | of the investee's ordinary | and | |
| applies to capital gains on | | | share capital, be beneficially | (iii) on the date on which the | |
| shares following a temporarily | | | entitled to not less than | capital gain is realised, the | |
| tax-exempt exchange of shares | | | 5% of the profits available | holding company has held | |
| at the occasion of which the | | | for distribution to equity | a qualifying participation | |
| subject-to-tax requirement was | | | holders of the investee | continuously for at least | |
| not fulfilled. | | | company and be beneficially | 12 months (or must | |
| | | | entitled to not less than | commit itself to hold such | |
| The minimum participation | | | 5% of the assets of the | participation for at least | |
| requirement does not apply | | | investee company available | 12 months). | |
| to insurance and reinsurance | | | for distribution to equity | | |
| companies that hold | | | holders. Shareholdings held | Once the minimum threshold | |
| participations to hedge their | | | by other companies which | and holding period are met, | |
| liabilities. | | | are in a 51% group with the | newly acquired shares of a | |
| | | | investor company may be | qualifying participation will | |
| Any holding company that | | | taken into account; | immediately qualify for the | |
| meets the minimum | | | | participation exemption. | |

2.4 Losses on shares

| Belgium | Cyprus | Hong Kong | Ireland | Luxembourg | Malta |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| Losses incurred on a participation, both realised and unrealised, cannot be deducted, except for (realised) losses incurred upon liquidation of the subsidiary up to the amount of the paid-up share capital of that subsidiary. | Losses incurred on the disposal of shares are not tax deductible unless the shares are in an unlisted company directly or indirectly holding real estate in Cyprus. A loss on the shares of such a company is deductible from current year capital gains deriving from the disposal of (i) Cyprus real estate (ii) or shares of an unlisted company which directly or indirectly holds Cyprus real estate. Unused losses may be carried forward for up to 5 years for offset against future taxable capital gains. | Capital losses are non-deductible for profits tax purposes, provided that the loss is regarded as 'capital' rather than 'revenue' in nature and/or the loss is non-Hong Kong sourced. | Depreciation on the value of the underlying subsidiary shares is not tax deductible. In certain circumstances where the value of the shares is completely dissipated, the taxpayer may make a claim to the Inspector of Taxes responsible for that taxpayer and when the Inspector is satisfied that the value of the asset has become negligible, the Inspector may allow a claim whereby the taxpayer is deemed to have sold and immediately reacquired the asset for consideration of an amount equal to the value of the shares thus crystallizing a capital loss. This capital loss is only deductible against capital gains. However, where the disposal would have qualified for relief from capital gains taxation under the exemption referred to under 2.3 above a claim for loss of value cannot be made. Capital losses incurred on the transfer of shares are only deductible against capital gains. | Write-offs and capital losses on a participation (including currency exchange losses) are deductible, except if it concerns a write-off in relation to a pre-acquisition dividend. Note that the deducted write-offs and capital losses may be recaptured in a future year if a capital gain is realised on the alienation of the respective participation (see under 2.3 above). | Deductible capital losses may only be offset against taxable capital gains realised in the current and following years. Capital losses incurred by a company may not be used to offset capital losses incurred by another company that belongs to the same group of companies. |

2.5 Costs relating to the participation

Belgium Cyprus Hong Kong Ireland Luxembourg Malta Costs relating to the acquisition The general position is that The general rule is that in Costs relating to a qualifying Certain expenses related to There are no thin capitalisation and/or the management of the all expenses wholly and ascertaining a taxpayer's managing investment activities participation are generally rules in Malta. participation are deductible exclusively incurred by a taxable profits, a deduction of 'investment companies' are deductible (subject to the under the normal conditions. company in the production is allowed for all (outgoings allowed against the company's below-discussed interest The general rule is that an of its taxable income and and) expenses incurred by total profits. An investment deduction limitation rules). expense is deductible if it is However, the deduction of Such costs generally include evidenced by adequate the taxpaver in the production company is defined as any wholly and exclusively incurred interest expenses related to supporting documentation of profits chargeable to company whose business such costs is permitted only in the production of the will be allowed as deductible. acquisition debt. However, profits tax. Costs, including consists wholly or mainly in the to the extent they exceed the company's income and it is not There are no thin capitalisation in recent case law the tax interest expenses, incurred in making of investments, and exempt dividend and capital specifically disallowed. deductibility of interest rules in Cyprus. connection with a participation the principal part of whose gains income derived from the expenses in the context of are generally non-deductible income is derived from those respective participation in that Interest expenses are generally a debt push down has been Even though the law does as dividends and capital gains investments. This can include deductible if the Revenue holding companies whose successfully challenged by not contain any specific derived from a participation are Authorities are satisfied that the tax authorities. Further to As from 1 January 2019, the limitation with respect to exempt from profits tax. investment in this case is the the interest was paid on debt the new interest deduction subsidiaries. the deduction of expenses deductibility of 'exceeding employed to generate taxable limitation rule (see under related to the acquisition of There are no thin capitalisation borrowing costs' (generally, the income. If, in any year, the 5 below) and the debt-toa participation by a holding rules. Other strict rules may Interest payments relating to excess of interest expenses interest expense exceeds company, the tax authorities restrict the deductibility of over interest income) is limited equity ratio of 5:1 should be the financing of the acquisition the income derived from the observed. Certain exceptions interest, in particular on normally successfully argue of the subsidiaries may be to the higher of (i) 30% of the employment of such debt, deductible. However, as an exist. that such expenses are not borrowings from non-Hong Luxembourg taxpayer's EBITDA the excess interest expense tax deductible, since dividends Kong residents. anti-abuse measure, interest (which does not include exempt may not be carried forward derived from the participation relief is generally not available income) for the financial year to subsequent years to are exempt from tax. However. when the interest is paid on a and (ii) EUR 3 million. offset income generated in loan obtained from a related interest incurred in acquiring subsequent years. a 100% (direct or indirect) party, where the loan is used to Note that the deducted subsidiary is deductible acquire ordinary share capital costs may be recaptured in a provided that all the assets of of a company that is related to future year if a capital gain is the subsidiary are used in its the investing company, or to realised on the alienation of the business. on-lend to another company respective participation (see which uses the funds directly or under 2.3 above). indirectly to acquire capital of a company that is related to the Currency exchange gains and investing company. losses on loans to finance the acquisition of the participation

are taxable/deductible.

2.6 Tax rulings

| Belgium |
|--|
| The application of the participation exemption regime does not require obtaining a ruling, although in principle this would be possible. |
| Belgium automatically exchanges information on advance cross-border tax rulings and advance pricing |

agreements (APAs) in conformity

with EU law. The categories of

tax rulings on which information

has to be exchanged are

Action 5 Final Report.

identified in the OECD BEPS

The tax authorities will, on application by or on behalf of a taxpayer, issue advance tax rulings regarding actual transactions (for brevity this should be understood as including a series of transactions) relating to tax years for which the due date for filing a tax return has not yet passed, and transactions proposed to be undertaken by existing or new entities. Requests must be in writing and must include comprehensive information regarding the entities involved and the transaction.

Cyprus

Rulings will be binding with regard to the taxpayers specifically mentioned in the ruling request, and to the extent that the facts and circumstances presented in the ruling request continue to be applicable and provided that there is no subsequent change in the tax law which renders the ruling inapplicable.

From 2017 onwards, Cyprus (like all other EU Member States) has been required to automatically exchange

Hong Kong

Taxpavers may seek advance confirmation with respect to the application of a particular provision by means of concluding an advance tax ruling with the Inland Revenue Department. In general, advance tax rulings cover the source of profits as either onshore or offshore, the qualification as a service company, stock borrowing and lending, royalty payments, collective investment schemes. the general anti-avoidance rules, the sale of loss companies and exemption of interest income.

The application of the holding company regime does not require an advance ruling. However, if there is doubt as to the application of the regime, for example, whether the group can be regarded as a trading group for the purpose of a capital gains tax relief, the opinion of the Revenue may be sought. This opinion is not binding and ultimately the status of the company will be decided by the individual Inspector of Taxes responsible for that company. However, where full facts are disclosed to the Revenue it would be unlikely that the individual Inspector would come to a different view.

Ireland

As from 1 January 2017, Ireland (and all other EU Member States) is required to automatically exchange certain information on cross-border tax rulings and advanced pricing agreements (APAs) issued on or after 1 January 2017. In addition, certain tax rulings and APAs issued, amended or renewed on or after 1 January 2012 that were still valid on or after 1 January 2014 are also subject to exchange.

Luxembourg

Luxembourg law provides for the possibility to request confirmation from the tax authorities in relation to the application of Luxembourg tax law to an anticipated transaction. Such request may relate to, among others, the application of the participation exemption (e.g. the comparable tax test), transfer pricing matters and any other tax matters that may be relevant for a holding company (e.g. financing).

A request for confirmation is subject to payment of a fee to the authorities ranging from EUR 3.000 to EUR 10.000 (depending on the complexity of the matter). Any confirmation obtained is binding on the tax authorities and is valid for a period of maximum 5 fiscal years (subject to accuracy of the facts presented, subsequent changes to the facts and changes in national, EU or international law).

In respect of debt-funded intragroup finance activities, certain conditions must be met in order to obtain advance confirmation.

It is possible to seek an advance revenue ruling from the Revenue Authorities on. inter alia, the following issues:

Malta

- (i) confirmation that the domestic general antiavoidance provisions contained in article 51 of the Malta Income Tax Act do not apply to a given transaction;
- (ii) confirmation that an equity shareholding qualifies as a participating holding on the basis that it is or will be held for the furtherance of the Malta company's business;
- (iii) the tax treatment of a transaction concerning a particular financial instrument or other security;
- (iv) the tax treatment of any transaction which involves international business.

These rulings guarantee the tax position for a period of five years and may be renewed for a further five- year period. They will also survive any changes of legislation for a period of two years after the entry into force of a new law.

3. Withholding taxes payable by the holding company 3.1 Withholding tax on dividends paid by the holding company

| Belgium | Cyprus | Hong Kong | Ireland | Luxembourg | Malta |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| The domestic withholding tax rate on dividends and liquidation distributions is generally 30%, which may be | No dividend withholding tax is levied in Cyprus on distributions to non-residents. | Hong Kong does not levy withholding tax on dividend distributions paid to either residents or non-residents. | 20%, which may be reduced by virtue of tax treaties or under domestic law to 0% - 15%. | The domestic dividend withholding tax rate is generally 15%, which may be reduced by virtue of tax treaties to. | No withholding tax is levied in Malta on dividend distributions to a non-resident shareholder, provided that such shareholder |
| reduced by virtue of tax treaties. | | | Exemptions Pursuant to the implementation | generally, 5%. | is not directly or indirectly owned and controlled by, and |
| Exemptions An exemption from withholding tax applies to (liquidation) dividend distributions made to a parent company that: (i) holds (or commits to hold) a participation of at least 10% of the share capital of the distributing company for a period of at least one year; (ii) is tax resident in an EU country or a tax treaty country under that country's domestic tax law and under | | | of the EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive, dividend withholding tax is not due on dividends paid by Irish resident companies to companies resident in other EU jurisdictions who hold at least 5% of the ordinary share capital, provided the anti-abuse provision mentioned under 5 below is met. In addition, domestic exemptions apply if: (i) the individual shareholder is | Exemptions A domestic exemption applies if: (i) the dividend distribution is made to (i) a fully taxable Luxembourg resident company, (ii) an EU entity qualifying under the EU Parent- Subsidiary Directive, (iii) a Luxembourg branch or EU branch of such EU entity or a Luxembourg branch of a company that is resident of a treaty | does not act on behalf of, an individual who is ordinarily resident and domiciled in Malta. |
| the tax treaties concluded by that country with third countries; (iii) is incorporated in a legal form listed in the annex to the EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive or a similar legal | | | resident in an EU member state (other than Ireland) or a treaty partner jurisdiction; (ii) the parent company is resident in an EU member state (other than Ireland) or a treaty partner jurisdiction | country, (iv) a Swiss resident company subject to Swiss CIT without being exempt, or (v) a company which is resident in an EEA country or a country with which Luxembourg has concluded | |
| form (for a tax treaty country); and (iv) is, in its country of tax residence, subject to CIT or a similar tax without benefiting from a regime that deviates from the normal tax regime. | | | and is not ultimately controlled by Irish residents; (iii) the parent company is not resident in Ireland and is ultimately controlled by residents of an EU member state (other than Ireland) or a treaty partner jurisdiction; or | a tax treaty and which is subject to a tax comparable to the Luxembourg corporate tax (i.e. a tax rate of 9% and a comparable tax base); and | |

| Belgium | Cyprus | Hong Kong | Ireland | Luxembourg | Malta |
|---|--------|-----------|---------|--|-------|
| The receiving entity must certify | | | | of the Luxembourg company, | |
| the fulfilment of the conditions. | | | | constitutes a partial | |
| 0 | | | | liquidation. Under current | |
| Small companies Reduced withholding tax rates | | | | practice, the repurchase and cancellation of an entire | |
| are available for distributions | | | | class of shares constitutes, | |
| by so-called small companies | | | | under circumstances, a partial | |
| according to Belgian corporate | | | | liquidation as well. | |
| law. | | | | | |
| | | | | The liquidation of a | |
| Capital reduction | | | | Luxembourg company or a | |
| The reimbursement of paid- up capital is in principle | | | | repurchase of shares may, however, trigger non-resident | |
| exempt from withholding tax. | | | | capital gains tax (see under | |
| For dividend withholding tax | | | | 4 below). | |
| purposes, paid-up capital | | | | , | |
| reimbursements are deemed | | | | | |
| to derive proportionally from | | | | | |
| paid-up capital and from taxed | | | | | |
| reserves (incorporated and non- | | | | | |
| incorporated into capital) and exempt reserves incorporated | | | | | |
| into the capital. The reduction | | | | | |
| of capital is only allocated to | | | | | |
| paid-up capital in the proportion | | | | | |
| of the paid-up capital in the | | | | | |
| total capital increased by certain | | | | | |
| reserves. The portion allocated | | | | | |
| to the reserves is deemed to | | | | | |
| be a dividend and subject to | | | | | |
| withholding tax (if applicable). | | | | | |

3.2 Withholding tax on interest paid by the holding company

| Belgium | Cyprus | Hong Kong | Ireland | Luxembourg | Malta |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| The domestic interest withholding tax rate is generally 30%, which may be reduced to 0-10% by virtue of tax treaties and domestic exemptions (e.g. registered bonds, and interest payments to banks). 0% withholding tax on interest payments to a qualifying EU company ('Beneficiary'), provided that: (i) the Beneficiary holds or commits to hold directly or indirectly at least 25% of the share capital of the debtor (or vice versa) for a period of at least one year; or (ii) a third EU company holds or commits to hold directly or indirectly at least 25% of respectively the share capital of the Belgian debtor and that of the Beneficiary for a period of at least one year. Interest payments to a non-EU branch of an EU company do not qualify for the 0% rate. | No withholding tax is levied on interest paid by the Cyprus company to non-resident recipients. | Hong Kong does not levy withholding tax on interest payments to either residents or non-residents. | Withholding tax (20%, subject to reduction under tax treaties) is levied on 'yearly interest' paid by a company. It is not applicable to short-term interest (i.e. interest on a debt of less than a year). Exemption A number of exemptions apply, including: (i) Interest paid by a company or an investment undertaking (in the ordinary course of a trade or business carried on by that person) to a company resident for tax purposes in a member state of the EU (other than Ireland) or a treaty partner jurisdiction provided (i) that jurisdiction imposes a tax which generally applies to interest receivable from foreign territories or (ii) the double tax treaty provides for withholding tax on interest to be reduced to nil, except where such interest is paid to that company in connection with a trade or business which is carried on in Ireland by that company through a branch or agency; | No withholding tax is levied on payments to non-residents, except for profit-sharing interest which, under certain circumstances, is subject to 15% withholding tax (subject to reduction under tax treaties). Interest payments made to Luxembourg resident individuals by a Luxembourg paying agent are subject to 20% Luxembourg withholding tax. The 20% withholding tax operates as a full discharge of income tax for Luxembourg resident individuals acting in the context of the management of their private wealth. | No withholding tax is levied on interest payments by a Malta company to a non-resident, unless: (i) the said non-resident is engaged in trade or business in Malta through a permanent establishment situated in Malta and the interest is effectively connected therewith; or (ii) the said non- resident is owned and controlled by, directly or indirectly, or acts on behalf of an individual or individuals who are ordinarily resident and domiciled in Malta. |

3.3 Withholding tax on royalties paid by the holding company

| Belgium | Cyprus | Hong Kong | Ireland | Luxembourg | Malta |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 30% but often exempt by virtue of tax treaties. 0% withholding tax to qualifying EU companies under similar conditions as set forth under 3.2 above. | No withholding tax is levied on royalties paid by the Cyprus company unless the rights are used in Cyprus by a non-Cyprus tax resident, in which case there is a 10% withholding tax (5% for films). | Hong Kong levies a withholding tax on royalties at 4.95% of the gross payment if the recipient is a non- resident. If the non-resident recipient is an associated party, a 16.5% withholding tax applies on the royalty payment, unless the Inland Revenue Department is satisfied that no person carrying on a trade, profession or business in Hong Kong has ever owned the intellectual property in respect of which the royalties are paid. Most tax treaties concluded by Hong Kong reduce the applicable withholding tax rate. Royalty payments to Hong Kong residents are not subject to withholding tax. | Withholding tax is only applicable to patent royalties, at the rate of 20%. The rate may be reduced to between 0% and 15% by virtue of a tax treaty. Exemptions (i) Pursuant to the implementation of the EU Interest and Royalty Directive into Irish law, no withholding tax is due on cross border interest and royalty payments between associated companies in the EU; (ii) A domestic exemption applies to royalties paid by a company to a company resident for tax purposes in a member state of the EU (other than Ireland) or a treaty partner jurisdiction in certain circumstances; and (iii) A concessionary exemption from withholding tax applies on patent royalty payments made to a non-double taxation treaty resident company once certain conditions are fulfilled. | Note that income paid to a non-resident that is derived from an independent artistic or literary activity that is or has been conducted or put to use in Luxembourg is subject to 10% withholding tax. | No withholding tax is levied on royalty payments by a Malta company to a non-resident, unless: (i) the said non-resident is engaged in trade or business in Malta through a permanent establishment situated in Malta and the royalties are effectively connected therewith; or (ii) the said non-resident is owned and controlled by, directly or indirectly, or acts on behalf of an individual or individuals who are ordinarily resident and domiciled in Malta. |

4. Non-resident capital gains taxation

| Belgium | Cyprus | Hong Kong | Ireland | Luxembourg | Malta |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| Gains realised by non-resident entities without a Belgian permanent establishment ('PE') to which the shares are attributed, in respect of shares in a Belgian company are not taxable. Gains realised by non-resident individuals in respect of shares in a Belgian company are taxable under certain circumstances (if there is no adequate treaty protection). | In principle, capital gains realised on the transfer of shares by non-residents are fully exempt from taxation in Cyprus. Only to the extent that any gain is derived from immovable property situated in Cyprus owned directly or indirectly (i.e. through a subsidiary) by the company will capital gains tax be payable. | There is no tax on capital gains derived by non-Hong Kong residents from shares in a Hong Kong company, provided that the capital gain is 'capital' rather than 'revenue' in nature or non-Hong Kong sourced. | Gains realised by non-residents on the disposal of shares in an Irish company are not taxable, except when the shares in the Irish company derive their value or the greater part of their value directly or indirectly from land, minerals, mining or exploration rights in Ireland. However, if the shares in the Irish company are quoted on a stock exchange such capital gains tax does not apply. Liquidation proceeds are subject to capital gains tax in the hands of the shareholder of the liquidated company, in circumstances where the conditions for the capital gains tax exemption described in 2.3 above are not met at the moment of liquidation. | Gains realised by non-residents on the alienation of a substantial interest in a Luxembourg company (more than 10%), including distributions received upon liquidation and proceeds from a redemption of shares, are taxable if the gain is realised within a period of 6 months following the acquisition of the shares. Other rules apply in case the non-resident transferor was resident in Luxembourg for at least 15 years in the past. | Capital gains realised by a non-resident on the transfer of certain shares or securities in a Malta company would be exempt from Malta tax, unless: (i) it is a 'property company' as defined by law; or the said non-resident is (ii) owned and controlled by, directly or indirectly, or acts on behalf of an individual or individuals who are ordinarily resident and domiciled in Malta. |

5. Anti-abuse provisions / CFC rules / BEPS measures

| Belgium | Cyprus | Hong Kong | Ireland | Luxembourg | Malta |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| See under 2.2 above for the subject-to-tax rules under the | A draft law to implement ATAD I is awaiting parliamentary | Taxpayers are generally not prevented from enjoying the | Ireland has implemented the anti-abuse rules included in the | Effective 1 January 2016, the anti-hybrid rule and the anti- | The Malta Income Tax Act provides for a number of anti- |
| participation exemption. | approval and is expected to be enacted early in 2019. It will | tax benefits that are available to them when they structure | amended Parent Subsidiary Directive. The domestic Irish | abuse rule contained in the EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive | avoidance measures. Probably the most encompassing is |
| ATAD I and ATAD II are | implement ATAD I retroactively | their affairs in a manner directly | exemptions from interest and | were implemented into | article 51 which is of general |
| transposed into Belgian tax law | from 1 January 2019, including | or indirectly authorised under | dividend withholding tax do | Luxembourg tax law. Pursuant | application and states that |
| by implementing the following measures. | CFC-regulations based on model A. Furthermore, as described under 2,2 above. | the Inland Revenue Ordinance. Only deliberately contrived tax avoidance schemes are | not include specific anti-abuse provisions. | to such anti-abuse rule, the participation exemption for dividends and the dividend | artificial or fictitious schemes can be disregarded. It is |
| Neutralizing hybrid | dividend anti-tax avoidance | targeted by anti-avoidance | Ireland has a general anti- | withholding tax exemption | possible, however, to obtain advance certainty on whether |
| mismatches | rules apply to dividends | rules. | avoidance provision that allows | do not apply in respect of | article 51 will be invoked by the |
| Various types of hybrid | received from investment | | the Revenue to re- characterise | dividends received from / paid | Revenue. Article 42 contains |
| mismatches are targeted, | companies in low-tax | There are no CFC rules in | 'tax avoidance transactions'. | to an EU entity that falls within | an 'abuse of law' concept in |
| resulting in (i) the disallowance | jurisdictions. | Hong Kong. | To date, this has not been | the scope of the EU Parent- | the limited context of domestic |
| of deductions from the Belgian | | | regularly invoked by the | Subsidiary Directive and is | investment income provisions. |
| corporate income tax base | The Assessment and Collection | The Inland Revenue Ordinance | Revenue and there would have | not subject to a Comparable | Article 46 provides, inter alia, |
| of costs relating to payments | of Taxes Law contains general | includes OECD-based transfer | to be a strong tax avoidance | Tax (see under 2.2 above) | for the re-characterisation |
| made in the context of a hybrid | anti- avoidance provisions | pricing rules. | motive to justify a challenge by | in case (one of) the main | into dividends of amounts |
| mismatch, (ii) the inclusion in | including the disregarding | | the Revenue. | purpose(s) of an arrangement | advanced by a company to |
| the Belgian corporate income | of artificial or fictitious | | | is to obtain a tax advantage | shareholders or repaid by |
| tax base of certain income | transactions. | | Ireland introduced CFC rules | that would defeat the object | a company in settlement of |
| received in the context of a | | | from 1 January 2019 and has | or purpose of the EU Parent- | shareholders' loans. |
| hybrid mismatch and (iii) the | In addition, Cyprus has | | chosen to adopt an 'Option B' | Subsidiary Directive and such | |
| limitation of the use of a foreign | incorporated the anti- | | approach as provided for under | arrangement lacks economic | Anti-abuse provisions as set |
| tax credit in case of a hybrid | avoidance provisions of the | | the ATAD. | reality, i.e. is not 'genuine'. | out under 2.2 above apply in |
| transfer. | current EU Parent-Subsidiary | | | | participating holding scenarios. |
| | Directive in its legislation (see | | A CFC charge will only arise to | Pursuant to Luxembourg | |
| CFC rules | 2.2 above). | | the extent that: | transfer pricing rules as | Malta also introduced ATAD |
| A foreign company qualifies as | | | (a) the CFC has undistributed | amended per 1 January 2017, | I implementation regulations. |
| a CFC if: | During 2017 Cyprus replaced | | income; and | a transaction (or the relevant | Said regulations cover interest |
| (i) The Belgian taxpayer owns | its 'minimum margin' scheme | | (b) the CFC generates income | part thereof) is ignored for the | limitation rules, exit taxation, |
| directly or indirectly the | for intra-group back to back | | by reference to activities | purposes of determining the | a general anti-abuse rule, and |
| majority of voting rights, or | financing transactions with | | carried on in Ireland. | at arm's length pricing of such | a controlled foreign company |
| holds directly or indirectly at | detailed transfer pricing rules. | | | transaction (or the relevant part | (CFC) rule. |
| least 50% of the capital, | | | | thereof), when it contains one | |

| Belgium | Cyprus | Hong Kong | Ireland | Luxembourg | Malta |
|--|---|-----------|---|---|--|
| or is entitled to receive at least 50% of the profits of the foreign company (control test); and (ii) the foreign company is in its country of residence either not subject to an income tax or is subject to an income tax that is less than half of the income tax if the company would be established in Belgium. A Belgian parent company should include in its tax base non-distributed income of the CFC to the extent that it arises from non-genuine arrangements which have been put in place for the essential purpose of obtaining a tax advantage. An arrangement shall be regarded as nongenuine to the extent that the CFC would not own assets or would not have undertaken risks if it were not controlled by the Belgian taxpayer where the significant people functions relevant to those assets and risks, are carried out and are instrumental in generating the CFC's income. | At the time the Tax Department announced its intention to widen the scope of transfer pricing rules to other forms of financing activities and other intercompany transactions such as royalties, sales, licensing and provision of services. | | There are a number of exemptions from the CFC charge. For example, no CFC charge will arise if it can be established that: (a) the arrangements were entered into on arm's length terms; (b) the arrangements are subject to Irish transfer pricing rules; or (c) the essential purpose of the arrangements is not to secure a tax advantage. In cases where a CFC charge does arise, it must be calculated in accordance with transfer pricing principles. The amount upon which the charge is calculated is capped by reference to the undistributed income of the CFC. The CFC charge is applied at the Irish corporation tax rates (12.5% to the extent the profits of the CFC are generated by trading activities and 25% in all other cases). Ireland has no thin-capitalisation rules (see under 2.5 above). | or several elements that are not motivated by valid business reasons and that have a meaningful impact on the determination of the at arm's length price. Luxembourg tax law contains a general anti-abuse provision, which was amended as per 1 January 2019 in order to bring the wording in line with the wording of the GAAR contained in ATAD I, thereby introducing the concept of a 'non-genuine arrangement'. Luxembourg has introduced a CFC rule, effective for fiscal years starting as from 1 January 2019, based on 'Model B' as provided for by ATAD I. The CFC rules apply for CIT but not for municipal business tax. A CFC is an entity or a permanent establishment that meets the following conditions: (i) a Luxembourg taxpayer holds (alone or together with associated enterprises) a (direct or indirect) participation of more than 50% of the voting rights, the capital or the entitlement to profits of that entity; and (ii) the | In terms of the newly introduced CFC rules, the non-distributed income of low-taxed CFCs arising from 'non-genuine arrangements which have been put in place for the essential purpose of obtaining a tax advantage' must be included in the tax base of the Maltese taxpayer, limited to amounts generated through assets and risks which are linked to significant functions carried out by the Maltese taxpayer. With respect to the rules limiting the deductibility of exceeding borrowing costs, the deduction of net interest expenses is limited to 30% of the taxpayer's EBIDTA or a higher percentage if the taxpayer can demonstrate that the ratio of its equity over total assets is equal to or higher than the equivalent ratio of the group. The rules implementing ATAD I came into force on 1 January 2019, with the exception of the exit taxation which shall come into force on 1 January 2020. |

| Belgium | Cyprus | Hong Kong | Ireland | Luxembourg | Malta |
|---|--------|-----------|---------|------------|-------|
| For these loans the thin capitalisation rule (debt to equity ratio of 5:1) remains applicable. | | | | | |
| GAAR Belgian tax law is further familiar with the sham doctrine and it also contains a general anti-abuse provision which is aimed at combating purely tax driven structures. | | | | | |

6. Income tax treaties / MLI **6.1 Signatory to the MLI / ratification**

| Belgium | Cyprus | Hong Kong | Ireland | Luxembourg | Malta |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Beigiain | Оургаз | Tiong Rong | Irciana | Laxembourg | Wata |
| The Belgian Minister of Finance signed the MLI on 7 June 2017 on behalf of the | Cyprus signed the MLI on 7 June 2017. | Hong Kong signed the MLI on 7 June 2017. | Ireland ratified the MLI on 29 June 2019. | Luxembourg signed the MLI on 7 June 2017. | Malta signed the MLI on 7 June 2017. |
| federal government and the governments of the regions and communities. Belgium submitted a list of 98 of its tax treaties that it designated | It has made reservations to align the implementation of the MLI provisions with its own tax policies. The specific provisions it has opted out of are: - Transparent entities | Hong Kong has made several reservations to the provisions in the MLI, inter alia to articles 3 (transparent entities), article 4 (dual resident entities), article 5 (application of methods | Ireland has 73 double tax treaties ('DTTs') and has confirmed that it will treat 71 of those DTTs as 'Covered Tax Agreements'. The key changes to Ireland's DTTs which will | With the exception of Luxembourg-Cyprus tax treaty, Luxembourg has not excluded any of its income tax treaties from the scope of the MLI, but has made a number of | Malta's instrument of ratification together with its definitive list of notifications and reservations was deposited with the OECD Secretariat on 18 December 2018. It will enter into force on |
| as 'Covered Tax Agreements'. The tax treaties concluded with Germany, Japan, Norway and the Netherlands were not notified. Currently, Belgium has | (Article 3);Dual residence entities(Article 4);Application of methods for elimination of double | for elimination of double taxation), article 8 (dividend transfer transactions), article 9 (capital gains from alienation of shares or interests of entities | be made under the MLI are the adoption of: a principal purpose test; a tie-breaker test based on mutual agreement to determine tax residence for | reservations regarding specific provisions. Luxembourg has chosen option A in relation to article 5 (Application of Methods for the Elimination | 1 April 2019. Malta defined 73 tax treaties as agreements it wishes to be covered by the MLI. In its |
| mainly taken the position to only implement the BEPS minimum standards through the MLI. The MLI has to be ratified via | taxation (Article 5); - Dividend transfer transactions (Article 8); - Capital gains from alienation of shares or interests of | deriving their value principally from immovable property), article 10 (anti-abuse rule for permanent establishments (PEs) situated in third | dual resident entities; and a number of measures, including mandatory binding arbitration, to resolve DTT disputes more efficiently. | of Double Taxation) and the 'principal purpose test' without 'limitation on benefits' clause in relation to article 7 (Prevention of Treaty Abuse). Luxembourg | choice, Malta opted to apply: the Minimum Standard, which includes provisions dealing with the purpose of a covered tax |
| legislation to be adopted in the federal parliament and the parliaments of the regions and communities. | entities deriving their value principally from immovable property (Article 9); - Anti-abuse rule for permanent establishments | jurisdictions) article 11 (savings clause), article 12 (Artificial avoidance of PE status through commissionaire arrangements and similar strategies), article | Ireland has a number of reservations to the MLI. Ireland will not adopt the changes to the permanent establishment | will not apply article 4 (Dual Resident Entities), article 8 (Dividend Transfer Transactions), article 9 ('Real Estate Rich' Company Clause), | agreements (article 6 of the MLI), prevention of treaty abuse (article 7 of the MLI) and mutual agreement procedure and |
| On 25 January 2019, the government of the Flemish region and community approved the draft bill for the ratification of the MLI and the draft bill is submitted with the Flemish Parliament. | situated in third jurisdictions (Article 10); - Application of tax agreements to restrict a party's right to tax its own residents (Article 11); - Artificial avoidance of permanent establishment status through commissionaire | 13 (Artificial avoidance of PE status through the specific activity exemptions), article 14 (Splitting-up of contracts), article 15 (definition of a person closely related to an enterprise) and article 17 (corresponding adjustments), while Hong Kong chose not to apply part VI (Arbitration). | ('PE') definition designed to treat commissionaires as PEs or adopt the narrower specific activity exemptions within the PE definition. Ireland will also not apply article 11 – the savings clause. The MLI will begin to take effect to update Ireland's double tax treaties from January 1, 2020 | article 10 (Anti-Abuse Rule for Permanent Establishments situated in Third Jurisdictions), article 11 (Savings Clause), article 12 (Artificial Avoidance of Permanent Establishment Status through Commissionaire Arrangements), article 14 (Splitting Up of Contracts), and article 15 (Definition of a Closely Related Persons). | corresponding adjustments (articles 16 and 17 of the MLI); - provisions of article 9(4) of the MLI in connection with capital gains from alienation of shares or interests of entities deriving their value principally from immovable property; and |

6.2 Income tax treaties and effect of the MLI¹

Treaties that will be amended by the MLI are shown in **bold** in the overview below. The overview only indicates whether both countries have listed the respective treaty as a Covered Tax Agreement. The effective date of amendment of the treaty depends on the ratification by both countries. The overview provides the status as of 1 January 2019.

| Belgium | Cyprus | Hong Kong | Ireland | Luxembourg | Malta |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| As of 1 January 2019, Belgium | As of 1 January 2019, Cyprus | As of 1 January 2019, Hong | As of 1 January 2019, Ireland | As of 1 January 2019, | As of 1 January 2019, Malta |
| has income tax treaties in force | has income tax treaties in force | Kong has income tax treaties | has income tax treaties in force | Luxembourg has income | has income tax treaties in force |
| with the following countries: | with the following countries: | in force with the following | with the following countries: | tax treaties in force with the | with the following countries: |
| | | countries: | | following countries: | |
| 1. Albania | 1. Armenia | 1. Austria | 1. Albania | 1. Andorra | 1. Albania |
| 2. Algeria | 2. Austria | 2. Belarus | 2. Armenia | 2. Armenia | 2. Andorra |
| 3. Argentina | 3. Azerbaijan | 3. Belgium | 3. Australia | 3. Austria | 3. Australia |
| 4. Armenia | 4. Bahrain | 4. Brunei | 4. Austria | 4. Azerbaijan | 4. Austria |
| 5. Australia | 5. Belarus | 5. Canada | 5. Bahrain | 5. Bahrain | 5. Azerbaijan |
| 6. Austria | 6. Belgium | 6. China (People's Rep.) | 6. Belarus | 6. Barbados | 6. Bahrain |
| 7. Azerbaijan | 7. Bosnia | 7. Czech Republic | 7. Belgium | 7. Belgium | 7. Barbados |
| 8. Bahrain | 8. Bulgaria | 8. Finland | 8. Bosnia and Herzegovina | 8. Brazil | 8. Belgium |
| 9. Bangladesh | 9. Canada | 9. France | 9. Botswana | 9. Brunei | 9. Botswana |
| 10. Belarus | 10. China (People's Rep.) | 10. Guernsey | 10. Bulgaria | 10. Bulgaria | 10. Bulgaria |
| 11. Bosnia and Herzegovina | 11. Czech Republic | 11. Hungary | 11. Canada | 11. Canada | 11. Canada |
| 12. Brazil | 12. Denmark | 12. India | 12. Chile | 12. China (People's Rep.) | 12. China (People's Rep.) |
| 13. Bulgaria | 13. Egypt | 13. Indonesia | 13. China (People's Rep.) | 13. Croatia | 13. Croatia |
| 14. Canada | 14. Estonia | 14. Ireland | 14. Croatia | 14. Cyprus | 14. Cyprus |
| 15. Chile | 15. Ethiopia | 15. Italy | 15. Cyprus | 15. Czech Republic | 15. Czech Republic |
| 16. China (People's Rep.) | 16. Finland | 16. Japan | 16. Czech Republic | 16. Denmark | 16. Denmark |
| 17. Congo (Dem. Republic) | 17. France | 17. Jersey | 17. Denmark | 17. Estonia | 17. Egypt |
| 18. Croatia | 18. Georgia | 18. Korea (Rep.) | 18. Egypt | 18. Finland | 18. Estonia |
| 19. Cyprus | 19. Germany | 19. Kuwait | 19. Estonia | 19. France | 19. Finland |
| 20. Czech Republic | 20. Greece | 20. Latvia | 20. Ethiopia | 20. Georgia | 20. France |
| 21. Denmark | 21. Guernsey | 21. Liechtenstein | 21. Finland | 21. Germany | 21. Georgia |
| 22. Ecuador | 22. Hungary | 22. Luxembourg | 22. France | 22. Greece | 22. Germany |
| 23. Egypt | 23. Iceland | 23. Malaysia | 23. Georgia | 23. Guernsey | 23. Greece |
| 24. Estonia | 24. India | 24. Malta | 24. Germany | 24. Hong Kong | 24. Guernsey |
| 25. Finland | 25. Iran | 25. Mexico | 25. Greece | 25. Hungary | 25. Hong Kong |
| 26. France | 26. Ireland | 26. Netherlands | 26. Hong Kong | 26. Iceland | 26. Hungary |
| 27. Gabon | 27. Italy | 27. New Zealand | 27. Hungary | 27. India | 27. Iceland |
| 28. Georgia | 28. Jersey | 28. Pakistan | 28. Iceland | 28. Indonesia | 28. India |

¹ Only comprehensive income tax treaties potentially relevant for holding companies are included...

| Belgium | Cyprus | Hong Kong | Ireland | Luxembourg | Malta |
|---|--------|-----------|---|---|---|
| 64. Poland 65. Portugal 66. Romania 67. Russia 68. Rwanda 69. San Marino 70. Senegal 71. Serbia 72. Seychelles 73. Singapore 74. Slovak Republic 75. Slovenia 76. South Africa 77. Spain 78. Sri Lanka 79. Sweden 80. Switzerland 81. Taiwan 82. Tajikistan 83. Thailand 84. Tunisia 85. Turkey 86. Turkmenistan 87. Ukraine 88. United Arab Emirates 89. United Kingdom 90. United States 91. Uruguay 92. Uzbekistan 93. Venezuela | Cyprus | Hong Kong | 64. Switzerland 65. Thailand 66. Turkey 67. Ukraine 68. United Arab Emirates 69. United Kingdom 70. United States 71. Uzbekistan 72. Vietnam 73. Zambia | 64. Slovenia 65. South Africa 66. Spain 67. Sri Lanka 68. Sweden 69. Switzerland 70. Taiwan 71. Tajikistan 72. Thailand 73. Trinidad and Tobago 74. Tunisia 75. Turkey 76. Ukraine 77. United Arab Emirates 78. United Kingdom 79. United States 80. Uruguay 81. Uzbekistan 82. Vietnam | 64. Spain 65. Sweden 66. Switzerland 67. Syria 68. Tunisia 69. Turkey 70. Ukraine 71. United Arab Emirates 72. United Kingdom 73. United States 74. Uruguay 75. Vietnam |

Part II

Mauritius, the Netherlands, Singapore, Spain, Switzerland and the United Kingdom

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| There is no tax on capital contributions in Mauritius. | There is no tax on capital contributions in the Netherlands. | There is no tax on capital contributions in Singapore. Since the concept of share premium is not recognised in Singapore, any contribution that is intended to be share premium will be treated as share capital contribution from a Singapore legal and tax perspective. | No tax is due on capital contributions made to a Spanish company upon incorporation or thereafter (whether or not the contribution entails a capital increase). | 1% (stamp duty) of the amount contributed (fair market value) with a minimum equal to the nominal value of the shares issued. Exemptions Exemptions apply, inter alia, in the following cases: (i) Share capital up to an amount of CHF 1 million. (ii) Immigration of a company. (iii) On the basis of the Merger Act and a Circular issued by the Swiss federal tax authorities concerning the tax consequences of this law, exemptions are available for: (a) mergers, divisions transformations; (b) contributions of separate business activity or qualifying participations, and (c) financial restructurings up to an amount of CHF 10 million. For exemptions based on the Merger Act and the Circular issued in relation thereto, it is highly recommended to obtain an advance tax ruling. | There is no tax on capital contributions in the UK. However, stamp duty or stamp duty reserve tax is payable at 0.5% on consideration for the transfer of shares in a UK incorporated company, unless an exemption is applicable. |

2. Corporate income tax

2.1 Corporate income tax ('CIT') rate

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| The general applicable rate | 25% | CIT rate is 17% (unless a | 25% | Taxes are levied at 3 levels: | 19% |
| is 15%. However, any of | 20,0 | concessionary rate applies). | 20,0 | federal, cantonal and communal. | 1070 |
| the following tax credits or | Reduced rate of 19% for the | consecuently rate application | Banks and other financial | reacted, earner and communication | An additional 8% corporation |
| exemption would be available: | first EUR 200.000 of taxable | In applying the CIT rate, a | entities are taxed at a 30% tax | Taxes are deductible for | tax surcharge is chargeable or |
| | profits. | partial tax exemption applies, | rate. | calculating taxable income. | the profits of certain banking |
| (i) A company tax resident | Jan a mari | as follows: | | Consequently, effective tax rates | companies and building |
| in Mauritius is entitled to | | - 75% exemption on the first | | are lower than the statutory | societies. There is an annual |
| foreign tax credits which | | SGD 10,000 of taxable | | rates. | allowance of £25 million per |
| reduce the Mauritius tax | | income; and | | | group (or per company for |
| payable if (i) foreign tax is | | - 50% exemption on the next | | Federal | non-group members). |
| suffered on the taxable | | SGD 290,000 of taxable | | The federal statutory CIT rate | 3 - 1 |
| income and (ii) written | | income. | | is 8.5%. The effective rate of | Where taxable profits (including |
| evidence to that effect is | | | | federal CIT is approximately | the sale of a product that |
| produced to the Mauritius | | This partial exemption is not | | 7.8%. | includes a patent, and income |
| Revenue Authority ('MRA'). | | applicable to companies | | | from patent royalties) can be |
| (ii) A company tax resident in | | enjoying a concessionary | | Cantonal and communal | attributed to the exploitation of |
| Mauritius is entitled to an | | income tax rate. | | Cantonal and communal tax | patents, a lower effective rate |
| 80% exemption in respect | | | | rates vary per canton and | of 10% may apply. |
| of the following types of | | A corporate income tax rebate | | municipality. The combined | |
| income: | | of 40% (capped at SGD | | statutory cantonal and | |
| (a) Foreign source interest | | 15,000) applies on the income | | communal tax rates generally | |
| income provided that the | | tax that is due over 2018. A | | vary between 5% and 25%. | |
| company satisfies the | | 20% rebate and SGD 10,000 | | The communal tax is levied as a | |
| substance requirement | | cap applies over 2019. | | percentage of the cantonal tax | |
| as prescribed. | | | | and follows the same rules. | |
| (b) Profit attributable to a | | Singapore applies a semi- | | | |
| permanent establishment | | territorial tax system. Onshore | | Total | |
| which a resident | | sourced income is taxable and | | The total (federal, cantonal and | |
| company has in a foreign | | offshore sourced income is not | | communal) effective CIT rate | |
| country. | | taxable until it is remitted or | | generally range between 12% | |
| (c) Income derived by a | | deemed remitted to Singapore, | | and 25%. | |
| Collective Investment | | unless it is tax exempt under | | | |
| Scheme ('CIS'), Closed | | any of the specific income tax | | | |
| end fund, CIS manager, | | exemption provisions in the law | | | |
| CIS administrator, | | (e.g. foreign exempt dividends). | | | |

2.2 Dividend regime (participation exemption)

| There is no participation |
|---------------------------|
| exemption in Mauritius. |

Mauritius

Dividends received from a foreign participation are taxable but a credit can be claimed for actual foreign tax suffered on (i) such dividend and (ii) the underlying income in successive underlying companies from which the dividend is paid provided that each of these companies hold at least 5% of the share capital of the underlying subsidiary in respect of which the underlying tax is claimed.

Alternatively, an 80% partial exemption may be allowed on foreign source dividend income provided that such dividend is not allowed as a tax deductible item in the source country and the company satisfies certain substance requirements.

The Netherlands Singapore

Dividends are fully exempt from CIT under the participation exemption if the following three requirements are met:

- (i) the holding company itself or a related party holds a participation of at least 5% of, as a general rule, the nominal paid-up share capital of a company with a capital divided into shares (the 'Minimum Threshold Test');
- (ii) one of the following three tests is met:
 - a) the holding company's
 objective with respect to
 its participation is to obtain
 a return that is higher
 than a return that may be
 expected from portfolio
 investment management
 (the 'Motive Test');
 - b) the direct and indirect assets of the subsidiary generally consist for less than 50% of 'low-taxed free passive assets' (the 'Asset Test'); or
 - c) the subsidiary is subject to an adequate levy according to Dutch tax standards (the 'Subject-To-Tax Test'); and

All dividends paid by resident companies are exempt in the hands of shareholders in

Foreign dividends are foreign sourced and therefore not subject to income tax until they are remitted or deemed remitted to Singapore. Once remitted to Singapore, the

Singapore.

remitted to Singapore, the foreign dividends are in principle taxed at a rate of 17% unless the foreign dividend is tax exempt under the foreign exempt dividend provisions of the income tax law.

A dividend qualifies as a foreign exempt dividend if the following two cumulative conditions are met:

- (i) the headline income tax rate in the foreign jurisdiction must be at least 15%; and
- (ii) the income earned in that foreign jurisdiction must have been effectively subject to tax in that jurisdiction (rate can be lower than ordinary rate).

There is no minimum shareholding requirement.

Dividends derived from a Spanish or a foreign subsidiary are fully exempt from CIT under the following cumulative conditions:

Spain

(i) at least 5% of the capital of the subsidiary must be held (directly or indirectly) or the acquisition value of the subsidiary must exceed EUR 20 million. Pursuant to a grandfathering rule, holding companies may apply the exemption if the acquisition value of the foreign subsidiary exceeded EUR 6 million in tax periods starting before 2015.

In the event that more than 70% of the income obtained by the subsidiary (or its corporate group) consists of dividends and capital gains, the applicability of the exemption requires a 5% indirect ownership in second or lower tier subsidiaries, unless such subsidiaries meet the conditions provided by the Commercial Code (Section 42) to form part of the corporate group with the first tier subsidiary

For dividends, relief from federal, cantonal and communal income tax is granted ('Participation

Reduction') in case:

Switzerland

- (i) dividends derived from a participation of which at least 10% of the nominal share capital is held;
- (ii) dividends derived from profit rights to at least 10% of the profits and reserves; or
- (iii) the shares have a fair market value of at least CHF 1 million.

Dividends derived from

a participation in a lowtaxed jurisdiction or from a participation with income from passive sources (such as dividends, interest, royalties, insurance or income from group services) qualify for the Participation Reduction (no subject-to-tax or activity test).

Relief is granted in the form of a reduction of tax for the part that is attributable to the 'net dividends' (and 'net capital gains'; see under 2.3 below). The 'net dividends' (and 'net capital gains') are calculated as the sum of dividends (and companies (see below) are fully exempt from corporation tax on dividends received, regardless of whether the distributing company is located in the UK or outside the UK, provided that: (i) the dividend distribution falls within one of the five exempt classes described below; (ii) the dividend is not taken out of an exempt class by anti-avoidance rules; and (iii) no tax deduction is allowed to a resident of a territory outside the UK in respect of the

dividend. No minimum holding

UK companies other than small

United Kingdom

The classes of exempt dividends are:

period applies.

(i) dividend distributions
received from a company
(alone or jointly) controlled by
the UK recipient in terms of
powers or economic rights.
A targeted anti-avoidance
rule applies which tries to
prevent schemes that seek
to obtain the benefit of
this exempt class without
exposing profits to the CFC
regime by manipulation of
the ownership of a foreign
company;

of the assets available for

distribution on a winding-up.

is a possibility for tax neutral

step-up in asset basis (advance

period in which the income

was obtained (regardless

for any foreign withholding tax

incurred on the dividend.

is considered to be the case.

for instance, if the

| Mauritius The Neth | nerlands Sin | ıgapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| holding co in the stratof the subholding company) function for business of group. If more the consolidate the subsides sharehold 5%, or if the subsides sharehold 5% | ompany is involved ategic management position or if the company (or its parent or the benefit of the penterprise of the dividing tax in 50% of the assets of diary consist of ings of less than the subsidiary with its subsidiaries) annoting, leasing or company, the Motive permed to be failed. In additional company to the parent of a company in the permediate in the permediate out by its and (ii) the income from the set of the interprise of the company in the permediate is effectively taxed of less than 10% (see | addition, it will also be itled to claim a tax credit any foreign income tax urred by the dividend paying inpany, provided that the gapore company holds an erest of at least 25% in the dend- paying company (if a treaty applies, this threshold in be reduced to 10%). | of any exemption, credit or other tax relief which may be applicable to the income obtained by the subsidiary). If the foreign subsidiary resides in a treaty country with an exchange of information clause, this requirement is considered to have been met and no evidence is required to be provided by the taxpayer (other than a tax residence certificate issued by the authorities of the treaty country). In the event the foreign subsidiary obtains dividends or capital gains, this subject-to-tax condition must be met, at least, by the indirectly held subsidiary. In no case this requirement is met in case of dividends paid by a subsidiary which is resident in a tax haven (unless the tax haven is an EU Member State or a part of it and provided that the incorporation and activity of the subsidiary in such tax haven meets valid business reasons and it carries out business activities). | tax ruling is recommended to obtain legal certainty). Companies not qualifying for the Holding Status can still benefit from tax relief in the form of the Participation Reduction on the federal, cantonal and communal level under the above-mentioned conditions. The Participation Reduction indirectly leads to a full exemption from CIT on dividends derived from qualifying participations if properly structured. | An anti- avoidance rule applies which targets manipulation of the maximum threshold of 10%; (iv) dividends received on shares of any kind paid out of distributable profits other than profits derived from transactions designed to achieve a reduction in UK tax. If a paying company has any such profits, this exempt class is not available and will not be until all these 'tainted' profits have been fully paid out in taxable form; and (v) dividends received in respect of shares that are accounted for as liabilities in accordance with UK generally accepted accounting practice and are taxed as loan relationships for UK tax purposes, except if they are held for an unallowable purpose. The above classes of dividend which are exempt from corporation tax are relatively broad and most'normal' dividends of UK and foreign companies will be exempt from UK corporation tax, subject to relevant anti- avoidance rules. |

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | | |
| | and regardless of the tax | | The exemption does not apply | | As a general anti-avoidance |
| | position of the owner). For | | in case the dividend distribution | | rule, the dividend payment must |
| | purposes of the 50% threshold | | generates a tax- deductible | | not be tax deductible in the |
| | of the Asset Test, the fair | | expense in the subsidiary. | | source jurisdiction. Furthermore, |
| | market value of the assets is | | | | the distribution must not be |
| | decisive. The Asset Test is a | | In the event the subsidiary | | made as part of a scheme |
| | continuous test and has to be | | derives dividends and capital | | where: |
| | met throughout (almost) the | | gains from two or more entities | | (i) a tax deduction is obtained |
| | entire tax year. | | in which not all the above- | | or taxable income is given up |
| | | | mentioned conditions are met, | | in return for the distribution |
| | Assets that are used for group | | the exemption only applies | | or a right to receive the |
| | financing, leasing or licensing | | to the part of the dividends | | distribution; |
| | activities are as a general rule | | derived from the entities which | | (ii) goods and services are |
| | deemed to be passive, unless | | meet those requirements. For | | paid for on terms that differ |
| | they form part of an active | | these purposes, it is required to | | from the arm's length price |
| | financing or leasing enterprise | | identify which retained earnings | | and the reason for the |
| | as described in Dutch law, or | | have been distributed to the | | difference is that one of the |
| | are for 90% or more financed | | holding company. | | parties expects to receive a |
| | with loans from third parties. | | | | distribution; |
| | | | The portion of the income | | (iii) the dividend exemption is |
| | Ad ii.c) | | which does not qualify for the | | used to produce a return |
| | As a general rule, a | | exemption must be included in | | which is equivalent to |
| | participation is considered to | | the CIT taxable base. In case | | interest where the payer and |
| | be subject to an adequate | | of foreign subsidiaries, the | | recipient of the distribution |
| | levy if it is subject to a tax on | | Spanish holding company can | | are connected and the main |
| | profits levied at a rate of at | | benefit from a tax credit for the | | purpose, or one of the main |
| | least 10%. However, certain | | lower of (i) taxes effectively paid | | purposes, of the scheme |
| | tax base differences, such as | | abroad, and (ii) taxes payable | | is to obtain a more than |
| | the absence of any limitations | | in Spain on such income. | | negligible tax advantage; |
| | on interest deduction, a too | | Tax credits aiming to provide | | (iv) an overseas tax deduction |
| | broad participation exemption, | | double taxation relief cannot | | is being given in respect of |
| | deferral of taxation until | | exceed 50% of the tax due in | | an amount determined by |
| | distribution of profits, or | | case of taxpayers which had | | reference to the distribution |
| | deductible dividends, may | | a turnover of more of EUR 20 | | where the distribution is |
| | cause a profit tax to disqualify | | million in the previous tax year. | | made as part of the scheme, |

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|-----------|--|-----------|-------|-------------|--|
| | as an adequate levy, unless the effective tax rate according to Dutch tax standards is at least 10%. If the Minimum Threshold Test, as referred to in 2.2 (i) hereof, is met but the remaining conditions of the participation exemption are not, a credit will be granted for the underlying tax paid by the participation at a maximum rate of 5% (except for qualifying EU participations, for which the actual tax can be credited). Ad (iii) The participation exemption does not apply to payments received from a subsidiary to the extent that such payments are, directly or indirectly, deductible for CIT purposes in the country of the subsidiary (irrespective of whether the deduction is actually claimed). | | | | and the main purpose, or one of the main purposes, of the scheme is to obtain a more than negligible tax advantage; or (v) a company for which a distribution would represent a trade receipt diverts the distribution to a connected company which would want to claim an exemption for the dividend. It is possible for the UK recipient to elect for a distribution not to be treated as exempt, as a consequence of which foreign tax credit rules may apply on dividends received from foreign companies. This election may be beneficial where the terms of a double tax treaty would apply a higher rate of withholding tax if the dividends were exempt in the hands of the UK recipient compared to if the dividends were not exempt. |
| | | | | | Special conditions apply for a full exemption from corporation tax for dividends received by a UK company which is a small company within the meaning of Commission Recommendation 2003/361/ EC of May 6, 2003, |

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-------|-------------|--|
| | | | | | i.e. a company which employs less than 50 persons and whose annual turnover and/ or annual balance sheet does not exceed EUR 10 million. |

above is satisfied up to the time

of disposal.

2.3 Gains on shares (participation exemption)

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Capital gains realised on the | Gains realised on the alienation | Capital gains realised on the | Capital gains derived from | For capital gains, relief | Capital gains on shares held |
| sale of shares are not subject | of a participation (including | sale of shares are not subject to | the sale (including liquidation, | from federal, cantonal and | by a UK company are subject |
| to income tax. | foreign exchange results) are | income tax. | separation of shareholders, | communal income tax is | to UK corporation tax, unless |
| | fully exempt from CIT under the | | merger, partial or total division, | granted in the form of the | the capital gains qualify for |
| | same conditions as described | However, if the gain can be | capital reduction, contribution | Participation Reduction (see | a full exemption under the |
| | under 2.2 above for dividends. | characterised as a revenue gain | in kind or global transfer of | under 2.2 above) under the | substantial shareholding |
| | | (as opposed to being a capital | assets and liabilities) of a | following conditions: | exemption rules. |
| | Gains realised on option | gain), the gain will be taxable | Spanish or foreign subsidiary | (i) the shares disposed of | |
| | rights and warrants are | at the ordinary income tax rate. | are fully exempt from Spanish | represent at least 10% of | To qualify for the substantial |
| | generally exempt by virtue of | There is rich case law on this | CIT if | the participation's nominal | shareholding exemption, the |
| | the participation exemption | matter and authority is derived | (i) the conditions listed under | share capital or the capital | investing UK company must |
| | if, upon exercise, the holder | from decisions of not only the | 2.2.a) and 2.2.b) above are | gain derives from profit | have owned 10% or more of |
| | would acquire a qualifying | Singapore courts, but also | met on the day on which the | rights to at least 10% of the | the ordinary share capital in |
| | participation. | from case law in Hong Kong, | transfer takes place, and | profits and reserves; and | the investee company and |
| | | Australia, New Zealand and the | (ii) the conditions listed under | (ii) the shares or profit rights | must be beneficially entitled to |
| | | UK. Whether a gain is capital or | 2.2.c) above are met in each | disposed of must have been | 10% or more of the investee |
| | | revenue in nature, will depend | and every tax period of the | held for at least 12 months. | company's profits available fo |
| | | on the intention of the taxpayer | holding period. | | distribution and of its assets |
| | | when it acquired the shares. | | If, after the sale of at least 10% | on a winding-up, throughout |
| | | | The capital gains exemption | of a qualifying participation, | an uninterrupted period of |
| | | If the main intention was to | will be partially applicable if the | the remaining participation falls | at least 12 months in the six |
| | | make a future gain on a sale of | requirements listed under | below the 10% threshold, relief | years preceding the date of the |
| | | the shares, the future gain may | 2.2.c) above were not met | from federal tax will still apply | disposal. |
| | | be considered to be revenue in | during one or more of the tax | if the fair market value of the | |
| | | nature and taxable. The intention | periods of the holding period. | remaining participation is at | Furthermore, the investee |
| | | is not always obvious and is | In particular: | least CHF 1 million. | company must meet a trading |
| | | often inferred from the facts | (i) The exemption will apply | | requirement. The investee |
| | | of the case, such as how the | to the portion of the gain | On the cantonal and communal | company must be a sole |
| | | shares are financed, how long | corresponding to retained | level, a holding company can | trading company or a holding |
| | | the shares were held by the | earnings generated by | qualify for the Holding Status, | company of a trading group |
| | | taxpayer, whether the taxpayer | the foreign subsidiary in | entailing a full tax exemption | or sub-group. This trading |
| | | is in the business of buying and | tax periods in which the | on all its income. See under | requirement must be met from |
| | | selling securities, whether the | requirements listed under | 2.2 above for the conditions | the beginning of the 12-mont |
| | | taxpayer earned income from | 2.2.c) above were met. | and contemplated changes in | period by reference to which |
| | | the shares prior to the sale, etc. | | future. | the shareholding requirement |

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|-----------|-----------------|---|--|---|---|
| Mauritius | The Netherlands | With effect from 1 June 2012, a safe harbor rule exists in the income tax law. A gain derived by a Singapore taxpayer from the sale of ordinary shares sold on or after 1 June 2012 will not be taxable if: (i) The divesting company holds a minimum shareholding of 20% in the company whose shares are being disposed of; and (ii) The divesting company has held these shares for a minimum period of 24 months immediately prior to the disposal This safe harbor applies until May 31, 2022, and will be evaluated in 2021. For gains or losses arising from share disposals in other scenarios, the tax | (ii) The portion of the gain not corresponding to retained earnings generated by the foreign subsidiary and which cannot be allocated to a particular tax period will be allocated proportionally to the tax periods during which the interest in the foreign subsidiary was held, and will be exempt to the extent it is allocated to tax periods in which requirements listed under 2.2.) c) above were met. In general, the above- mentioned rules regarding a partial exemption should also apply in the event of a transfer of a subsidiary which participates in two or more subsidiaries which do not meet all the requirements. | Companies not qualifying for the Holding Status can still benefit from tax relief in the form of the Participation Reduction on the federal, cantonal and communal level if the conditions mentioned above are met. The Participation Reduction indirectly leads to a full exemption from CIT on capital gains derived from qualifying participations if properly structured. Transfer stamp tax The transfer of ownership of taxable securities can be subject to transfer stamp tax at a rate of up to 0.15% on securities issued by a Swiss issuer and up to 0.3% on securities issued by a non-Swiss issuer, calculated on | The jurisdiction of residence or incorporation of the investee company is not relevant. However, special rules apply among others in the case of joint ventures and group reorganizations. An anti-avoidance measure applies to deny the substantial shareholding exemption in case of an arrangement under which the sole or main benefit that could be expected is the realization of an exempt gain under the substantial shareholding exemption. |
| | | in 2021. For gains or losses arising from share disposals in | in the event of a transfer of a subsidiary which participates in two or more subsidiaries which | at a rate of up to 0.15% on securities issued by a Swiss issuer and up to 0.3% on securities issued by a non- | |
| | | other scenarios, the tax treatment should continue to be determined based on a consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case. | The exemption will not apply in the event of a transfer of: (i) a directly or indirectly held subsidiary which is considered a passive | Swiss issuer, calculated on the fair market value of the securities transferred if a Swiss securities dealer for transfer stamp tax purposes is a party or an intermediary to the | |
| | | Stamp duty Stamp duty is only due on the transfer of shares of a Singapore incorporated company (i.e. share issuance is free of stamp duty). The rate | company within the meaning of article 5 (2) of the CIT Act. In such a case, the exemption will only apply to the part corresponding to retained earnings; | transaction. Shares, bonds, notes, participation certificates and profit sharing certificates in Swiss or in foreign | |

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | The portion of the gain which is | | |
| | | | not exempt must be included | | |
| | | | in the CIT taxable base and, in | | |
| | | | the case of foreign subsidiaries, | | |
| | | | the Spanish holding company | | |
| | | | can benefit from a tax credit for | | |
| | | | the lower of (i) taxes effectively | | |
| | | | paid abroad, and (ii) taxes | | |
| | | | payable in Spain on such | | |
| | | | income. Tax credits aiming to | | |
| | | | provide double taxation relief | | |
| | | | cannot exceed 50% of the tax | | |
| | | | due in case of taxpayers which | | |
| | | | had a turnover of more of EUR | | |
| | | | 20 million in the previous tax | | |
| | | | year. | | |

2.4 Losses on shares

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| Losses incurred in respect of shares in a subsidiary are not tax deductible. | Losses on shares qualifying for the participation exemption are not deductible, except in the event of a liquidation of the participation (subject to stringent conditions). Losses incurred on option rights and warrants are not deductible if the participation exemption applies in respect of such option rights and warrants. See under 2.2. and 2.3 above. | Capital losses on shares are not deductible. Revenue losses incurred on the sale of shares are tax deductible unless the sale is offshore sourced. | Losses on shares qualifying for the participation exemption are not deductible, except in the event of liquidation of the subsidiary, provided that such liquidation does not take place within a restructuring process. However, losses deriving from the liquidation of a subsidiary must be reduced by the amount of dividends received within the prior 10 years in case such dividends did not reduce the acquisition value of the participation and were entitled to tax relief pursuant to the participation exemption regime or the tax credit regime. Subject to certain conditions, losses on shares not qualifying for the participation exemption may be deductible. | Losses are deductible, unless anti-abuse rules apply. Losses can be carried forward for 7 years. Loss carry back is not possible. Upon realisation of a capital gain, any earlier depreciation needs to be recovered before applying the participation reduction. Write-downs of qualifying participations can be scrutinised by the tax authorities and added back to taxable profit in case they are no longer justified. | Losses on a disposal of shares in respect of which the conditions of the substantial shareholding exemption are met do not qualify as an allowable loss for tax purposes. If such conditions are not met, losses on a disposal of shares generally qualify as allowable capital losses which may be offset only against taxable capital gains in the current year and in future years. No carry back of capital losses is possible. An anti-avoidance measure applies which provides that a capital loss arising on a disposal in connection with arrangements having a main purpose of obtaining a tax advantage will not qualify as an allowable capital loss. Accounting provisions or write offs on shareholdings can generally not be taken into account for tax purposes. Exceptionally, where the market value of a shareholding has become negligible, a claim can be made to the UK tax authorities to treat the asset as having been sold and |

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-------|-------------|--|
| | | | | | immediately reacquired at its negligible value, thus establishing a capital loss that could in principle be set off against capital gains on other assets, unless the capital loss does not qualify as an allowable loss for tax purposes. |

2.5 Costs relating to the participation

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---|
| In general, costs are deductible | Costs relating to the acquisition | Costs are deductible only if | In general, costs, including | All expenses are in principle | Costs relating to the acquisition |
| if they are incurred exclusively | or alienation of a participation | they are shown to be revenue | interest payments related to | deductible. However, due to | or sale of the participation |
| in the production of gross | are not deductible | expenditures which are wholly | the financing of the acquisition | the method used for calculating | are generally not deductible |
| income and they are not of a | | and exclusively incurred in the | and/ or maintenance of the | the Participation Reduction (see | against income profits, but |
| capital, private or domestic | Other costs relating to the | production of income that is | participation, are deductible. | under 2.2 above), expenses | may be deducted from capital |
| nature. | participation, such as interest | taxable in Singapore. Capital | | that are allocable to dividends | gains on disposal (if not |
| | expenses on acquisition debt, | expenditures and expenses | However, interest expenses on | and capital gains derived from | covered by the substantial |
| Costs are not deductible to the | are in principle tax deductible. | relating to foreign sourced | loans from related parties are | qualifying participations are | shareholding exemption). |
| extent that they are incurred | | income or exempt income are | not deductible if such debt is | effectively not deductible. | However, interest expenses on |
| in the production of exempt | However, the deduction of | thus not deductible. | used (i) to acquire, from other | | debt incurred to purchase or |
| income. | expenses on acquisition debt | | related parties, shares in any | Certain debt-to-equity ratios | to fund participations (whether |
| | may be restricted pursuant to | | type of entities or (ii) to make | and safe harbor interest rules | located in the UK or not) are |
| Interest expenses are | one of the following rules: | | contributions to the equity of | may apply. | in principle tax deductible, |
| deductible if they are incurred in | (i) the earnings stripping rule | | other related parties, unless it is | | provided the level of debt |
| respect of financing employed | implemented on the basis | | proven that such transactions | | taken on and the interest |
| exclusively in the production of | of ATAD I, which limits the | | are carried out for valid | | payable comply with arm's |
| gross income. | deduction of the net amount | | economic reasons. Additionally, | | length terms, do not breach the |
| | of interest expenses in a | | the tax deductibility of net | | unallowable purpose rule (i.e. |
| | taxable year to the higher | | financing expenses is limited | | debt should be within business |
| | (i) of 30% of the EBITDA | | to 30% of the operating profit | | or commercial purposes of |
| | for tax purposes or (ii) EUR | | for the financial year if the net | | the debtor) and provided no |
| | 1 million. The EBITDA is | | financing expenses exceed | | other specific rule limiting the |
| | calculated on a Dutch tax | | EUR 1 million. | | deductibility of interest applies. |
| | basis, which means that for instance dividends that | | In the case the set financing | | The 1 11/2 (Costs on at 15 a costs of |
| | | | In the case the net financing | | The UK's 'interest-barrier' |
| | qualify for the participation exemption (see 2.2) are not | | expenses of the tax period do not reach the 30% limit, the | | regime limits the deductibility of |
| | included in the EBITDA. Any | | difference between that limit | | interest expense for companies that are part of groups with |
| | non-deductible interest on | | and the net financing expenses | | more than £2 million of net |
| | the basis of this rule can be | | of that tax period can be added | | UK interest expense in a given |
| | carried forward indefinitely. | | to the limit that will apply in the | | accounting period. The default |
| | (ii) the anti-base erosion | | next 5 tax periods. | | position under the rules is that |
| | rules which restrict, under | | | | the tax deductibility of a group's |
| | certain circumstances, the | | | | net interest expense is limited |
| | deduction of expenses on | | | | to a fixed ratio of 30% of its |

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|-----------|--|-----------|--|-------------|---|
| Mauritius | related-party debt incurred in connection with certain tainted transactions, including the distribution of a dividend to a related party, or the acquisition of shares in a company which is a related party following the acquisition; (iii) the hybrid debt classification rules and the non-businesslike loan rules, as developed under case law. As a general rule, currency exchange gains with respect to borrowings to finance a participation are taxable and currency losses incurred on such borrowings are deductible. Subject to advance confirmation from the Dutch tax authorities, the participation exemption will apply to gains and losses on financial instruments entered into by the Dutch holding company to hedge its currency risk with respect to exempt participations. | Singapore | In case of leveraged acquisitions there is an additional rule that limits the deductibility of interest on loans that have been obtained for the purchase of shares, to 30% of the operating profit of the acquiring entity. The limitation does not apply in the year of the acquisition if the acquisition debt does not exceed 70% of the consideration paid for the shares. In the following years, the limitation does not apply if the acquisition debt is proportionally amortised within an eight-year period until it is reduced to 30% of the total consideration. | Switzerland | taxable EBITDA. A debt cap applies to ensure that the net UK interest expense does not exceed the net external interest expense of the worldwide group. Alternatively, a group may substitute the fixed 30% ratio with a 'group ratio' method. The group ratio is based, broadly, on the ratio of the net interest expense of the worldwide group to its EBITDA for the period (ignoring amounts payable to shareholders and related parties, and equity-like instruments) on the basis of its consolidated accounts. A debt cap also applies to the group ratio. Interest expense for which deductions are denied may be carried forward indefinitely to any later period where there is sufficient interest allowance. Unused interest allowance can be carried forward for five years. |
| | | | | | be curtailed by the UK's hybrid mismatch rules which seek |

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|-----------|---|-----------|-------|-------------|--|
| | As a general rule, currency exchange gains with respect to borrowings to finance the participation are taxable and currency losses incurred on such borrowings are deductible. Subject to advance confirmation from the Dutch tax authorities, the participation exemption will apply to gains and losses on financial instruments entered into by the Dutch holding company to hedge its currency risk with respect to its exempt participations. | | | | to counteract mismatches involving either double deductions (double deduction cases) for the same expense or deductions for expenses without any corresponding receipt being taxable (deduction/non-inclusion cases). The rules apply to arrangements involving a hybrid financial instrument, a hybrid entity or a dual resident company. |

2.6 Tax rulings

Mauritius

Any person who derives or may derive income in Mauritius may apply to the Director General of the MRA for a binding ruling as to the application of the Income

Tax Act to that income.

An application for a ruling is subject to a fee of USD 58 if made by an individual and USD 291 if made by any other person. The Director General of the MRA has a time limit of 30 days from the receipt of an application to issue a ruling.

Mauritius has had committed itself to the OECD framework regarding the compulsory exchange of information on tax rulings. The categories of tax rulings on which information has to be exchanged are identified in the OECD BEPS Action 5 Final Report.Rulings issued on or after September 2017 and must be exchanged within three (3) months of the date of the issue of the ruling.

The Netherlands

The application of the participation exemption regime or the domestic exemption of dividend withholding tax (see 3.1 below) does not require obtaining an advance tax ruling ('ATR'), although this is possible.

ATRs are regularly granted in relation to the participation exemption, non-resident taxation and the dividend withholding taxation rules (see under 3.1 and 4 below).

In order to be eligible for an ATR, a Dutch resident corporate taxpayer has to meet certain minimum substance requirements. In addition, the Dutch government aims to revise the Dutch ruling policy by 1 July 2019. As a result, the bar will be raised for issuing a tax ruling of an international nature. If such a tax ruling is issued, an anonymised summary of the ruling will be published.

As from 1 January 2017, the Netherlands (and all other EU Member States) is required to automatically exchange certain

Singapore

Singapore offers taxpavers the possibility to obtain an advance tax ruling provided it concerns an interpretation of the law. There is no requirement under the law to obtain an advance ruling for foreign dividends or gains, but doing so may be helpful if there is doubt about the interaction of the foreign tax position of an asset with the Singapore tax system.

Taxpayers can apply for

an advance ruling from the Singapore tax authority ('IRAS'). Broadly, an advance ruling is a written interpretation of how a provision of the Income Tax Act applies to a specific taxpayer and a proposed arrangement. A non-refundable fee of SGD 620 applies upon application for the ruling and a further fee of SGD 150 per hour applies to the next 4 hours spent on the ruling. The ruling process should take approximately 8 weeks (expedited handling is possible). Rulings are final, binding and confidential.

In June 2016, Singapore became a BEPS associate and, accordingly, committed itself to

Binding rulings can be obtained in relation to the interpretation and/or application of the provisions regulating the Spanish holding company.

Spain

As from 1 January 2017, Spain (and all other EU Member States) is required to automatically exchange certain information on tax rulings and advanced pricing agreements (APAs) issued on or after 1 January 2017. In addition, certain tax rulings and APAs issued, amended or renewed after 1 January 2012 will also be subject to exchange.

In addition, Spain has committed itself to the OECD framework regarding the compulsory exchange information on tax rulings issued on or after 1 April 2016. Tax rulings issued on or after 1 January 2010 that were still valid on or after 1 January 2014 had to be exchanged before 2017. The categories of tax rulings on which information has to be exchanged are identified in the OECD BEPS Action 5 Final Report.

The application of the Participation Reduction has to be claimed in the tax return and

does not require a tax ruling.

Switzerland

Similarly, the cantonal/ communal Holding Status (see under 2.2 and 2.3 above) has to be claimed in the tax return and does not require a tax ruling. However, in practice, it is advisable to request a tax ruling for application of the Holding Status in advance.

Switzerland started

spontaneously exchanging information on advance tax rulings as of 1 January 2018 for tax years 2018 onwards. Not only new rulings but also existing rulings applicable as from 1 January 2010 that are still applicable on 1 January 2018 are subject to the spontaneous exchange. The spontaneous exchange of information on advance tax rulings by Switzerland is based on the OECD Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters (MAC) and exchange may take place to the countries where the MAC has entered into force.

It is not common practice to obtain advance tax rulings. However, under specific statutory provisions, advance clearance may be obtained for certain transactions. The most common example is a clearance letter for a sharefor-share or share-for-debt exchange between two companies to defer any gains. It is also possible to ask for a non-statutory clearance in respect of recent tax legislation where there is genuine uncertainty as to the meaning of the legislation and the matter has a commercial importance to the company seeking the clearance.

United Kingdom

As from 1 January 2017, the United Kingdom (and all other EU Member States) is required to automatically exchange certain information on tax rulings and advanced pricing agreements (APAs) issued on or after 1 January 2017. In addition, certain tax rulings and APAs issued, amended or renewed after 1 January 2012 will also be subject to exchange.

unilateral transfer pricing rulings or rulings regarding the attribution of income to a permanent establishment.

3. Withholding taxes payable by the holding company

3.1 Withholding tax on dividends paid by the holding company

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| No withholding tax is levied | 15%, which may be reduced | Singapore does not levy any | Under the Spanish holding | 35%, which may be (partially | The UK does not generally levy |
| in Mauritius on dividend | by virtue of tax treaties. | withholding tax on dividends. | regime (ETVE regime), which is | or fully) refunded by virtue of | withholding tax on dividend |
| distributions to residents or | | | subject to certain formalities, no | tax treaties or the Agreement | payments. |
| non-residents. | Distributions by Dutch | | withholding tax is levied on the | between Switzerland and the | |
| | Cooperatives | | part of the dividend relating to | EU on the automatic exchange | |
| | Profit distributions by a Dutch | | income from qualifying foreign | of financial account information | |
| | cooperative are not subject | | subsidiaries (i.e. if conditions | ('CH/EU Agreement'). For | |
| | to Dutch dividend withholding | | listed under 2.2 above are met) | qualifying parent companies | |
| | tax, unless it concerns profit | | when distributed to a non- | a reduction or exemption at | |
| | distributions by a so-called | | resident shareholder, provided | source is possible under certain | |
| | holding cooperative. | | that the shareholder is not | conditions. | |
| | | | resident in a tax haven. | | |
| | A cooperative qualifies as | | | If a distribution is made to a | |
| | a holding cooperative if its | | Otherwise, the general | Swiss resident company, a full | |
| | actual activities usually consist | | withholding tax rate applicable | refund can be obtained or, in | |
| | for 70% or more of holding | | for outbound dividends to | case a participation of at least | |
| | participations or of group | | non-resident shareholders | 20% is held and a notification | |
| | financing activities. This is | | is 19%, which rate is usually | procedure is followed, an | |
| | determined based on balance | | reduced to 0 - 15% by virtue | exemption at source can be | |
| | sheet totals, but also taking | | of tax treaties or by virtue of | obtained. | |
| | into account types of assets | | the implementation of the EU | | |
| | and liabilities, turnover, profit- | | Parent-Subsidiary Directive | Furthermore, under the tax | |
| | generating activities and time | | in Spanish domestic law if all | treaties with various countries, | |
| | spent by employees. | | the applicable requirements | an exemption at source is | |
| | | | are met. | available for qualifying parent | |
| | No Dutch dividend withholding | | | companies. Certain strict | |
| | tax is due on distributions to | | The tax exemption deriving | requirements have to be met | |
| | members of the cooperative | | from the implementation of the | (beneficial ownership test). | |
| | that have an entitlement to less | | EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive | | |
| | than 5% of the annual profits or | | in Spanish domestic law will | On the basis of the CH/EU | |
| | the liquidation proceeds of the | | not apply under a domestic | Agreement (art. 9), a full refund | |
| | cooperative, alone or together | | special anti-avoidance rule if | or exemption at source may | |
| | with related persons or as a | | the majority of the voting rights | be obtained for dividends paid | |
| | member of a collaborating | | in the EU parent company are | by a Swiss subsidiary to an EU | |
| | group. | | directly or indirectly held by | parent company provided that: | |

| individuals or other entities that do not resided in an EU Member State (or in the EEA provided that an effective exchange of tex information treshy with smalled by a butch company or cooperative to a substantial shareholder established in: (i) the Netherlands, provided the shareholder established in: (ii) the Netherlands, provided that an effective exchange of the EU parent company follow valid economic motives and substantive business reasons with regard to the dividend distribution or is included in a CIT consolidation with the distribution or is included in a CIT consolidation with which the Netherlands has concluded a tax treatly that includes a distribution of the EU parent company is resident for tax purposes in substantive business reasons. (ii) either the EUEEA or a country with which the Netherlands has concluded a tax treatly that includes a distribution of the EU parent company is resident for tax purposes in that third State; and (iii) under any doubtle tax treatly with a third State; and (iii) before company are subject to corporation tax without being exempt and to the Hetherlands. However, the exemption under (ii) close not apply if (ii) the interest in the EUAth entity is held with the main purpose or one of the main purpose to avoid Duch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement in considered artificial if it | Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|--|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|---|----------------|
| shareholder shareholder shareholder Under the domestic rutes, a Offs rate applies if a distribution is made by a Ducht company or cooperative to a substaintal shareholder established in: (i) the Netherlands, provided the shareholder can apply the shareholder can apply the shareholder can apply the shareholder can apply (ii) the Netherlands, provided the shareholder can apply the shareholder can apply the shareholder can apply (iii) the Netherlands, provided distribution or is included in a CIT consolidation with the distribution company; (iii) either the EU/EEA or a country with which the Netherlands has concluded a tax treaty that includes a dividend article; provided the shareholder could have applied the participation exemption had it been a tax resident of the Netherlands. However, the exemption under (ii) does not apply if (ii) the interest in the Ducht-entity is held with the rain purposes to avoid Dutch feiderd withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement is | | 00/ | | | () | |
| State (or in the EEA provided that are reflective exchange of tax intermation treatly with similar by a Dutch company or opoperative to a substantial shareholder established in: (i) the Netherlands, provided the shareholder can apply substantial shareholder can apply substantial shareholder or an apply substantial shareholder or an apply substantial distribution or is included in a CIT consolitation with the distributing company; (ii) either the EU/EEA or a country with which the Netherlands has concluded a tax treatly that includes a dividend arising the participation exemption had it been a tax; resident of the participation exemption had it been a tax; resident of the participation exemption had it been a tax; resident of the participation exemption had it been a tax; resident of the participation exemption had it been a tax; resident of the participation of the shareholder could have applied the shareholder of the Matherlands. However, the exemption under (ii) does not apply if (i) the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purpose or one of the main purpose is an artificial arrangement is the Central purpose in the first of the participation and there is an artificial arrangement is the Central purpose in the first of the participation and the central purpose in the first of the first of the participation and the central purpose in the first of the f | | | | | , , , | |
| Under the domestic rules, a 0% rate applies if a distribution is made by a Dutch company or cooperative to a substantial shareholder established in: (i) the Netherlands, provided the shareholder established in: (ii) the Netherlands, provided the shareholder established in: (ii) the Netherlands, provided the shareholder established in: (iii) the provided the participation exemption with regard to the dividend distribution or is included in a CIT consolidation with the distributing or or pany; (iii) either the EUETEA or a country with which the Netherlands has concluded a tax treaty that includes a dividend article; provided the shareholder established in exemption had it been a tax resident of the Netherlands. However, the exemption under (iii) does not apply if (ii) the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the entin purpose or one of the main purpose or one of the main purpose or one of the main purpose to an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is | | · | | | | |
| Of tax information treaty with smaller by a Dutch company or cooperative to a substantial shareholder established in: (i) the Natherlands, provided the shareholder can apply the participation exemption with regard to the dividend distribution or is included in a CIT consolidation with the distributing company; (ii) either the EUETA or a country with which the Netherlands has concluded a tax treaty that includes a dividend attribution exemption with repart to the dividend destribution and provided the shareholder can deliber and the shareholder could have applied the participation exemption exemption which the Netherlands has concluded a tax treaty with a triangle as a dividend article; provided the shareholder could have applied the participation exemption had it been a tax resident of the Netherlands. However, the exemption under (i) does not apply if (i) the interest in the Dutch entity is an artificial arrangement is the state of the participation in place. An arrangement is | | | | | ' | |
| is made by a Dutch company or cooperative to a substantial incorporation and operations of shareholder established in: (i) the Netherlands, provided the shareholder can apply the participation exemption with regard to the dividend distribution or is included in a CIT consolidation with the distributing company; (ii) either the EU/EEA or a country with which the Netherlands has concluded a tax treaty that includes a dividend dividend distribution for a provided the shareholder can apply to the participation exemption with regard to the dividend at tax treaty with a trained has concluded in a CIT consolidation with the distributing company; (ii) either the EU/EEA or a country with which the Netherlands has concluded a tax treaty that includes a dividend article; provided the shareholder could have applied the participation exemption had it been a tax resident of the Netherlands. However, the exemption under (ii) does not apply if 0 the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purpose or one of the main purpose or one of the main purpose or one of the main purpose to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (iii) that are the form the purpose is an artificial arrangement is formed a far treaty or the control that is a purpose is an artificial arrangement is formed a far the purpose in the treaty or the control that is a purpose in the purpose in the purpose in the treaty or the control treaty in the purpose in the | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | _ | | |
| or cooperative to a substantial shareholder established in: (i) the Netherlands, provided the shareholder can apply the participation exemption wild economic motives and substantive business reasons the shareholder can apply the participation exemption with regard to the dividend distribution or is included in a CIT consolidation with the distributing company; (ii) either the EU/EEA or a country with which the Netherlands has concluded a tax treaty that includes a dividend direit participation exemption had it been a tax resident of the Netherlands. However, the exemption under (ii) does not apply if (ii) the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purposes or one of the main purposes in an existing and the distribution of the purposes in substantive business reasons the EU purposes in Switzerland; (iii) under any double tax treaty with a third State; and (iv) both companies are subject to corporation tax without being exempt and both have the form of a limited company. For an exemption at source pursuant to a tax treaty or the CH/EU Agreement, approval must be requested in advance which is valid for 3 years. In addition, in respect of each dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement is (beneficial owner test) also under | | | | _ | | |
| shareholder established in: (i) the Netherlands, provided the shareholder can apply the participation exemption with regard to the dividend distribution or is included in a CIT consolidation with the distributing company; (ii) either the ELUEEA or a country with which the Netherlands has concluded a tax freaty that includes a dividend article; provided the shareholder could have applied the participation exemption nad report of the Netherlands. However, the exemption under (ii) does not apply if (i) the interest in the Dutch entity is nell for a corn or or or or of the main purposes to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial amangement in place. An arrangement is in addition. | | | | | | |
| valid economic motives and substantive business reasons substantive business in substantive business reasons substantive distribution and clistribution or in place. An example with a third State treaty with a third State ready with a third State; and distribution or in place and substantive business reasons substantive with the realty duffer that the substantive business reasons substantive | | · · | | · · | i i | |
| the shareholder can apply the participation exemption with regard to the dividend distribution or is included in a CT consolidation with the distributing company; (ii) either the EU/EEA or a country with which the Netherlands has concluded a tax treaty that includes a dividend article; provided the shareholder could have applied the participation exemption had it been a tax resident of the Netherlands. However, the exemption under (iii) does not apply if (i) the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purpose to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is | | | | | | |
| the participation exemption with regard to the dividend distribution or is included in a CIT consolidation with the distributing company; (i) either the EU/EEA or a country with which the Netherlands has concluded a tax treaty that includes a dividend article; provided the shareholder could have applied the participation exemption had it been a tax resident of the Netherlands. However, the exemption under (ii) does not apply if (i) the interest in the Dutch entity is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is place. An arrangement is distributions in place. An arrangement is distributions in the distributions in the counter in place. An arrangement is distributions in the company is exempted and other in place. An arrangement is distributions in place. An arrangement is distributions in the company is exemption at source is a conflicted in procession of the participation and in the place in the participation and in the place in | | | | | | |
| with regard to the dividend distribution or is included in a CIT consolidation with the distribution or is included in a CIT consolidation with the distributing company; (ii) either the EU/EEA or a country with which the Netherlands has concluded a tax treatly that includes a dividend article; provided the shareholder could have applied the participation exemption had it been a tax resident of the Netherlands. For an exemption and it been a tax resident of the Netherlands. However, the exemption under (ii) does not apply if (ii) the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purpose or one of the main purposes to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement is (ceneficial owner test) also under (ceneficial owner test) also under (ceneficial owner test) also under | | | | substantive business reasons | ' ' | |
| distribution or is included in a CIT consolidation with the distributing company; (ii) either the EU/EEA or a country with which the Netherlands has concluded a tax treaty that includes a dividend article; provided the shareholder could have applied the participation exemption had it been a tax resident of the Netherlands. However, the exemption under (ii) does not apply if (i) the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purposes to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement is place. An arrangement is provisions guitant is a surface and company in place. An arrangement is | | | | | · · | |
| a CIT consolidation with the distributing company; (i) either the EU/EEA or a country with which the Netherlands has concluded a tax treatly that includes a dividend article; provided the shareholder could have applied the participation exemption had it been a tax resident of the Netherlands. For an exemption at source pursuant to a tax treatly or the CH/EU Agreement, approval must be requested in advance which is valid for 3 years. However, the exemption under (ii) does not apply if (i) the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purposes or one of the main purposes to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement is place. An arrangement is (beneficial owner test) also under | | | | | 1 1 | |
| distributing company; (ii) either the EU/EEA or a country with which the Netherlands has concluded a tax treaty that includes a dividend article; provided the shareholder could have applied the participation exemption had it been a tax resident of the Netherlands. However, the exemption under (ii) does not apply if (i) the interest in the Dutch enitity is held with the main purposes or one of the main purposes to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is | | | | | | |
| (ii) either the EU/EEA or a country with which the Netherlands has concluded a tax treaty that includes a dividend article; provided the shareholder could have applied the participation exemption had it been a tax resident of the Netherlands. However, the exemption under (ii) does not apply if (i) the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purpose or one of the main purposes to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is in the Dutch entity as the surpose is an artificial arrangement is in the Dutch entity as the surpose is an artificial arrangement is in place. An arrangement is in the contract of the country is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is in the country is an artificial owner test) also under | | | | | ' ' | |
| country with which the Netherlands has concluded a tax treaty that includes a dividend article; provided the shareholder could have applied the participation exemption had it been a tax resident of the Netherlands. However, the exemption under (ii) does not apply if (i) the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purpose to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is (iv) both companies are subject to corporation tax without to corporation tax without to corporation tax without being exempt and both have the form of a limited company. For an exemption at source pursuant to a tax treaty or the CH/EU Agreement, approval must be requested in advance which is valid for 3 years. In addition, in respect of each dividend distribution, a notification procedure applies. Switzerland will continue to apply its strict anti-abuse provisions in place. An arrangement is | | 0 , 3, | | | · · · | |
| Netherlands has concluded a tax treaty that includes a dividend article; provided the shareholder could have applied the participation exemption had it been a tax resident of the Netherlands. However, the exemption under (ii) does not apply if (j) the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purpose or one of the main purposes to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) here is an artificial arrangement is (beneficial owner test) also under (beneficial owner test) also under (ii) beneficial owner test) also under (iii) to corporation tax without being exempt and both being exempt and both being exempt and both have the form of a limited to the whether of a limited company. For an exemption at source pursuant to a tax treaty or the CH/EU Agreement, approval must be requested in advance which is valid for 3 years. In addition, in respect of each dividend distribution, a notification procedure applies. Switzerland will continue to apply it s an artificial arrangement is (beneficial owner test) also under | | ` ' | | | | |
| a tax treaty that includes a dividend article; provided the shareholder could have applied the participation exemption had it been a tax resident of the Netherlands. For an exemption at source pursuant to a tax treaty or the CH/EU Agreement, approval must be requested in advance (ii) does not apply if (i) the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purpose or one of the main purposes to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement is being exempt and both have the form of a limited company. For an exemption at source pursuant to a tax treaty or the CH/EU Agreement, approval must be requested in advance which is valid for 3 years. In addition, in respect of each dividend distribution, a notification procedure applies. Switzerland will continue to apply its strict anti-abuse provisions (beneficial owner test) also under | | | | | , | |
| dividend article; provided the shareholder could have applied the participation exemption had it been a tax resident of the Netherlands. However, the exemption under (ii) does not apply if (i) the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purpose or one of the main purposes to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is have the form of a limited company. For an exemption at source pursuant to a tax treaty or the CH/EU Agreement, approval must be requested in advance which is valid for 3 years. In addition, in respect of each dividend distribution, a notification procedure applies. Switzerland will continue to apply its strict anti-abuse provisions (beneficial owner test) also under | | Netherlands has concluded | | | ' ' | |
| the shareholder could have applied the participation exemption had it been a tax resident of the Netherlands. However, the exemption under (ii) does not apply if (i) the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purpose or one of the main purposes to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is | | a tax treaty that includes a | | | being exempt and both | |
| applied the participation exemption had it been a tax resident of the Netherlands. For an exemption at source pursuant to a tax treaty or the CH/EU Agreement, approval must be requested in advance (ii) does not apply if (i) the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purpose or one of the main purposes to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is For an exemption at source pursuant to a tax treaty or the CH/EU Agreement, approval must be requested in advance which is valid for 3 years. In addition, in respect of each dividend distribution, a notification procedure applies. Switzerland will continue to apply its strict anti-abuse provisions (beneficial owner test) also under | | dividend article; provided | | | have the form of a limited | |
| exemption had it been a tax resident of the Netherlands. However, the exemption under (ii) does not apply if (i) the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purpose or one of the main purposes to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is For an exemption at source pursuant to a tax treaty or the CH/EU Agreement, approval must be requested in advance which is valid for 3 years. In addition, in respect of each dividend distribution, a notification procedure applies. Switzerland will continue to apply its strict anti-abuse provisions (beneficial owner test) also under | | the shareholder could have | | | company. | |
| resident of the Netherlands. However, the exemption under (ii) does not apply if (i) the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purpose or one of the main purposes to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is | | applied the participation | | | | |
| CH/EU Agreement, approval However, the exemption under (ii) does not apply if (i) the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purpose or one of the main purposes to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is CH/EU Agreement, approval must be requested in advance which is valid for 3 years. In addition, in respect of each dividend distribution, a notification procedure applies. Switzerland will continue to apply its strict anti-abuse provisions (beneficial owner test) also under | | exemption had it been a tax | | | For an exemption at source | |
| However, the exemption under (ii) does not apply if (i) the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purpose or one of the main purposes to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is must be requested in advance which is valid for 3 years. In addition, in respect of each dividend distribution, a notification procedure applies. Switzerland will continue to apply its strict anti-abuse provisions (beneficial owner test) also under | | resident of the Netherlands. | | | pursuant to a tax treaty or the | |
| (ii) does not apply if (i) the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purpose or one of the main purposes to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is which is valid for 3 years. In addition, in respect of each dividend distribution, a notification procedure applies. Switzerland will continue to apply its strict anti-abuse provisions (beneficial owner test) also under | | | | | CH/EU Agreement, approval | |
| interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purpose or one of the main purposes to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is In addition, in respect of each dividend distribution, a notification procedure applies. Switzerland will continue to apply its strict anti-abuse provisions (beneficial owner test) also under | | However, the exemption under | | | must be requested in advance | |
| held with the main purpose or one of the main purposes to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is each dividend distribution, a notification procedure applies. Switzerland will continue to apply its strict anti-abuse provisions (beneficial owner test) also under | | (ii) does not apply if (i) the | | | which is valid for 3 years. | |
| or one of the main purposes to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is notification procedure applies. Switzerland will continue to apply its strict anti-abuse provisions (beneficial owner test) also under | | interest in the Dutch entity is | | | In addition, in respect of | |
| to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is Switzerland will continue to apply its strict anti-abuse provisions (beneficial owner test) also under | | held with the main purpose | | | each dividend distribution, a | |
| withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is Switzerland will continue to apply its strict anti-abuse provisions (beneficial owner test) also under | | or one of the main purposes | | | notification procedure applies. | |
| is an artificial arrangement its strict anti-abuse provisions (beneficial owner test) also under | | to avoid Dutch dividend | | | | |
| in place. An arrangement is (beneficial owner test) also under | | withholding tax and (ii) there | | | Switzerland will continue to apply | |
| | | is an artificial arrangement | | | its strict anti-abuse provisions | |
| considered artificial if it the CH/EU Agreement. | | in place. An arrangement is | | | (beneficial owner test) also under | |
| | | | | | the CH/EU Agreement. | |

3.2 Withholding tax on interest paid by the holding company

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| Subject to the belowmentioned exemptions, Mauritius levies 15% withholding tax on interest payments made by any Mauritius resident person, other than an individual, to any person, other than a company resident in Mauritius. Exemptions The following are exempted from withholding tax: (i) Interest payable on: a. a balance maintained in a bank which holds a banking licence by an individual who is not resident in Mauritius; b. a savings or fixed deposit account held by an individual, a société (partnership) or a succession (i.e. an estate) with any bank or a non-bank deposit institution under the Banking Act; or c. government securities, debentures and sukuks quoted on the stock exchange and Bank of Mauritius Bills held by an individual, a société (partnership) or | The Netherlands does not levy withholding tax on interest payments, unless interest is paid on a debt instrument that is treated as capital for Dutch tax purposes. In that case, dividend withholding tax is due at a rate of 15% (subject to reduction under tax treaties). An exemption is available under the same conditions as mentioned under 3.1 above for regular dividend distributions. Under certain circumstances, a non-resident recipient of Dutch source interest income may be subject to non-resident CIT in the Netherlands; see under 4 below. The Netherlands has announced that it intends to introduce a withholding tax on interest as of 2021 in the case of interest payments to 'low tax jurisdictions' and in the case of 'abuse'. | Interest, commissions, fees or other payments in connection with any loan or indebtedness are subject to a final withholding tax of 15% on the gross amount, unless reduced under a tax treaty. | 19% withholding tax (which may be reduced under tax treaties to 0-15%). 0% to tax residents in an EU Member State (not qualified as tax haven, e.g. Gibraltar), provided that they do not obtain the interest through a permanent establishment in Spain. | Withholding tax at a rate of 35% is levied on interest payments by for instance banks and similar financial institutions, or interest paid on bonds, notes and similar securities. If properly structured and documented interest paid by an ordinary holding company on an intercompany loan is not subject to withholding tax, unless the loan is profit sharing or qualified as hidden equity. Certain safe harbor interest rules may apply on intercompany loans. The withholding tax rate can be reduced by virtue of a tax treaty. | The UK levies 20% withholding tax on interest payments made to non-residents on loans with a maturity of more than 365 days. However, there are a few exemptions. No UK withholding tax is due on interest paid on quoted Eurobonds. In addition, interest payments on (UK) bank deposits may be made free of withholding tax, provided a declaration of non-residence is filed with the bank. A further exemption is available for qualifying private placements (a form of long-term, non-bank, unlisted debt) on certain businesses and infrastructure projects. Withholding tax on interest may be reduced to zero under the provisions of the EU Interest and Royalties Directive. Furthermore, a reduced interest withholding tax rate may apply pursuant to a double tax treaty with the UK. The UK operates a view on treaty applications that demands the recipient of the interest be the 'beneficial owner' of the interest. |

3.3 Withholding tax on royalties paid by the holding company

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|---|---|--|---|-------------|--|
| Subject to the belowmentioned exemptions, Mauritius levies a withholding tax at 10% on royalties paid to residents and 15% on royalties paid to non-resident. Royalties paid by an individual or a company holding a Global Business Licence are exempt from withholding tax. Royalties payable to a non- resident by a company out of its foreign source income are exempt from withholding tax. | None. The Netherlands has announced that it intends to introduce a withholding tax on royalties as of 2021 in the case of interest payments to 'low tax jurisdictions' and in the case of 'abuse'. | Royalties paid to non-residents are generally subject to a final withholding tax of 10% on the gross amount of the royalty, unless reduced under a tax treaty. | 24%, which can generally be reduced under a tax treaty. Royalties paid to residents of an EU or EEA country with which an effective exchange of information treaty exists, the withholding tax is reduced to 19%. No withholding tax applies between associated companies in the EU pursuant to the provisions of the EU Interest and Royalty Directive. The withholding tax exemption does not apply when the majority of the voting rights in the EU company which derives the royalties are owned, directly or indirectly, by individuals or other entities that do not reside in an EU Member State, unless the incorporation and operations of the EU parent company follow valid economic motives and substantive business reasons. | None. | The UK levies 20% withholding tax on patent royalty payments and payments for copyrights made to non-residents, as well as on certain other classes of regular payments to non-residents. The UK has implemented the provisions of the EU Interest and Royalty Directive. |

4. Non-resident capital gains taxation

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| Gains derived by non-residents from the sale of shares in, and other securities issued by, a Mauritius company are not taxable. | Capital gains realised by non-resident entities on the alienation of shares in a Dutch company are subject to Dutch taxation if all of the following conditions are met: (i) the non-resident entity holds at the time of the alienation directly or indirectly an equity interest of 5% or more in the Dutch company (a 'substantial interest'); (ii) the substantial interest is held with one of the main purposes to avoid a Dutch personal income tax; and (iii) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is considered as artificial if it is not put in place for valid business reasons that reflect economic reality. | Capital gains derived from the sale of shares in a Singapore company by a non-resident shareholder are not subject to taxation in Singapore. | Under the Spanish holding regime (ETVE regime), which is subject to certain formalities, capital gains realised by non-residents on the transfer of shares in a Spanish holding company are not subject to Spanish taxation, to the extent that the capital gains realised relate to retained earnings from exempt income (obtained from qualifying foreign subsidiaries) or to the increase in value of the qualifying foreign subsidiaries, provided that the seller (non- resident shareholder) is not resident in a tax haven. In case non-resident capital gains taxation applies, the applicable rate is 19%. Other exemptions Qualifying exchanges of | Gains realised by non- resident individuals or companies on the disposal of shares in a Swiss company are normally not subject to Swiss taxation. | Capital gains realised by a non-resident shareholder on the sale of shares in a UK company are not subject to UK taxation, unless the shares are attributable to a UK permanent establishment of the shareholder or the UK company derives its value from certain types of real estate investments. |
| | The income is calculated on a net basis. If the abovementioned conditions are met, the non-resident taxation also applies to distributions made by the Dutch company, as well as income derived from loans granted by the non-resident to the Dutch company. | | shares, mergers, spin-offs and contributions of assets. Liquidation The dissolution/winding up of the Spanish holding, triggers the same CIT consequences as described above in relation to a transfer of shares. | | |

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|-------|-------------|----------------|
| | | | | | |
| | Capital gains realised by non- | | | | |
| | resident individuals on the | | | | |
| | alienation of shares in a Dutch | | | | |
| | company are subject to 25% | | | | |
| | Dutch personal income taxation | | | | |
| | if that individual – together with | | | | |
| | his or her partner – directly | | | | |
| | or indirectly holds an equity | | | | |
| | interest in the Dutch company | | | | |
| | of 5% or more, unless that | | | | |
| | equity interest is attributable | | | | |
| | to a business enterprise of the | | | | |
| | individual. | | | | |

5. Anti-abuse provisions / CFC rules / BEPS measures

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| The Income Tax Act provides for anti-avoidance measures including the disallowance of deductions for (i) excessive remuneration to shareholders or directors, (ii) interest on debentures issued by reference to shares and (iii) excessive management expenses. Any transaction entered into for the sole or predominant purpose of enabling the relevant person, either alone or in conjunction with other persons, to obtain a Mauritius tax benefit is also disregarded. There are no CFC rules in Mauritius. | An annual mark-to-market revaluation applies to a substantial (25% or more) shareholding in a low-taxed subsidiary of which the assets consist, directly or indirectly, for 90% or more of 'low-taxed free passive investments'. Anti-abuse rules apply with respect to the participation exemption in relation to hybrid instruments (see under 2.2 iii above). An exemption or reduction of Dutch dividend withholding tax may be denied based on the so called 'anti-dividend- stripping' rules in the Dividend Tax Act. The rules described under 3.1 above, which excludes certain distributions from the exemption of dividend withholding tax, effectively constitute an anti-abuse measure. The same applies to the non-resident capital gains taxation rules for non-resident entities described under 4 above. | A general anti-avoidance rule exists in the legislation to disregard the tax effect of schemes entered into with a primary or dominant purpose of obtaining a tax benefit. There are no thin capitalisation rules, controlled foreign corporation provisions or earnings stripping provisions, although the general anti-avoidance rules may apply to such transactions. A no-substantial-change-in-shareholder test applies to carry forward losses and capital allowances, unless a waiver is obtained from the Singapore tax authority for the losses and capital allowances to be preserved. The income tax law contains transfer pricing rules. Where conditions are made or imposed between two related parties in their commercial or financial relations that are not on arm's length terms, the Singapore tax authorities may make adjustments to the profits for income tax purposes. | Apart from the anti-abuse provisions discussed under 3.1 and 3.3. above, the Spanish Legislation includes domestic GAARs, CFC rules, anti-hybrid provisions and anti-tax haven provisions (see under 2.2 and 2.3 above regarding exclusions from the participation exemption in that regard). However, CFC rules are not applicable when the foreign company is tax resident in an EU Member State, provided the incorporation and activity of the foreign company meets valid business reasons and it carries out business activities. Anti-treaty shopping rules are included in some treaties. | The 1962 Anti-Abuse Decree and certain Circulars stipulate unilateral anti-abuse measures. They contain specific antiabuse rules for foreign controlled Swiss companies that claim the benefits of Swiss tax treaties for income which they receive from abroad. Also under certain tax treaties, anti-abuse rules apply. Switzerland has no CFC rules in place and does not plan to introduce such regulations. Switzerland has taken account of some BEPS measures, for example: The ratification of the OECD Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters provided the legal basis for the spontaneous exchange of information (see 2.6) The ratification of the Multilateral Competent Authority Agreement on the exchange of Country-by-Country Reports provides for transparency for the taxation of multinational enterprises. | The UK has a general antiavoidance rule ('GAAR') which counteracts tax advantages arising from abusive tax arrangements. Penalties of up to 60% of the counteracted tax may be imposed. Further, the UK tax authorities have established a Counter-Avoidance Directorate which is responsible for the development, maintenance and delivery of anti-avoidance policy and enquiries into marketed avoidance. In addition, there is a regime whereby the UK tax authorities require any person undertaking tax planning which meets certain conditions to make disclosure thereof. The UK has CFC rules which, broadly, seek to tax UK resident companies on the undistributed profits of certain foreign subsidiaries in lower tax jurisdictions. A number of entity level exemptions may remove foreign subsidiaries from the scope of the charge, for example (broadly): an exempt period applies for the first 12 months after a CFC comes under UK control; and an |

6. Income tax treaties / MLI **6.1 Signatory to the MLI / ratification**

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| Mauritius signed the MLI on | The Netherlands signed the | Singapore ratified the MLI and | Spain signed the MLI on | Switzerland signed the MLI on | The United Kingdom signed |
| 5 July 2017. | MLI on 7 June 2017. | deposited the instrument of ratification with OECD on | 7 June 2017. | 7 June 2017. | the MLI on 7 June 2017 and ratified it on 23 May 2018. |
| On 10 October 2018, Mauritius | The Netherlands has largely | 21 December 2018 and | Spain has largely accepted | Switzerland expressed | · |
| submitted an updated draft MLI position to the OECD | accepted all provisions in the MLI, with limited reservations. | notified 86 of its tax treaties. For Singapore the MLI will enter | all provisions in the MLI, with limited reservations. Spain | reservations on the majority of the articles of the MLI, i.e. | The United Kingdom has accepted most of the |
| Secretariat in preparation | The Netherlands has chosen | into force on 1 April 2019. | reserves the right for article 4 | committed to the application of | provisions in the MLI. However, |
| of Mauritius' definitive MLI | for option A in relation to article | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | (Dual Resident Entities) not to | only the minimum standards. | the United Kingdom will not |
| Position to be provided upon | 5 (Application of Methods for | Singapore chose to apply | apply. Spain has chosen for | | apply: article 3(2) (Transparent |
| the deposit of its instrument | Elimination of Double Taxation) | for the PPT in the MLI as a | option C in relation to article | Note that Switzerland made | Entities); article 6(1) (Purpose |
| of ratification. According to the updated MLI position, | and the 'principal purpose test' without 'limitation on benefits' | minimum standard and opted for improved mutual agreement | 5 (Application of Methods for Elimination of Double Taxation). | a general reservation that it might choose to implement the | of a Covered Tax Agreement); article 8 (Dividend Transfer |
| Mauritius added 18 additional | clause in relation to article 7 | procedures and arbitration as | Spain will not apply article 11 | BEPS minimum standards by | Transactions); article 9 (Capital |
| treaties to the list of tax treaties | (Prevention of Treaty Abuse). | dispute resolution mechanisms. | (savings clause). | way of bilateral negotiations of | Gains from Alienation of |
| in force that it would like to | The Netherlands will not apply | | | its tax treaties instead of the | Shares or Interests of Entities |
| designate as covered tax | article 11 (savings clause). | Singapore made reservations | The ratification of the MLI | mechanisms introduced by the | Deriving their Value Principally |
| agreements (CTAs), <i>i.e.</i> , treaties to be amended through the | The Netherlands published | to most of the optional provisions. The Inland Revenue | includes the fulfillment of the procedures required for any | MLI. | from Immovable Property); article 10 (Anti-abuse Rule for |
| MLI. The MLI now covers 41 | a legislative proposal for the | Authority of Singapore will | international treaty signed by | Switzerland notified to apply the | Permanent Establishments |
| of the existing tax treaties of | ratification of the MLI on | clarify how each relevant treaty | Spain. | switch-over clause, i.e. option | Situated in Third Jurisdictions); |
| Mauritius. | 20 December 2017. Ratification | will be impacted by the MLI. | | A, in relation to article 5. With | article 12 (Artificial Avoidance |
| May with up had a submitted a | is expected in 2019, and entry | | With regards to anti-abuse | regard to article 7, Switzerland | of Permanent Establishment |
| Mauritius has submitted a provisional list of reservations | into effect is expected as of 1 January 2020. | | provisions, Spain has opted for the application of the PPT in its | will apply the Principal Purpose Test (PPT) as the minimum | Status through Commissionaire Arrangements and Similar |
| and notifications in respect of | r daridary 2020. | | covered tax treaties. | standard. | Strategies); and article 14 |
| the various provisions of the | | | | | (Splitting-up of Contracts). |
| MLI. Mauritius has chosen to | | | As of 1 February 2019, the | The Federal Council adopted | |
| not apply most of the optional | | | internal procedures for the | its dispatch to the Convention | |
| provisions. | | | ratification of the MLI have not ended yet in Spain. | and submitted it to the Federal Parliament on 22 August 2018. | |
| As of 1 February 2019, | | | ondod your opain. | The date of the entering into | |
| Mauritius has not published any | | | | force of the Convention is | |
| (draft) legislative proposal for | | | | unclear. | |
| ratification of the MLI. | | | | | |

6.2 Income tax treaties and effect of the MLI²

Treaties that will be amended by the MLI are shown in **bold** in the overview below. The overview only indicates whether both countries have listed the respective treaty as a Covered Tax Agreement. The effective date of amendment of the treaty depends on the ratification by both countries. The overview provides the status as of 1 January 2019.

| Mauritius | The Netherlands | Singapore | Spain | Switzerland | United Kingdom |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| As of 1 January 2019, Mauritius | As of 1 January 2019, | As of 1 January 2019, | As of 1 January 2019 | As of 1 January 2019, | As of 1 January 2019, |
| has income tax treaties in force | the Netherlands has income | Singapore has income tax | Spain has income tax treaties | Switzerland has income tax | the UK has income tax treaties |
| with the following countries: | tax treaties in force with the | treaties in force with the | in force with the following | treaties in force with the | in force with the following |
| | following countries: | following countries: | countries: | following countries: | countries: |
| 1. Bangladesh (People's Rep.) | 1. Albania | 1. Albania | 1. Albania | 1. Albania | 1. Albania |
| 2. Barbados | 2. Argentina | 2. Australia | 2. Algeria | 2. Algeria | 2. Algeria |
| 3. Belgium | 3. Armenia | 3. Austria | 3. Andorra | 3. Argentina | 3. Antigua and Barbuda |
| 4. Botswana | 4. Aruba | 4. Bahrain | 4. Argentina | 4. Armenia | 4. Argentina |
| 5. China (People's Rep.) | 5. Australia | 5. Bangladesh | 5. Armenia | 5. Australia | 5. Armenia |
| 6. Congo | 6. Austria | 6. Barbados | 6. Australia | 6. Austria | 6. Australia |
| 7. Croatia | 7. Azerbaijan | 7. Belarus | 7. Austria | 7. Azerbaijan | 7. Austria |
| 8. Cyprus | 8. Bahrain | 8. Belgium | 8. Barbados | 8. Bangladesh | 8. Azerbaijan |
| 9. Cabo Verde | 9. Bangladesh | 9. Brunei | 9. Belarus | 9. Belarus | 9. Bahrain |
| 10. Egypt | 10. Barbados | 10. Bulgaria | 10. Belgium | 10. Belgium | 10. Bangladesh |
| 11. France | 11. Belarus | 11. Cambodia | 11. Bolivia | 11. Bulgaria | 11. Barbados |
| 12. Germany | 12. Belgium | 12. Canada | 12. Bosnia and Herzegovina | 12. Canada | 12. Belarus |
| 13. Guernsey | 13. Bosnia and Herzegovina | 13. China (People's Rep.) | 13. Brazil | 13. Chile | 13. Belgium |
| 14. India | 14. Brazil | 14. Cyprus | 14. Bulgaria | 14. China (People's Rep.) | 14. Belize |
| 15. Italy | 15. Bulgaria | 15. Czech Republic | 15. Canada | 15. Colombia | 15. Bolivia |
| 16. Jersey | 16. Canada | 16. Denmark | 16. Chile | 16. Croatia | 16. Bosnia and Herzegovina |
| 17. Kuwait | 17. China (People's Rep.) | 17. Ecuador | 17. China (People's Rep.) | 17. Cyprus | 17. Botswana |
| 18. Lesotho | 18. Croatia | 18. Egypt | 18. Colombia | 18. Czech Republic | 18. Brunei |
| 19. Luxembourg | 19. Curacao | 19. Estonia | 19. Costa Rica | 19. Denmark | 19. Bulgaria |
| 20. Madagascar | 20. Czech Republic | 20. Ethiopia | 20. Croatia | 20. Ecuador | 20. Canada |
| 21. Malaysia | 21. Denmark | 21. Fiji | 21. Cuba | 21. Egypt | 21. Chile |
| 22. Malta | 22. Egypt | 22. Finland | 22. Cyprus | 22. Estonia | 22. China (People's Rep.) |
| 23. Monaco | 23. Estonia | 23. France | 23. Czech Republic | 23. Faroe Islands | 23. Croatia |
| 24. Mozambique | 24. Ethiopia | 24. Georgia | 24. Dominican Republic | 24. Finland | 24. Cyprus |
| 25. Namibia | 25. Finland | 25. Germany | 25. East Timor | 25. France | 25. Czech Republic |
| 26. Nepal | 26. France | 26. Guernsey | 26. Ecuador | 26. Georgia | 26. Denmark |
| 27. Oman | 27. Georgia | 27. Hungary | 27. Egypt | 27. Germany | 27. Egypt |
| 28. Pakistan | 28. Germany | 28. India | 28. El Salvador | 28. Ghana | 28. Estonia |

² Only comprehensive income tax treaties potentially relevant for holding companies are included.

Contact details contributing firms

| Cyprus | Ireland | Malta | Mauritius | Spain |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| Elias Neocleous & Co. LLC Neocleous House 195 Makarios Avenue PO Box 50613 3608 Limassol Cyprus | Matheson 70 Sir John Rogerson's Quay Dublin 2 Ireland | Francis J. Vassallo & Associates Ltd FJVA Business Centre, Industry Street, Qormi QRM 3000 Malta | BLC Robert & Associates 2nd Floor, The Axis 26 Cybercity Ebene 72201 Mauritius | Cuatrecasas Avinguda Diagonal 191 08008 Barcelona Spain |
| Сургиѕ | | | | |
| www.neo.law | www.matheson.com | www.fjvassallo.com | www.blc.mu | www.cuatrecasas.com |
| Elias Neocleous | John Ryan | Francis J. Vassallo | Jason Harel | Josep Marsal |
| T +357 2511 0110 F +357 2511 0001 | T +353 1 232 20 00 F +353 1 232 33 33 | T +356 22 99 31 00 F +356 22 99 31 01 | T +230 403 24 00 F +230 403 24 01 | T +34 93 290 55 00 F +34 93 290 55 67 |
| elias.neocleous@neo.law | john.ryan@matheson.com | francis@fjvassallo.com | jason.harel@blc.mu | josep.marsal@cuatrecasas.com |
| Elena Christodoulou | Aidan Fahy | | Javed Niamut | Javier Rodríguez |
| T +357 2511 0110 | T +353 1 232 20 00 | | T +230 403 24 00 | T +34 93 290 55 16 |
| F +357 2511 0001 | F +353 1 232 33 33 | | F +230 403 24 01 | F +34 93 290 55 67 |
| elena.christodoulou@neo.law | aidan.fahy@matheson.com | | javed.niamut@blc.mu | javier.rodriguez@cuatrecasas.com |

LOYENS LOEFF

Our offices

Amsterdam

P.O. Box 71170 1008 BD Amsterdam Fred. Roeskestraat 100 1076 ED Amsterdam The Netherlands T +31 20 578 57 85

London

26 Throgmorton Street London EC2N 2AN United Kingdom T +44 20 7826 30 70

Paris

1, Avenue Franklin D. Roosevelt 75008 Paris France T +33 1 49 53 91 25

Tokyo

21F, Shin Marunouchi Center Bldg. 1-6-2 Marunouchi Chiyoda-ku Tokyo 100-0005 Japan T +81 3 32 16 73 24

Brussels

Tervurenlaan 2 1040 Brussels Belgium T +32 2 743 43 43

Luxembourg

18-20, rue Edward Steichen 2540 Luxembourg T +352 46 62 30

Rotterdam

P.O. Box 2888
3000 CW Rotterdam
Blaak 31
3011 GA Rotterdam
The Netherlands
T +31 10 224 62 24

Zurich

Alfred-Escher-Strasse 50 8002 Zurich Switzerland T +41 43 434 67 00

Hong Kong

Unit 3708, 37/F The Center, 99 Queen's Road Central Hong Kong, China T +852 3763 9300

New York

555 Madison Avenue, 27th Floor New York, NY 10022 USA T +1 212 489 06 20

Singapore

80 Raffles Place # 14-06 UOB Plaza 1 Singapore 048624 Singapore T +65 6880 3070

LOYENS LOEFF

LOYENSLOEFF.COM

As a leading firm, Loyens & Loeff is the logical choice as a legal and tax partner if you do business in or from the Netherlands, Belgium, Luxembourg or Switzerland, our home markets. You can count on personal advice from any of our 900 advisers based in one of our offices in the Benelux and Switzerland or in key financial centres around the world. Thanks to our full-service practice, specific sector experience and thorough understanding of the market, our advisers comprehend exactly what you need.

Amsterdam, Brussels, Hong Kong, London, Luxembourg, New York, Paris, Rotterdam, Singapore, Tokyo, Zurich