# LOYENSLOEFF

2021 EDITION

# Holding Regimes in a New Era 2021 edition

Comparison of Tax and Non-Tax Aspects of Selected Countries

#### © Loyens & Loeff N.V. 2021

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or in an automated database or disclosed in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopy, recording or otherwise) without the prior written permission of Loyens & Loeff N.V.

Insofar as it is permitted, pursuant to Section 16b of the Dutch Copyright Act 1912 (Auteurswet 1912) in conjunction with the Decree of June 20, 1974, Dutch Bulletin of Acts and Decrees 351, as most recently amended by the Decree of December 22, 1997, Dutch Bulletin of Acts and Decrees 764 and Section 17 of the Dutch Copyright Act 1912, to make copies of parts of this publication, the compensation stipulated by law must be remitted to Stichting Reprorecht (the Dutch Reprographic Reproduction Rights Foundation, PO Box 3060, 2130 KB Hoofddorp, the Netherlands). For reproductions of one or more parts of this publication in anthologies, readers or other compilations (Section 16 of the Dutch Copyright Act 1912), please contact the publisher.

This publication does not constitute tax or legal advice and the contents thereof may not be relied upon. Each person should seek advice based on his or her particular circumstances. Although this publication was composed with the greatest possible diligence, Loyens & Loeff N.V., the contributing firms and any individuals involved cannot accept liability or responsibility for the results of any actions taken on the basis of this publication without their cooperation, including any errors or omissions. The contributions to this book contain personal views of the authors and therefore do not reflect the opinion of Loyens & Loeff N.V.

#### Introduction

We are pleased to present the 16<sup>th</sup> edition of our Holding Regimes publication, which was renamed to "Holding Regimes in a New Era" last year in order to reflect the increased attention in the publication to the rapidly changing international tax climate as further detailed below.

This publication provides a practical tool to compare key features of the covered jurisdictions. Initially developed as an internal tool for our tax practitioners, the popularity of this tool led to the decision to share it on a wide basis with our friends and clients. We hope that you will find this edition of the publication useful and that it will find a permanent place on your desktop.

The publication covers – in addition to tax features – certain non-tax features of the covered jurisdictions. In the current international tax climate, certain of the tax benefits of the covered jurisdictions may not be available for holding companies without business functions. This publication is therefore not intended for such companies.

There can be many reasons to establish a company with a holding function in a particular jurisdiction, including establishing a regional headquarters company, a fund management company or an investment platform company. The first chapter of this edition covers the business environment of the covered jurisdictions.

The jurisdictions included in this publication were selected based on certain factors. The inclusion (or non-inclusion) of a particular jurisdiction does not entail judgment by Loyens & Loeff on such jurisdiction. The selected countries are included in alphabetical order.

This publication is intended as a tool for an initial comparison of the most relevant tax and non-tax aspects of the selected jurisdictions and should not be used as a substitute for obtaining local advice. The information contained in this publication reflects laws that are in effect as per January 1, 2021, unless otherwise indicated.

With respect to the selected jurisdictions in which Loyens & Loeff has offices with a domestic tax practice (Belgium, Luxembourg, the Netherlands and Switzerland), such offices have provided the information contained herein. With respect to the other selected jurisdictions, we obtained the information from the firms listed below. We gratefully acknowledge the contributions of the below-listed firms. Additional information regarding the features of the selected jurisdictions may be obtained by contacting the relevant Loyens & Loeff offices at the addresses shown on page 113 or the below-mentioned contributing firms via their website shown below or the contact persons listed on page 112.

Hong Kong	Deacons	www.deacons.com
Ireland	Matheson	www.matheson.com
Singapore	Rajah & Tann	www.rajahtannasia.com
Spain	Cuatrecasas	www.cuatrecasas.com
United Kingdom	Skadden	www.skadden.com

It goes without saying that international taxation is developing at an unprecedented pace. The OECD/G20 Base Erosion and Profit Shifting ('BEPS') project has led to various developments, including amendments to domestic tax law and the OECD Model Tax Convention, the introduction of Country-by-Country Reporting and Local File/Master File obligations for multinational enterprises and the implementation of the Multilateral Convention to Implement Tax Treaty Related Measures to Prevent Base Erosion and Profit Shifting ('MLI') to amend covered tax treaties of participating jurisdictions. As of January 1, 2021, 95 countries have signed the MLI. The MLI, in particular the principal purpose test included therein, has accelerated the alignment of legal structures with business functions. In addition, the OECD is pursuing a two-pillar approach to reform global taxation rules beyond its BEPS project, which includes proposals for minimum taxation.

Within the EU, the Anti-Tax Avoidance Directive ('ATAD' or 'ATAD 1') was adopted by the European Council in 2016 and a supplement to ATAD ('ATAD 2') was adopted in 2017. Many of the ATAD measures became effective within the EU as from January 1, 2019. The anti-hybrid mismatch rules of ATAD 2 generally became effective on January 1, 2020 (but certain rules will only become effective on January 1, 2022). In the field of transparency, the Mandatory Disclosure Directive ('DAC6') was adopted by the European Council in 2018. DAC6 introduced disclosure rules for certain cross-border arrangements, which generally became effective in EU Member States on July 1, 2020. In July 2020, the European Commission presented various initiatives that are intended to further increase tax transparency and compliance with tax obligations, simplify certain tax rules and procedures within the EU and promote fair taxation.

Loyens & Loeff New York Marlous Verhoog, editor

## **Table of contents**

# Part I - Belgium, Hong Kong and Ireland

1.	Bus	iness environment	8
	1.1	Business climate – general	8
	1.2	Location, logistics and infrastructure	9
	1.3	Hiring employees	10
	1.4	Other aspects of business environment	11
2.	Tax	on capital contributions	12
З.	Cor	porate income tax	13
	3.1	Corporate income tax ('CIT') rate	13
	3.2	Dividend regime (participation exemption)	14
	3.3	Gains on shares (participation exemption)	16
	3.4	Losses on shares	17
	3.5	Costs relating to the participation	18
4.	With	nholding taxes	19
	4.1	Withholding tax on dividends	19
	4.2	Withholding tax on interest	21
	4.3	Withholding tax on royalties	22
5.	Non	resident capital gains taxation	23
6.	Tax	rulings	24

7.	Anti-	abuse provisions	25
	7.1	CFC rules	25
	7.2	Earnings stripping rules	26
	7.3	General anti-abuse rules	28
	7.4	Exit taxation	29
	7.5	Hybrid mismatch rules	30
	7.6	Other (domestic) anti-abuse provisions and doctrines	31
8.	Man	datory disclosure rules	32
9.	Inco	me tax treaties / MLI	34
	9.1	Signatory to the MLI / ratification	34
	9.2	Income tax treaties and effect of the MLI	35

# Part II - Luxembourg, the Netherlands and Singapore

1.	Business environment	40	1
	1.1 Business climate – general	40	
	1.2 Location, logistics and infrastructure	41	
	1.3 Hiring employees	42	
	1.4 Other aspects of business environment	43	
2.	Tax on capital contributions	44	
3.	Corporate income tax	45	8
	3.1 Corporate income tax ('CIT') rate	45	
	3.2 Dividend regime (participation exemption)	47	Q
	3.3 Gains on shares (participation exemption)	50	
	3.4 Losses on shares	52	
	3.5 Costs relating to the participation	53	
4.	Withholding taxes	54	
	4.1 Withholding tax on dividends	54	
	4.2 Withholding tax on interest	56	
	4.3 Withholding tax on royalties	58	
5.	Non-resident capital gains taxation	59	
6.	Tax rulings	60	

7.	Anti	-abuse provisions	62
	7.1	CFC rules	62
	7.2	Earnings stripping rules	63
	7.3	General anti-abuse rules	65
	7.4	Exit taxation	66
	7.5	Hybrid mismatch rules	67
	7.6	Other (domestic) anti-abuse provisions and doctrines	68
8.	Man	datory disclosure rules	70
9.	Inco	me tax treaties / MLI	71
	9.1	Signatory to the MLI / ratification	71
	9.2	Income tax treaties and effect of the MLI	72

# Part III - Spain, Switzerland and the United Kingdom

1.	Business environment	77	7
	1.1 Business climate – general	77	
	1.2 Location, logistics and infrastructure	78	
	1.3 Hiring employees	79	
	1.4 Other aspects of business environment	80	
2.	Tax on capital contributions	81	
3.	Corporate income tax	82	8
	3.1 Corporate income tax ('CIT') rate	82	
	3.2 Dividend regime (participation exemption)	84	9
	3.3 Gains on shares (participation exemption)	87	
	3.4 Losses on shares	89	
	3.5 Costs relating to the participation	90	
			С
4.	Withholding taxes	91	С
	4.1 Withholding tax on dividends	91	
	4.2 Withholding tax on interest	93	
	4.3 Withholding tax on royalties	94	
5.	Non-resident capital gains taxation	95	
6.	Tax rulings	96	

7.	Anti	-abuse provisions	97
	7.1	CFC rules	97
	7.2	Earnings stripping rules	99
	7.3	General anti-abuse rules	100
	7.4	Exit taxation	101
	7.5	Hybrid mismatch rules	102
	7.6	Other (domestic) anti-abuse provisions and doctrines	104
8.	Man	datory disclosure rules	105
9.	Inco	me tax treaties / MLI	106
	9.1	Signatory to the MLI / ratification	106
	9.2	Income tax treaties and effect of the MLI	107
Со	ntact	details contributing firms	112
Ou	r offic	ces	113

# LOYENSLOEFF

# Part Belgium, Hong Kong and Ireland

### **1. Business environment**

#### **1.1 Business climate – general**

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
<ul> <li>Belgium is a relatively small country in the heart of Europe, with an open, international and competitive economy. According to the 2020 KOF Globalisation Index which measures the openness of a country by assessing the economic, political and social dimensions of globalisation, Belgium is the 3<sup>rd</sup> most globalised country in the world.</li> <li>The stable political and economic environment in Belgium creates a reliable place to do business. Many multinational enterprises base their operations in Belgium, for instance by means of a European or regional headquarter, a shared service centre, a customer care centre, a distribution and logistics centre or an R&amp;D facility.</li> <li>Belgium also hosts the EU, NATO and other international organisations. This ensures a strong presence of lobby groups, diplomats and press.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Hong Kong is an autonomous region of the People's Republic of China. It has its own currency, political, and legal systems. This has allowed the city to continue to flourish as an international business city whilst enjoying the benefits of unrivalled access to opportunities in Mainland China.</li> <li>Hong Kong has been recognised as one of the world's most competitive economies. The International Institute for Management Development (IMD) World Competitive Yearbook 2020 ranked Hong Kong 5<sup>th</sup> out of 63 economies.</li> <li>The ranking reflects the consistent strides Hong Kong has made in building a favourable business environment. IMD assessed the economy across four competitiveness factors; economic performance, government efficiency, business efficiency and infrastructure. Among various sub-factors, Hong Kong is ranked top globally in 'Business legislation'. Within the 'Institutional framework' sub-factor, Hong Kong ranked 1<sup>st</sup> in the indicators 'Legal and regulatory framework' and 'Exchange rate stability'. These top of the world rankings re-affirm Hong Kong's institutional strengths, particularly in legal and money affairs.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ireland has succeeded in attracting some of the world's largest companies to establish operations here. This includes some of the largest companies in the global technology, pharmaceutical, biosciences, manufacturing and financial services industries.</li> <li>They are in Ireland because Ireland delivers: <ul> <li>low corporate tax rate – corporation tax rate on trading profits is 12.5% and the regime does not breach EU or OECD harmful tax competition criteria;</li> <li>regulatory, economic and period infrastructure of a highly developed OECD jurisdiction;</li> <li>benefits of EU membership and of being the only English-speaking jurisdiction, with a legal system that is broadly similar to the US and the UK systems;</li> <li>refundable tax credit for research and development activity and other incentives; and</li> <li>extensive and expanding double tax treaty network, with 74 countries, including the US, UK, China and Japan.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

#### **1.2 Location, logistics and infrastructure**

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
Belgium has a central location in Western Europe, one of the most wealthy and developed regions in the world.	Hong Kong is strategically located at the heart of the Asian continent. A five-eight hour flight connects Hong Kong to most markets in the Asia-Pacific region.	Ireland is an island situated off the north-west of the European continent. It's capital, Dublin, is 1 hour by air from London and 90 minutes from Paris and Brussels.
With its dense network of ports (including one of Europe's		
largest seaports in Antwerp), international airports, roads, rail and waterways, Belgium forms an excellent logistic gateway to Europe. The logistics system is supported by world-class	Hong Kong has taken significant measures continuously to upgrade its infrastructure in order to meet the growing demands of businesses. It is acknowledged to have one of the best airports	Ireland is recognized as one of the most attractive locations for international companies to access the EU internal market.
telecommunication and internet infrastructures.	and busiest cargo ports in the world. Transportation services are efficient and cheap connecting all major centres.	Ireland has a very well developed and sophisticated banking and financial services infrastructure with established experience in
Numerous distribution centres are established in Belgium,		handling the requirements of international companies.
taking advantage of the low cost and short distance to Europe's major markets.	Hong Kong's telecommunications infrastructure is one of the most technically advanced in the world. Broadband coverage is available to virtually all commercial and residential buildings. Hong Kong's free and open markets ensure there are several competitive mobile phone and Internet service providers.	International and internal transport services are well developed.

#### **1.3 Hiring employees**

#### Belgium

Hong Kong

The Belgian workforce is highly skilled and productive. Well-educated workers, who are among the most multilingual in the world, can operate successfully within a vast range of industries engaged in cross-border trade and services.

A special expatriate tax status applies to foreign executives and researchers assigned to Belgium. This status entails benefits such as tax-free allowances to cover certain expenses and the non-resident status for Belgian personal income tax purposes (limiting taxation to Belgian source income).

In the Human Development Index 2020 of the United Nations, which focuses on the richness of human lives, Belgium ranks 14<sup>th</sup> of 189 countries in total.

Hong Kong is home to a diverse talent pool, equipped with the skills and knowledge to drive business in Hong Kong and beyond.

Hong Kong is home to 20 local degree-awarding post-secondary education institutions. Currently four Hong Kong universities are featured in the Quacquarelli Symonds World University Rankings top 100 list, demonstrating the high quality of the education system.

Newcomers to Hong Kong will find that language is rarely an issue with most locals being either bilingual or trilingual. Most business professionals can speak English and Cantonese (Hong Kong's most widely spoken language), with Mandarin also being widely understood (Mainland China's official language).

#### Ireland

Ireland has a highly-skilled, flexible, educated and international workforce. In relation to education in particular, the share of 30-34 year olds in Ireland with a third level qualification is 53.5%, compared to an EU average of 40%.

Ireland has a 'Special Assignee Relief Programme' ('SARP') which can apply to employees coming to work in Ireland up to 2020. SARP operates by providing a tax-free deduction of 30 percent of the employee's salary in excess of €75,000. Employees benefitting from SARP also may recover from their employer the cost of one return trip for their family to their home country and the payment by their employer of school fees not exceeding €5,000 per annum for each child without incurring a benefit-in-kind liability.

Employees and prospective employees in Ireland are afforded the protection of the Employment Equality Acts 1998 to 2015 (EEAs). The EEAs prohibit an employer from discriminating against an employee or prospective employee in relation to access to employment, conditions of employment, training or experience for or in relation to employment, promotion or re-grading or classification of posts. Employers should ensure to operate fair recruitment procedures from the outset that are free from discrimination in order to be compliant with their obligations under the EEA.

Ireland

#### Belgium is home to numerous high-standard research institutes. Hong Kong is one of the world's most dynamic economies driven The attraction of Ireland as an investment location can be attributed University spin-offs and incubators are set up nationwide, boosted by the principles of free enterprise, free trade and free markets. to the positive approach of successive Irish Governments to the by the network of internationally renowned university research The robust economy over the past two decades has contributed promotion of inward investment, its membership of the EU, a very centers. As a center of excellence, Belgium delivers in domains to the GDP growth at an average annual rate of 5% in real terms. favorable corporate tax rate and a youthful, highly educated, such as life sciences, nanotechnology, biotechnology and There are no restrictions on inward and outward investments, no flexible labor pool. renewable energy. foreign exchange controls and no foreign ownership restrictions. It is the unique combination of these factors, and not one specific Factors such as a sound banking system, almost no public debt, element, which attracts investment to Ireland. While other a strong legal system, sizable foreign exchange reserves and a countries may be competitive in some of the areas highlighted strict anti-corruption regime serve to strengthen Hong Kong's above, Ireland's ability to create a compelling suite of both tangible position as a business-friendly region. factors (such as taxation and the regulatory framework) and more intangible elements (such as a 'can do' attitude to business) is Furthermore, businesses that are set up in Hong Kong (i.e. any generally cited as central to its ability to attract investment over other EU countries. Hong Kong company regardless of nationality) can now benefit by gaining preferential access to the Mainland China market from the Closer Economic Partnership Arrangement (CEPA) – a free trade agreement between the Central Peoples Government and the Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region. All goods qualified as Hong Kong origin may be exported to the Mainland tariff free. Hong Kong has responded effectively to the Covid-19 public health crisis, including seeing robust growth of 7.8% in the first guarter of 2021. Infection and mortality rates are among the lowest in the developed world, and it is likely to be at the vanguard of the restoration of international travel.

Hong Kong

#### **1.4 Other aspects of business environment**

Belgium

# **2.** Tax on capital contributions

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
There is a flat fee of EUR 50.	Hong Kong does not levy capital duty. A business registration fee is payable on an application for the incorporation of a company and the registration of a business. As of April 1, 2021, there are no business registration fees for a one-year certificate and the fee for a three-year certificate is HKD 3,200. In addition, companies are required to pay a levy for	There is no capital contribution tax in Ireland.
	the Protection of Wages on Insolvency Fund on their business registration certificates. As of April 1, 2021, the amount of the levy was reduced to HKD 250 per annum (for a one- year certificate) and HKD 750 (for a three-year certificate).	
	A sale and purchase of shares in a Hong Kong company or a company listed on the Hong Kong Stock Exchange is subject to ad valorem stamp duty at a fixed rate of HKD 5 plus 0.2% (increasing to 0.26% on August 1, 2021) on the greater of the consideration and the market value. Stamp duty is technically levied on the buyer and the seller (each 0.1%, increasing to 0.13% on August 1, 2021).	

#### **Corporate income tax** 3.

#### **Corporate income tax ('CIT') rate** 3.1

Dolaium	Hong Kong	Ireland
Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
As from 2020 the normal corporate income tax rate is 25%. Under certain conditions, SMEs can benefit from a reduced rate of	Hong Kong has a territorial tax system. A person is chargeable to profits tax if the following cumulative conditions are met:	The rate is 12.5% on trading income and 25% on passive income. However, certain trading dividends from foreign subsidiaries
20% on the first tranche of EUR 100,000 taxable income.	<ul> <li>the person carries on a trade, profession or business in Hong Kong;</li> </ul>	located in an EU member state or in a country with which Ireland has a double tax treaty or in a country which has ratified
Minimum taxable base	ii. that trade, profession or business generates profits; and	the Convention on Mutual Assistance in Tax Matters or whose
30% of the taxable income exceeding a first tranche of EUR 1	iii. the profits arise in or are derived from Hong Kong.	principal class of shares (or the shares of a 75% parent company)
million will qualify as a minimum effective taxable basis.		is traded on a recognized stock exchange are taxed at 12.5%.
	The profits tax rate for the first HKD 2 million of corporate profits	
The minimum taxable basis will be determined as follows:	is 8.25% for corporate entities and 7.5% for unincorporated	
1. The taxable basis is determined and the following tax	businesses, subject to certain conditions, while the standard	
deductions are made (in this order): exempt dividends, patent	profits tax rate of 16.5% for corporate entities and 15%	
income deduction, innovation deduction, investment deduction	for unincorporated businesses applies to profits exceeding	
and the group contribution deduction.	HKD 2 million.	
2. If after those deductions, the remaining taxable basis exceeds		
EUR 1 million, the following deductions can only be applied	A 'person' for the purposes of the charge to profits tax is defined	
to 70% of the taxable basis exceeding EUR 1 million, in the	as a corporation, partnership, trustee and body of persons.	
following order: the current year notional interest deduction, the		
carry-forward dividends received deduction, the carry-forward	Generally speaking, offshore profits arising in or derived elsewhere	
innovation deduction, the carry-forward losses, and finally, the	and remitted to Hong Kong are not chargeable to Hong Kong profits tax.	
carry-forward notional interest deduction.	pronto tax.	
The excess deductions are carried forward to the following years.	Ascertaining the source of profits can be complicated and can	
An exception to the minimal taxable basis exists for carry-forward	involve uncertainty. The general rule is that one looks to what the	
tax losses incurred by start-up companies during the first four	taxpayer has done to earn its profits, and where it has earned its	
taxable periods.	profit, discounting antecedent or incidental matters. If the location	
•	where the operations that in substance give rise to the profits took	
Notional interest deduction	place was Hong Kong, the profits in question will be Hong Kong	

#### **Notional interest deduction**

The notional interest deduction allows Belgian companies to deduct a notional amount from their taxable income. The notional amount is calculated on the incremental risk capital which equals 1/5 of the positive difference between the net equity at the beginning of the year concerned and the net equity at the beginning of the fifth preceding year. Specific conditions apply. As from 2020, the notional interest deduction only applies to so-called small companies according to Belgian corporate law.

#### **Incentive regimes**

Tax incentive regimes are available for, among others, insurance and insurance brokerage businesses, corporate treasury centres, aircraft and ship leasing operations, and Hong Kong based research and development.

sourced. Otherwise, the profits will not be Hong Kong sourced.

#### **3.2 Dividend regime (participation exemption)**

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
<ul> <li>Dividends received are fully exempt from CIT if the participation meets the following cumulative conditions: <ol> <li>minimum participation of at least 10% or with acquisition value of EUR 2.5 million;</li> <li>held (or commitment to hold) in full property for at least 12 months;</li> </ol> </li> <li>subject-to-tax requirement: dividends will not be exempt if distributed by: <ol> <li>a company that is not subject to Belgian CIT or to a similar foreign CIT or that is established in a country the normal tax regime of which is substantially more advantageous than the normal Belgian tax regime;</li> <li>a finance company, a treasury company or an investment company subject to a tax regime that deviates from the normal tax regime;</li> <li>a regulated real estate company or a non-resident company (i) the main purpose of which is to acquire or construct real estate property and make it available on the market, or to hold participations in entities purpose, (ii) that is required to distribute part of its income to its shareholders, and (iii) that benefits from a regime which deviates from the normal tax regime in its country of residence;</li> <li>a company receiving foreign non-dividend income that is subject to a separate tax regime deviating from the normal tax regime in the company's country of residence;</li> <li>a company realizing profits through one or more foreign branches subject in global to a tax assessment regime that is substantially more advantageous than the Belgian regime;</li> <li>an intermediary company (re)distributing dividend income of which 10% or more is 'contaminated' pursuant to the above rules;</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	Dividends are, with very few exceptions, generally not taxable in Hong Kong.	<ul> <li>Ireland operates a 'credit' system as opposed to a participation exemption.</li> <li>The law provides for a system of onshore pooling of tax credits to deal with the situation where foreign tax on dividends exceeds the Irish tax payable (being either at the 12.5% or 25% rate). Foreign tax includes any withholding tax imposed by the source jurisdiction on the dividend itself as well as an amount of underlying foreign tax. The onshore pooling system enables companies to mix the credits for foreign tax on different dividend streams for the purpose of calculating the overall credit. Dividends that are taxed at 12.5% are pooled separately to dividend may be credited against the tax payable on another dividend received in the accounting period within each pool.</li> <li>Foreign underlying tax includes corporation tax levied at state and municipal level and withholding tax. In this respect, it is possible to look through any number of tiers of subsidiaries.</li> <li>An additional credit is available where the credit calculated under Ireland's existing rules is less than the amount of credit that would be computed by reference to the nominal rate of tax in the EEA country from which the dividend is paid. This additional national credit is capped at the lower of the nominal rate of foreign CIT or the Irish rate of corporate tax on the foreign dividend (i.e. 12.5% or 25%).</li> <li>Where the relevant rate of taxation on dividends received in Ireland is 12.5% or 25%, as the case may be, to the extent that credits received for foreign tax equal or exceed the applicable Irish rate of 12.5% or 25%, then there will be no tax payable in Ireland.</li> </ul>

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
<ul> <li>g. a company, to the extent it has deducted or can deduct such income from its profits; or</li> <li>h. a company, that distributes income that is related to a legal act or a series of legal acts, of which the tax administration has demonstrated, taking into account all relevant facts and circumstances and except proof to the contrary, that the legal act or series of legal acts are not genuine (i.e. that are not put into place for valid commercial reasons which reflect economic reality) and have been put in place with the main goal or one of the main goals to obtain the deduction or one of the benefits of the Parent-Subsidiary Directive in another member state of the European Union.</li> <li>The Belgian tax authorities have published a list of countries of which the standard tax regime is deemed to be substantially more advantageous than the Belgian regime. Generally, this will be the case if the standard nominal tax rate or the effective tax rate is lower than 15%. However, the tax regimes of EU countries are deemed not to be more advantageous, irrespective of the applicable rates.</li> <li>Note that exceptions to one or some of the subject- to-tax requirements are available for e.g. EU-based finance companies and investment companies that redistribute at least 90% of their net income.</li> </ul>		Unused credits can be carried forward indefinitely and offset similarly in subsequent accounting periods. The credit system applies where the Irish company holds a 5% shareholding in the relevant subsidiary. These provisions apply to dividends received from all countries. Apart from the above-discussed credit system, dividends received by a portfolio investor which form part of such investor's trading income are exempt from Irish corporation tax. Portfolio investors are companies which hold not more than 5% of the share capital (either directly or together with a connected person) and not more than 5% of the voting rights of the dividend paying company.

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
Gains realized by the company on the alienation of shares are fully exempt from CIT to the extent that potential income derived from shose shares would be exempt under the dividend participation exemption (see 2.2 above) <i>and</i> provided that the shares have been held in full property for at least 12 months.	Profits arising from the sale of capital assets are exempt from profits tax. That said, gains arising from the disposal of assets that are generally regarded as capital assets, such as securities and immovable property, are chargeable to profits tax if such assets are held by the disponor as trading stock.	The disposal of shares in a subsidiary company (referred to in the law as the 'investee') by an Irish company (referred to in law as the 'investor') is exempt from Irish capital gains tax in certain circumstances. An equivalent exemption applies to the disposal of assets related to shares, which include options and securities convertible into shares.
Only the net gain realized will be exempt, i.e. after the deduction of the alienation costs (e.g. notary fees, bank fees, commissions, bublicity costs, consultancy costs etc.).		The exemption is subject to the following conditions: i. the investor must directly or indirectly hold at least 5% of the
The minimum participation requirement does not apply to		investee's ordinary share capital, be beneficially entitled to not less than 5% of the profits available for distribution to equity
nsurance and reinsurance companies that hold participations to nedge their liabilities.		holders of the investee company and be beneficially entitled to not less than 5% of the assets of the investee company available for distribution to equity holders. Shareholdings held
Any company that meets the minimum participation and		by other companies which are in a 51% group with the investo
subject-to-tax requirements but that does not meet the		company may be taken into account;
requirement to hold the shares in full property for at least one		ii. the shareholding must be held for a continuous period of at
/ear, is subject to tax at a rate of 25% as from 2020 or 20%		least twelve months in the 2 years prior to the disposal;
if applicable) on gains realized on the alienation of those shares.		iii. the business of the investee must consist wholly or mainly of the carrying on of a trade or trades or alternatively, the test
Unrealized gains		may be satisfied on a group basis where the business of the
Jnrealized gains are exempt from CIT (i) to the extent that they		investor company, its 5% subsidiaries and the investee (i.e. the
are booked in an unavailable reserve account and (ii) to the extent		Irish company and its subsidiaries) when taken together consi
hat - should the gains not be booked - they do not correspond to		wholly or mainly of the carrying on of a trade or trades; and
previously deducted losses.		<ul> <li>iv. the investee company must be a qualifying company.</li> <li>A qualifying company is one that:</li> </ul>
f shares are later disposed of, the reserve account can be		a. does not derive the greater part of its value from Irish
eleased without triggering any CIT, provided the gain relates to a		land/ buildings, minerals, mining and exploration rights; an
participation that meets the participation exemption requirements		b. (ii) is resident in the EU (including Ireland) or in a double
described above.		taxation treaty partner jurisdiction.

#### **3.3 Gains on shares (participation exemption)**

#### **3.4 Losses on shares**

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
Losses incurred on a participation, both realized and unrealized, cannot be deducted, except for (realized) losses incurred upon liquidation of the subsidiary up to the amount of the paid-up share capital of that subsidiary.	Generally speaking, losses on shares will be capital expenditure and therefore generally not deductible.	Depreciation on the value of the underlying subsidiary shares is not tax-deductible. In certain circumstances where the value of the shares is completely dissipated, the taxpayer may make a claim to the Inspector of Taxes responsible for that taxpayer and when the Inspector is satisfied that the value of the asset has become negligible, the Inspector may allow a claim whereby the taxpayer is deemed to have sold and immediately reacquired the asset for consideration of an amount equal to the value of the shares thus crystallizing a capital loss. This capital loss is only deductible against capital gains. However, where the disposal would have qualified for relief from capital gains tax under the exemption referred to under 3.3 above a claim for loss of value cannot be made. Capital losses incurred on the transfer of shares are only deductible against capital gains.

#### **3.5 Costs relating to the participation**

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
Costs relating to the acquisition and/or the management of the participation are deductible under the normal conditions. Such costs generally include interest expenses related to acquisition debt. However, in recent case law the tax deductibility of interest expenses in the context of a debt push down has been successfully challenged by the tax authorities. Moreover, the new interest deduction limitation rule (see under 5 below) and the debt-to-equity ratio of 5:1 should be observed. Certain exceptions exist.	The general rule is that in ascertaining a taxpayer's taxable profits, a deduction is allowed for all outgoings and expenses incurred by the taxpayer in the production of profits chargeable to profits tax. Costs, including interest expenses, incurred in connection with a participation are generally non-deductible as dividends and capital gains derived from a participation are in general exempt from profits tax. There are no thin capitalization rules. Other strict rules may restrict the deductibility of interest, in particular on borrowings from non-Hong Kong residents.	Certain expenses related to managing investment activities of 'investment companies' are allowed against the company's total profits. An investment company is defined as any company whos business consists wholly or mainly in the making of investments, and the principal part of whose income is derived from those investments. This can include holding companies whose investment in this case is the subsidiaries. Interest payments relating to the financing of the acquisition of the subsidiaries may be deductible. However, as an anti-abuse measure, interest relief is generally not available when the interest is paid on a loan obtained from a related party, where the loan is used to acquire ordinary share capital of a company that is related to the investing company, or to on-lend to another company which uses the funds directly or indirectly to acquire capital of a company that is related to the investing company. <b>Thin capitalization</b> If securities are issued by the Irish company to certain non-resident group companies, any 'interest' paid in relation to the securities can be re-classified as a distribution and therefore will not be deductible. The rules relating to dividend withholding tax will then apply. This rule does not apply to interest paid to a company resident in an EU jurisdiction (other than Ireland) or a country with which Ireland has signed a double tax treaty if the treaty contains a non-discrimination provision. The taxpayer company may elect that this rule does not apply in a situation where interest is paid by that company in the ordinary course of a trade carried on by that company.

# 4. Withholding taxes

#### 4.1 Withholding tax on dividends

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
The domestic withholding tax rate on dividends and liquidation distributions is generally 30%, which may be reduced by virtue of tax treaties.	Hong Kong does not levy withholding tax on dividend distributions paid to either residents or non-residents.	25%, which may be reduced by virtue of tax treaties or under domestic law to 0% - 15%.
<ul> <li>Exemptions</li> <li>An exemption from withholding tax applies to (liquidation) dividend distributions made to a parent company that: <ol> <li>holds (or commits to hold) a participation of at least 10% of the share capital of the distributing company for a period of at least one year;</li> <li>is tax resident in an EU country or a tax treaty country under that country's domestic tax law and under the tax treaties concluded by that country with third countries (provided that the tax treaty (or another agreement) contains an exchange of information clause);</li> <li>is incorporated in a legal form listed in the annex to the EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive or a similar legal form (for a tax treaty country); and</li> <li>is, in its country of tax residence, subject to CIT or a similar tax without benefiting from a regime that deviates from the normal tax regime.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Dividends will not be exempt from withholding tax if the dividends are related to a legal act or a series of legal acts, which are not genuine (i.e. that are not put into place for valid commercial reasons which reflect economic reality) and have been put in place with the main goal or one of the main goals to obtain the</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Exemptions</li> <li>Pursuant to the implementation of the EU Parent-Subsidiary</li> <li>Directive, dividend withholding tax is not due on dividends paid by Irish resident companies to companies resident in other EU jurisdictions who hold at least 5% of the ordinary share capital, provided the anti-abuse provision mentioned under 5 below is met.</li> <li>In addition, domestic exemptions apply if: <ol> <li>the individual shareholder is resident in an EU Member State (other than Ireland) or a treaty partner jurisdiction;</li> <li>the parent company is resident in an EU Member State (other than Ireland) or a treaty partner jurisdiction and is not ultimately controlled by Irish residents;</li> <li>the parent company is not resident in Ireland and is ultimately controlled by residents of an EU Member State (other than Ireland) or a treaty partner jurisdiction; or</li> <li>a non-resident company can also qualify for the exemption if the principal class of shares in the company or its 75% parent are substantially and regularly traded on a recognized stock exchange in the EU (including Ireland) or in a treaty partner jurisdiction.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
exemption or one of the benefits of the Parent-Subsidiary Directive in another member state of the European Union.		In relation to the domestic exemptions above, the Irish company may pay a dividend free from withholding taxes as long as the recipient company or individual makes a declaration in the specified form in relation to its entitlement to the domestic exemption. There is no minimum shareholding requirement.

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
A separate exemption from withholding tax applies to dividends distributed by a resident company to resident and non-resident companies located in the EEA or a tax treaty country providing for exchange of information that hold a participation in the distributing company's capital of less than 10% and with an acquisition value of at least EUR 2.5 million for an uninterrupted period of at least 12 months (or commitment to hold), to the extent that the receiving entity cannot credit Belgian withholding tax and that it meets subject-to-tax requirements. The receiving entity must certify the fulfilment of the conditions.		Liquidation proceeds Liquidation distributions are not subject to dividend withholding tax. See however, under 4 below regarding capital gains tax upon liquidation.
Small companies Reduced withholding tax rates are available for distributions by so- called small companies according to Belgian corporate law.		
Capital reduction The reimbursement of paid-up capital is in principle exempt from withholding tax. For dividend withholding tax purposes, paid-up capital reimbursements are deemed to derive proportionally from paid-up capital and from taxed reserves (incorporated and non-incorporated into capital) and exempt reserves incorporated into the capital. The reduction of capital is only allocated to paid-up capital in the proportion of the paid-up capital in the total capital increased by certain reserves. The portion allocated to the reserves is deemed to be a dividend and subject to withholding tax (unless an exemption applies).		

#### **4.2** Withholding tax on interest

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
<ul> <li>The domestic interest withholding tax rate is generally 30%, which may be reduced to 0-10% by virtue of tax treaties and domestic exemptions (e.g. registered bonds, and interest payments to banks).</li> <li>0% withholding tax on interest payments to a qualifying EU company ('Beneficiary'), provided that: <ul> <li>the Beneficiary holds or commits to hold directly or indirectly at least 25% of the share capital of the debtor (or vice versa) for a period of at least one year; or</li> <li>a third EU company holds or commits to hold directly or indirectly at least 25% of respectively the share capital of the Belgian debtor and that of the Beneficiary for a period of at least one year.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Interest payments to a non-EU branch of an EU company do not qualify for the 0% rate.</li> </ul>	Hong Kong does not levy withholding tax on interest payments to either residents or non-residents.	<ul> <li>Withholding tax (20%, subject to reduction under tax treaties) is levied on 'yearly interest' paid by a company. It is not applicable to short-term interest (i.e. interest on a debt of less than a year).</li> <li>Exemption <ul> <li>A number of exemptions apply, including:</li> <li>Interest paid by a company or an investment undertaking (in the ordinary course of a trade or business carried on by that person) to a company resident for tax purposes in a member state of the EU (other than Ireland) or a treaty partner jurisdiction provided (i) that jurisdiction imposes a tax which generally applies to interest receivable from foreign territories or (ii) the double tax treaty provides for withholding tax on interest to be reduced to nil, except where such interest is paid to that company in connection with a trade or business which is carried on in Ireland by that company through a branch or agency;</li> </ul> </li> <li>Pursuant to the implementation of the EU Interest and Royalty Directive into Irish law, no withholding tax is due on cross border interest and royalty payments between associated if one owns at least 25% of the other or at least 25% of each company is owned by a third company;</li> <li>Interest paid by a treasury company to other Irish resident companies where both companies are members of the same group (51% relationship required).</li> </ul>

#### 4.3 Withholding tax on royalties

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
30% but often exempt by virtue of tax treaties.	Hong Kong levies a withholding tax on royalties at rates from 16.5% to 2.475% of the gross payment if the recipient is a	Withholding tax is only applicable to patent royalties, at the rate of 20%. The rate may be reduced to between 0% and 15% by virtue
0% withholding tax to qualifying EU companies under similar conditions as set forth under 4.2 above.	non- resident. If the non-resident recipient is an associated party, a 16.5% for corporate entities or 15% for unincorporated	of a tax treaty.
	businesses withholding tax applies on the royalty payment, unless	Exemptions
	the Inland Revenue Department is satisfied that no person carrying on a trade, profession or business in Hong Kong has ever owned the intellectual property in respect of which the royalties are paid. The two-tiered profits tax rate may reduce those rates further, subject to applicable conditions. Most tax treaties concluded by Hong Kong reduce the applicable withholding tax rate.	<ul> <li>i. Pursuant to the implementation of the EU Interest and Royalty Directive into Irish law, no withholding tax is due on cross border interest and royalty payments between associated companies in the EU;</li> <li>ii. A domestic exemption applies to royalties paid by a company to a company resident for tax purposes in a member state of the EU (other than Ireland) or a treaty partner jurisdiction in</li> </ul>
	Royalty payments to Hong Kong residents are not subject to withholding tax.	certain circumstances; and iii. A concessionary exemption from withholding tax applies on patent royalty payments made to a non-double taxation treaty resident company once certain conditions are fulfilled.

# 5. Non-resident capital gains taxation

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
Gains realized by non- resident entities without a Belgian permanent establishment to which the shares are attributed, in respect of shares in a Belgian company are not taxable.	There is no tax on capital gains derived by non-Hong Kong residents from shares in a Hong Kong company, provided that the shares in question are not held by the vendor as trading stock, and, if they are, that the sale and purchase was not effected in	Gains realized by non- residents on the disposal of shares in an Irish company are not taxable, except when the shares in the Irish company derive their value or the greater part of their value directly or indirectly from land, minerals, mining or exploration rights in
Gains realized by non- resident individuals in respect of shares in a Belgian company are taxable under certain circumstances (if there is no adequate treaty protection).	Hong Kong.	Ireland. However, if the shares in the Irish company are quoted on a stock exchange such capital gains tax does not apply.
		Liquidation proceeds are subject to capital gains tax in the hands of the shareholder of the liquidated company, in circumstances where the conditions for the capital gains tax exemption described in 3.3 above are not met at the moment of liquidation.

#### 6. Tax rulings

gium

Hong Kong

The application of the participation exemption regime does not require obtaining a ruling, although in principle this would be possible.

Belgium automatically exchanges information on advance cross-border tax rulings and advance pricing agreements in conformity with EU law. The categories of tax rulings on which information has to be exchanged are identified in the OECD BEPS Action 5 Final Report. Taxpayers may seek advance confirmation with respect to the application of a particular provision by means of concluding an advance tax ruling with the Inland Revenue Department. In general, advance tax rulings cover the source of profits as either onshore or offshore (i.e. taxable or not taxable), the qualification as a service company, stock borrowing and lending, royalty payments, collective investment schemes, the general anti-avoidance rules, the sale of loss companies and exemption of interest income. Ireland

The application of the Irish tax rules does not require a tax ruling. However, if there is doubt as to the application of the rules, for example, whether the group can be regarded as a trading group for the purpose of a capital gains tax relief, the opinion of the Revenue may be sought. This opinion is not binding and ultimately the status of the company will be decided by the individual Inspector of Taxes responsible for that company. However, where full facts are disclosed to the Revenue it would be unlikely that the individual Inspector would come to a different view.

As from January 1, 2017, Ireland (and all other EU Member States) is required to automatically exchange certain information on crossborder tax rulings and advanced pricing agreements issued on or after January 1, 2017. In addition, certain tax rulings and advance pricing agreements issued, amended or renewed on or after January 1, 2012 that were still valid on or after January 1, 2014 are also subject to exchange.

Ireland has also implemented the OECD framework regarding the compulsory exchange of information on tax rulings issued on or after April 1, 2016. Tax rulings issued on or after January 1, 2010 that were still valid on or after January 1, 2014 had to be exchanged before 2017. The categories of tax rulings on which information has to be exchanged are identified in the OECD BEPS Action 5 Final Report.

# 7. Anti-abuse provisions

#### 7.1 CFC rules

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
<ul> <li>Belgium has introduced a CFC rule and it has chosen to apply 'Option B' as provided under ATAD 1.</li> <li>A foreign company qualifies as a CFC if: <ul> <li>i. the Belgian taxpayer owns directly or indirectly the majority of voting rights, or holds directly or indirectly at least 50% of the capital, or is entitled to receive at least 50% of the profits of the foreign company (control test); and</li> <li>ii. the foreign company is in its country of residence either not subject to an income tax or is subject to an income tax that is less than half of the income tax if the company would be established in Belgium.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A Belgian parent company should include in its tax base non-distributed income of the CFC to the extent that it arises from non-genuine arrangements which have been put in place for the essential purpose of obtaining a tax advantage. An arrangement shall be regarded as non-genuine to the extent that the CFC would not own assets or would not have undertaken risks if it were not controlled by the Belgian taxpayer where the significant people functions relevant to those assets and risks, are carried out and are instrumental in generating the CFC's income.</li> </ul>	There are no CFC rules in Hong Kong.	<ul> <li>Ireland introduced CFC rules from January 1, 2019, that apply to companies that are not resident in Ireland and that are controlled (directly or indirectly) by a company or companies that are resident in Ireland. The rules have been amended with effect from January 1, 2021 to provide more stringent criteria in respect of subsidiary companies resident in jurisdictions included in the EU list of non-cooperative tax jurisdictions.</li> <li>A CFC charge will only arise to the extent that: <ul> <li>a. the CFC has undistributed income; and</li> <li>b. the CFC generates income by reference to activities (significant people functions or key entrepreneurial risk-taking functions) carried on in Ireland.</li> </ul> </li> <li>There are a number of exemptions from the CFC charge. For example, no CFC charge will arise if: <ul> <li>a. the undistributed income is attributable to Irish activities that are either performed under arrangements entered into on arm's length terms or are subject to the linish transfer pricing rules;</li> <li>b. the essential purpose of the arrangements is not to secure a tax advantage;</li> <li>c. the CFC satisfies a de minimis exemption based on either a 'low accounting profits' or a 'low-profit margin' test; or</li> <li>d. the tax paid by the CFC in its country of residence (including tax on chargeable gains) is more than half of the tax that it would have been paid if the CFC was tax resident in Ireland.</li> </ul> </li> <li>In cases where a CFC charge does arise, this charge is calculated in accordance with Irish transfer pricing principles. The amount upon which the charge is calculated is capped by reference to the undistributed income of the CFC attributable to Irish activities.</li> <li>The CFC charge is applied at the Irish corporation tax rates (12.5% to the extent the profits are generated from trading activities and 25% in all other cases).</li> </ul>

#### **7.2 Earnings stripping rules**

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
Belgium has introduced earnings stripping rules pursuant to ATAD 1.	Hong Kong does not have earnings stripping rules.	ATAD required EU Member States to implement an interest limitation rule by January 1, 2019. In general terms, under the
According to these earnings stripping rules the deduction of the exceeding borrowing costs in a taxable year is limited to the		interest limitation rule, a company's ability to deduct interest will be capped at 30% of Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ('EBITDA'). However, Member States that
higher of: i. 30% of the EBITDA for tax purposes; or		have rules that are equally effective to the interest limitation rule included in ATAD can avail of a derogation and opt not to
ii. EUR 3 million.		implement the rule until as late as 2024. At the time ATAD was adopted, the Irish Department of Finance issued a statement
'Exceeding borrowing costs' are defined as the positive difference between (a) the amount of the deductible interest costs (and other costs that are economically equivalent) of a taxpayer that are		noting Ireland's intention of availing of the derogation until 2024. However, following subsequent discussions between Ireland and the European Commission in respect of the availability of the
not allocable to a permanent establishment if its profits are exempt in accordance with a double tax treaty and (b) taxable		derogation, the rules are now set to be transposed into Irish law to take effect from January 1, 2022.
interest revenues (and other income that is economic equivalent to interest) that the taxpayer receives and that are not exempt		As a general rule, in order for interest and financing costs to
pursuant to a double tax treaty. EBITDA is determined based on the tax adjusted accounting result including disallowed expenses		be allowed as a deduction in calculating the profits of an Irish incorporated and tax resident trading company, the expense must
<ul> <li>to be:</li> <li>increased with depreciations, write-offs, the exceeding borrowing costs that are tax deductible and exceeding</li> </ul>		be of a revenue nature and incurred wholly and exclusively for the purposes of the company's trade.
<ul> <li>borrowing costs carried forward that have been deducted; and</li> <li>decreased with certain tax exempt income (i.e. income that benefit from the participation exemption, the patent income</li> </ul>		
deduction, the innovation income deduction and income that is exempt pursuant to a double tax treaty), with the amount		
of the group contribution and with profit realized through the execution of a public-private partnership if the operator, interest		
cost, assets and profits are located in the EU.		
For taxpayers that are part of a group the exceeding borrowing costs and the threshold amount are to be considered on a consolidated basis over the Belgian group companies and Belgian		
permanent establishments of foreign group companies.		

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
Interest that cannot be deducted pursuant to this new interest limitation rule can be carried forward indefinitely.		
A grandfathering rule applies for interest payments made under loans concluded prior to June 17, 2016, if no material changes were made to the agreement. For these loans the thin capitalization rule (debt to equity ratio of 5:1) remains applicable.		

#### 7.3 General anti-abuse rules

#### Belgium

Hong Kong

Belgian tax law contains a general anti-abuse provision which is aimed at combating purely tax driven structures. Under this provision legal acts or a set of legal acts are not enforceable in the case of tax abuse.

In order for tax abuse to occur, the taxpayer should perform an act in which he places himself in a situation contrary to the objective of a provision of the Belgian Income Tax Code 1992 or of the related implementing decrees. In addition, the tax authorities should provide proof that the legal act (or the whole series of legal acts) has been chosen with a view to obtaining a tax advantage. The taxpayer can always provide proof to the contrary.

Belgian tax law is further also familiar with the sham doctrine.

Taxpayers are generally not prevented from enjoying the tax benefits that are available to them when they structure their affairs in a manner directly or indirectly authorized under the Inland Revenue Ordinance. Only deliberately contrived tax avoidance schemes are targeted by anti-avoidance rules. Sections 61 – 61B of the Inland Revenue Ordinance contain a comprehensive anti-avoidance code that enables certain transactions that are artificial, fictitious, or otherwise entered into with a dominant tax avoidance motive to be disregarded for tax purposes. These have to date been asserted sparingly by the Inland Revenue Department.

#### Ireland

General anti-avoidance legislation was first introduced in Ireland in 1989.

Section 811 of the Taxes Consolidation Act (**'TCA**') (for transactions entered into on or before October 23, 2014) and Section 811C TCA (for transactions entered into after 23 October 2014) empower the Revenue to cancel any tax advantage obtained by a taxpayer as the result of a tax avoidance transaction. Both Section 811C TCA and Section 811 TCA and intended to defeat the effects of transactions which have little or no commercial reality but are intended primarily to avoid or reduce a tax charge or to artificially create a tax deduction or tax refund. The taxes covered by Section 811C include income tax, corporation tax, capital gains tax, value-added tax, capital acquisitions tax, stamp duty and the universal social charge.

Section 811C TCA denies any person the benefit of a tax advantage created through the use of a tax avoidance transaction. If a person claims that benefit, contrary to the section, then a Revenue officer can withdraw or deny that tax advantage and that can be done through the making or amending of an assessment.

Where the Revenue believes that a transaction is a 'tax avoidance transaction', it can assess the taxpayer on the amount of tax it believes has been avoided. The test under Section 811C TCA is that Revenue must be of the view that it is 'reasonable to consider' that the transaction (a) gives rise to a tax advantage, and (b) was not undertaken or arranged primarily for purposes other than to give rise to a tax advantage.

Genuine business transactions, even if carried out in a manner intended to attract the minimum amount of tax, should not be regarded as tax avoidance transactions. Neither should the legitimate use of a tax relief be regarded as a tax avoidance transaction.

#### 7.4 Exit taxation

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
<ul> <li>Belgian tax law provides for exit taxation:</li> <li>a. on unrealized capital gains in the event of an outbound transfer of the tax residence, an outbound restructuring or an outbound transfer of assets/businesses;</li> <li>b. on unrealized capital gains in the event that a Belgian company transfers assets to a foreign PE, provided the profits of that permanent establishment are treaty-exempt in Belgium.</li> <li>In the event of an inbound restructuring and an inbound (tax) migration, Belgium in principle accepts the market value as the tax base of the transferred assets ('step-up basis'). To the extent that these assets were subject to an exit tax in the country of emigration and Belgium has concluded a treaty with this country that provides for the possibility to exchange information, the value established by this foreign country is refutably presumed to correspond to the market value (unless it is a tax haven). If these conditions are not fulfilled, the market value is presumed to correspond to the book value according to Belgian rules, unless proof to the contrary is provided.</li> <li>A deferred payment regime of 5 years can be applied for companies subject to exit taxes on (EEA) outbound cross-border transfer of assets/business, tax residence and restructuring.</li> </ul>	Hong Kong does not levy an exit tax.	<ul> <li>An exit tax was introduced in Ireland's 2019 budget and applies from October 10, 2018. It replaced Ireland's previous exit charge in full. An exit charge will now arise when: <ul> <li>a company migrates its place of residence from Ireland to any other jurisdiction;</li> <li>assets of an Irish Primary Establishment ('PE') are allocated from the Irish PE to the company's head office or to a PE in another jurisdiction; or</li> <li>the business of an Irish PE is allocated from the Irish PE to the company's head office or to a PE in another jurisdiction; or</li> <li>the business of an Irish PE is allocated from the Irish PE to the company's head office or to a PE in another jurisdiction.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The introduction of the exit tax regime is a requirement under the ATAD. The rules deem a disposal to have been made at market value and the gain arising is charged to exit tax at 12.5%. The exit charge does not apply to assets that remain within the Irish tax charge (for example, Irish real estate or assets that continue to be used in the business of an Irish branch).</li> <li>The exit charge may be deferred and paid over five years in six instalments. If the exit charge is unpaid, Revenue may pursue any other Irish resident group company or an Irish resident director who has a controlling interest in the company that is subject to the charge.</li> <li>An anti-avoidance provision is included in the legislation to ensure that a rate of 33% rather than 12.5% applies if the exit forms part of a transaction to actually dispose of the asset and the purpose of the exit is to ensure that the gain is charged at the lower rate.</li> </ul>

#### 7.5 Hybrid mismatch rules

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
Belgium has introduced hybrid mismatch rules on the basis of ATAD 2.	Hong Kong does not have hybrid mismatch rules, though hybrid mismatch arrangements may in practice be caught by domestic anti-avoidance rules. Further, domestic courts have indicated that	As of January 1, 2020, Ireland has introduced hybrid mismatch rules, on the basis of ATAD 2. The rules apply to all corporate taxpayers; there is no de minimis threshold below which the rules
The hybrid mismatches covered by the rules include (i) payments on hybrid financial instruments, (ii) payments to or by hybrid entities, (iii) payments to hybrid permanent establishments,	double taxation agreements to which Hong Kong is party will be construed according to prevailing principles of public international law, as opposed to domestic legislation. Consequently, it seems	do not relate, and the rules apply to all payments made after January 1, 2020.
<ul> <li>(iv) deemed payments between the head office and its</li> <li>establishment, or between two or more establishments to the</li> <li>extent it gives rise to a deduction without inclusion outcome,</li> <li>(v) payments made to an entity with one or more locations</li> </ul>	likely a Hong Kong court would construe a hybrid mismatch outcome as being inconsistent with the object of a double taxation agreement.	The purpose of the hybrid mismatch rules is to neutralize the tax effects of hybrid mismatches by limiting the deduction of payments or by including the payments in the taxable income of the Irish corporate taxpayer.
giving rise to a deduction without inclusion due to differences in the allocation of the payment between the head office and its establishment or between two or more establishments of the same entity under the law of the jurisdictions where the entity		The hybrid mismatches covered by the rules include (i) payments on hybrid financial instruments, (ii) payments to or by hybrid entities, (iii) payments to or by hybrid permanent establishments,
carries out its activities, (vi) payments by dual resident entities and (vii) payments to the extent they finance expenses deductible in the hands of the foreign company if no equivalent adjustment		(iv) payments by dual resident entities and (v) payments made on a non-hybrid instrument that fund deductible payments if no equivalent adjustment is made by another state involved
is made by the other state involved ('imported mismatches'), which can lead to deduction of such payment without inclusion or double deduction of such payment.		('imported mismatches'), which can lead to deduction of such payment without inclusion or double deduction of such payment. Exceptions may apply, dependent on the specific facts and
Exceptions may apply, dependent on the specific facts and circumstances.		circumstances. It is envisaged that anti-reverse-hybrid rules will be introduced with effect from January 1, 2022.
These hybrid mismatches are tackled by means of (i) the disallowance of deductions from the Belgian corporate income tax base of costs relating to payments made in the context of a hybrid mismatch or (ii) the inclusion in the Belgian corporate		
a hybrid mismatch or (ii) the inclusion in the Belgian corporate income tax base of certain income received in the context of a hybrid mismatch.		
In case of a hybrid transfer that leads to multiple tax credits in various jurisdictions for the same withholding at source, the foreign tax credit has to be limited.		

#### **7.6 Other (domestic) anti-abuse provisions and doctrines**

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
The rule described under 3.2 and 4.1 above, which excludes certain distributions from the participation exemption and the exemption of dividend withholding tax, effectively constitutes a specific anti-abuse measure.	The Inland Revenue Ordinance includes OECD-based transfer pricing rules.	Ireland has implemented the anti-abuse rules included in the amended Parent-Subsidiary Directive. The domestic Irish exemptions from interest and dividend withholding tax do not include specific anti-abuse provisions.

# 8. Mandatory disclosure rules

elgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
elgium elgium has introduced mandatory disclosure rules on the basis DAC6. In June 3, 2020, the Belgian tax authority announced a six-month dministrative deferral of time limits for the submission of data on portable cross-border arrangements regarding federal taxes and gional taxes for which the Belgian tax authorities are competent. The Flemish tax authorities announced a similar six-month deferral in June 29, 2020 for regional taxes for which the Flemish Region competent. Accordingly, the following deadlines now apply to ese taxes: reportable cross-border arrangements of which the first step was implemented between June 25, 2018 and July 1, 2020 have to be reported before February 28, 2021; the 30-day reporting period starts on January 1, 2021 for reportable cross-border arrangements being made available for implementation, being ready for implementation, or the first step of which is implemented, between July 1, 2020 and December 31, 2020; and the first periodic report in respect of 'marketable' arrangements should be submitted on April 30, 2021 at the latest. s from February 28, 2021, the reporting by intermediaries and levant taxpayers must be done within 30 days, from: the day after the reportable cross-border arrangement is made available for implementation to that relevant taxpayer, or the day after the reportable cross-border arrangement is ready for implementation by the relevant taxpayer, or the day when the first step in the implementation has	Hong Kong is not an EU country and therefore not subject to mandatory disclosure rules (DAC6). Hong Kong does not have DAC6 like disclosure requirements.	<ul> <li>Ireland</li> <li>As of July 1, 2020, Ireland has introduced mandatory disclosure rules on the basis of DAC6.</li> <li>On June 26, 2020, the Irish Revenue Commissioners announced a six-month deferral for the filing and exchange of reportable arrangements. As a result: <ul> <li>reportable cross-border arrangements of which the first step was implemented between June 25, 2018 and July 1, 2020 have to be reported (or notified, as the case may be) before February 28, 2021;</li> <li>the 30-day reporting period (and the related notification period) started on January 1, 2021 for reportable cross-border arrangements which were made available for implementation, ready for implementation, or the first step of which was implemented, between July 1, 2020 and December 31, 2020; and</li> <li>the first periodic report in respect of 'marketable' arrangements should be submitted on April 30, 2021 at the latest.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A cross-border arrangement is reportable if it 'concerns' at least one EU Member State and a third country (provided one of five conditions is met), and contains at least one of the hallmarks set out in DAC6. In pure domestic situations and situations having no link to any EU Member State, no reporting obligations exist in Ireland.</li> </ul>

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
In general, the Belgian implementation follows the minimum standard of DAC6. A cross-border arrangement is reportable if it concerns at least one EU Member State and contains at least one of the hallmarks set out in DAC6. In pure domestic situations and situations having no link to any EU Member State, no reporting obligations exist in Belgium.		
Guidance was issued by the Belgian tax administration on the hallmarks and the obligations under the mandatory disclosure rules on June 25, 2020.		

# 9. Income tax treaties / MLI

#### 9.1 Signatory to the MLI / ratification

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
Belgium signed the MLI on June 7, 2017.	Hong Kong signed the MLI on June 7, 2017.	Ireland ratified the MLI on January 29, 2019.
Belgium submitted a list of 99 of its tax treaties that it designated as Covered Tax Agreements. The tax treaties concluded with Germany, Japan, Norway, Taiwan and Switzerland were not notified.	Hong Kong has made several reservations to the provisions in the MLI, inter alia to articles 3 (transparent entities), article 4 (dual resident entities), article 5 (application of methods for elimination of double taxation), article 8 (dividend transfer transactions), article 9 (capital gains from alienation of shares or interests of	Ireland has 74 tax treaties, 73 of which are in effect, and has confirmed that it will treat 71 of those tax treaties as Covered Tax Agreements. The key changes to Ireland's tax treaties which will be made under the MLI are the adoption of a principal purpose test; a tie-breaker test based on mutual agreement to
Belgium made a number of reservations to the provisions in the MLI. Belgium will not apply article 4 (dual resident entities), article 5 (application of methods for elimination of double taxation), article 9 (1) (a) (capital gains on shares in real estate companies), article	entities deriving their value principally from immovable property), article 10 (anti-abuse rule for permanent establishments situated in third jurisdictions) article 11 (savings clause), article 12 (Artificial avoidance of permanent establishment status through	determine tax residence for dual resident entities; and a number measures, including mandatory binding arbitration, to resolve ta treaty disputes more efficiently.
10 (anti-abuse rule for permanent establishments situated in third countries) and article 14 (splitting-up of contracts).	(Artificial avoidance of permanent establishment status through the specific activity exemptions), article 14 (Splitting-up of contracts),	Ireland has a number of reservations to the MLI. Ireland will not adopt the changes to the permanent establishment definition designed to treat commissionaires as permanent establishments
Belgium has chosen for the principle purpose test without 'limitation on benefits' clause in relation to article 7 (prevention of treaty abuse) and option B in relation to article 13 (artificial avoidance of permanent establishment status – specific	article 15 (definition of a person closely related to an enterprise) and article 17 (corresponding adjustments), while Hong Kong chose not to apply part VI (Arbitration). With respect to article 7, only the principal purpose test is to be adopted.	due to the continuing significant uncertainty as to how the test would be applied in practice and will not adopt the narrower specific activity exemptions within the permanent establishment definition. Ireland will also not apply article 11 (savings clause).
activity exemption).	As of May 1, 2021, Hong Kong has not published any (draft)	The MLI took effect in Ireland from January 1, 2020 to update
The instrument of ratification of the MLI has been deposited by Belgium with the OECD on June 26, 2019 and thus the MLI entered into force for Belgium on October 1, 2019. The MLI took effect for Belgium's CTAs as from January 1, 2020 for withholding tax provisions and for all other purposes as from accounting periods beginning on or after April 1, 2020, when the treaty partner jurisdiction has also completed the ratification process.	legislative proposal for ratification of the MLI.	Ireland's tax treaties for withholding tax provisions and for all other purposes for accounting periods beginning on or after November 1, 2019.

#### 9.2 Income tax treaties and effect of the MLI<sup>1</sup>

The below overview shows income tax treaties that are in force as of January 1, 2021.

Treaties in respect of which both countries have listed the treaty as a Covered Tax Agreement in relation to the MLI are shown in **bold**.

Treaties in respect of which the MLI has entered into force for both countries as of January 1, 2021 (i.e., both countries have deposited their instrument of ratification with the OECD no later than September 30, 2020) are shown in **bold underlined**.

As a general rule, the MLI will be effective for a specific treaty (a) for withholding taxes: as from the first day of the calendar year beginning after the date on which the MLI has entered into force for both countries; and (b) for all other taxes: for taxable periods beginning on or after expiration of a period of 6 calendar months after the date on which the MLI has entered into force for both countries. Exceptions may apply.

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
As of January 1, 2021, Belgium has income tax treaties in force with the following countries:	As of January 1, 2021, Hong Kong has income tax treaties in force with the following countries:	As of January 1, 2021, Ireland has income tax treaties in force with the following countries:
1. Albania	1. Austria	1. Albania
2. Algeria	2. Belarus	2. Armenia
3. Argentina	3. Belgium	3. <u>Australia</u>
4. Armenia	4. Brunei	4. <u>Austria</u>
5. <u>Australia</u>	5. Canada	5. Bahrain
6. <u>Austria</u>	6. Cambodia	6. Belarus
7. Azerbaijan	7. China (People's Rep.)	7. <u>Belgium</u>
8. Bahrain	8. Czech Republic	8. Bosnia and Herzegovina
9. Bangladesh	9. Estonia	9. Botswana
10. Belarus	10. Finland	10. Bulgaria
11. Bosnia and Herzegovina	11. France	11. Canada
12. Brazil	12. Guernsey	12. <b>Chile</b>
13. Bulgaria	13. Hungary	13. China (People's Rep.)
14. <u>Canada</u>	14. India	14. Croatia
15. <b>Chile</b>	15. Indonesia	15. <u>Cyprus</u>
16. China (People's Rep.)	16. Ireland	16. Czech Republic
17. Congo (Dem. Republic)	17. Italy	17. Denmark
18. Croatia	18. <b>Japan</b>	18. <b>Egypt</b>
19. <u>Cyprus</u>	19. Jersey	19. Estonia
20. Czech Republic	20. Korea (Rep.)	20. Ethiopia

1 Only comprehensive income tax treaties are included.

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
21. Denmark	21. Kuwait	21. <u>Finland</u>
22. Ecuador	22. Latvia	22. <b>France</b>
23. Egypt	23. Liechtenstein	23. <u>Georgia</u>
24. Estonia	24. Luxembourg	24. Germany
25. Finland	25. <b>Macao</b>	25. Greece
26. France	26. Malaysia	26. Hong Kong
27. Gabon	27. <b>Malta</b>	27. Hungary
8. <u>Georgia</u>	28. <b>Mexico</b>	28. <u>Iceland</u>
9. Germany	29. Netherlands	29. India
0. Ghana	30. New Zealand	30. <u>Israel</u>
31. Greece	31. Pakistan	31. Italy
32. Hong Kong	32. Portugal	32. <u>Japan</u>
33. Hungary	33. <b>Qatar</b>	33. <u>Kazakhstan</u>
4. Iceland	34. Romania	34. <u>Korea (Rep.)</u>
5. <u>India</u>	35. Russia	35. Kuwait
6. Indonesia	36. Saudi Arabia	36. <u>Latvia</u>
7. Ireland	37. Serbia	37. <u>Lithuania</u>
8. <u>Israel</u>	38. South Africa	38. Luxembourg
9. Italy	39. <b>Spain</b>	39. Macedonia
0. Ivory Coast	40. Switzerland	40. Malaysia
1. Japan	41. Thailand	41. <u>Malta</u>
2. <u>Kazakhstan</u>	42. United Arab Emirates	42. <b>Mexico</b>
3. Kosovo	43. United Kingdom	43. Moldova
4. Korea (Rep.)	44. Vietnam	44. Montenegro
5. Kuwait		45. <b>Morocco</b>
6. Kyrgyzstan		46. Netherlands
7. <u>Latvia</u>		47. New Zealand
18. Lithuania		48. <b>Norway</b>
9. Luxembourg		49. Pakistan
i0. Macedonia		50. <b>Panama</b>
51. Malaysia		51. <b>Poland</b>
52. <u>Malta</u>		52. Portugal
53. <b>Mauritius</b>		53. <b>Qatar</b>
54. <b>Mexico</b>		54. <b>Romania</b>

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
55. Moldova		55. <u>Russia</u>
56. Mongolia		56. Saudi Arabia
57. Montenegro		57. <u>Serbia</u>
58. Morocco		58. Singapore
59. Netherlands		59. Slovak Republic
60. <u>New Zealand</u>		60. <u>Slovenia</u>
61. Nigeria		61. South Africa
62. Norway		62. <b>Spain</b>
63. Pakistan		63. Sweden
64. Philippines		64. Switzerland
65. <u>Poland</u>		65. Thailand
66. Portugal		66. Turkey
67. Romania		67. <u>Ukraine</u>
68. <b>Russia</b>		68. United Arab Emirates
69. Rwanda		69. United Kingdom
70. San Marino		70. United States
71. Senegal		71. Uzbekistan
72. <u>Serbia</u>		72. Vietnam
73. Seychelles		73. Zambia
74. Singapore		
75. Slovak Republic		
76. <u>Slovenia</u>		
77. South Africa		
78. <b>Spain</b>		
79. Sri Lanka		
80. <u>Sweden</u>		
81. Switzerland		
82. Taiwan		
83. Tajikistan		
84. Thailand		
85. Tunisia		

Belgium	Hong Kong	Ireland
86. <b>Turkey</b>		
87. Turkmenistan		
88. <u>Ukraine</u>		
89. United Arab Emirates		
90. United Kingdom		
91. United States		
92. <u>Uruguay</u>		
93. Uzbekistan		
94. Venezuela		
95. Vietnam		

## LOYENSLOEFF

# Part II

Luxembourg, the Netherlands and Singapore

## **1. Business environment**

#### **1.1 Business climate – general**

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
Luxembourg is globally renowned for its successful long-term economic performance and its GDP per capita which consistently ranks among the highest in the world. Due to its sound macroeconomic fundamentals, Luxembourg is AAA-rated by all credit rating agencies. With one of the world's safest business environments, notably as a result of its stable financial, political and social environment and innovative approach towards the financial sector, Luxembourg has built its position as a popular European financial center. The pro-business environment and supporting policies implemented by the Luxembourg government have contributed to the international popularity of Luxembourg as an investment location. Luxembourg is the largest investment fund center in Europe and the second largest in the world in terms of assets under management.	The stable political, regulatory and economic environment in the Netherlands creates a reliable place to do business. As a result of its internationally oriented economy and long-standing tradition of cross-border trade and services, the Netherlands has an attractive and competitive investment and business climate. The Netherlands has a leading position for the establishment of European or regional headquarters. According to the World Economic Forum's Global Competitiveness Report, the Netherlands is the most (ranked 1 <sup>st</sup> ) competitive economy in Europe and the 4 <sup>th</sup> most competitive economy in the world. The Netherlands ranks 2 <sup>nd</sup> on the 2020 Globalisation Index, which measures the economic, social and political dimensions of the globalization of nation states.	Singapore has consistently been acknowledged as a global business hub with a stable political, regulatory and economic environment. This combined with a robust judicial system has led to its a unique position as a business center in the heart of Asia. As a result of this, Singapore is home to many regional headquarters of foreign multinational companies, varying from networking and social media firms to pharmaceutical giants. Beyond the obvious effects of allowing businesses to serve the growing Asian market more efficiently through proximity, also advantages of centralization, costs reduction through economy of scales play a role. Singapore ranks 1 <sup>st</sup> on the 2019 Globalisation Index which measures the economic, social and political dimensions of the globalisation of nation states.

### **1.2** Location, logistics and infrastructure

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
Luxembourg is strategically located in the heart of Europe.	The Netherlands has a geographic location at the heart of the wealthiest and most densely populated area of Europe, sharing	Singapore is one of the world's most connected countries, strategically located along the world's major trade, shipping and
Luxembourg is connected to the whole European continent by	borders or closely connected with large economies like Germany,	aviation routes.
offering direct flights to all capitals and it shares direct borders with	France, Italy and the United Kingdom.	
Germany, France and Belgium. Luxembourg is further supported		Singapore's strategic location – basically every South East Asian
by an efficient road network.	It serves as a logistic gateway to Europe, supported by its	country is within a 6-hour radius – means that it gives easy access
	infrastructure, including Europe's largest seaport (Rotterdam),	to the region and its growing consumer market.
Luxembourg offers high-quality service providers with expertise in	a well-connected international airport (Schiphol) and renowned	
key sectors (e.g. pharma and healthcare, high valuables, high-tech and electronics, finance).	roads, rail networks and waterways.	
	The Netherlands is among the most 'wired' countries, in terms	
Luxembourg ranks 3 <sup>rd</sup> in the EU for connectivity to broadband	of high-speed internet, advanced ICT systems, data centers and	
(2020 Digital Economy and Society Index).	computer and cell-phone technology and coverage. It ranks 4 <sup>th</sup> in the 2020 Digital Economy and Society Index.	

### **1.3 Hiring employees**

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
Luxembourg has a highly qualified, international and multilingual workforce which can answer to the needs of EU and non-EU investors. Luxembourg has introduced a favorable expatriate tax regime dedicated to expatriates who have tax residence in Luxembourg. Under such regime, certain employee moving costs and other recurring employee costs that are borne by the employer do not have to be reported as benefits in kind by the employee. Luxembourg has the 2 <sup>nd</sup> highest labor productivity in the world (The Conference Board Productivity Brief, 2019) and ranks 1 <sup>st</sup> in the world for economy and job security (Expat Insider, 2020). The 2020 Global Talent Competitiveness Index ranks Luxembourg 8 <sup>th</sup> in the world.	The Netherlands has a highly skilled, productive and international workforce. The Dutch knowledge for English as a second language is recognized as the best in the world in the EF English Proficiency Index. A favorable expatriate tax regime inter alia allows employers to pay 30% of the gross remuneration as a tax free allowance and allows employees to opt to be treated as a non-resident for personal income tax purposes, limiting taxation on net wealth and substantial shareholdings. The 2020 Global Talent Competitiveness Index ranks the Netherlands 6 <sup>th</sup> in the world. In the Human Development Index 2020 of the United Nations, which focuses on the richness of human lives, the Netherlands ranks 8 <sup>th</sup> of 189 countries in total.	Singapore's workforce is highly educated and skilled and Singapore is the only Asian country to make the top ten list of the IMD World Talent Ranking for most competitive places for talent. Singapore has a bilingual education policy, which means that people are proficient in English and at least one other language (Mandarin, Bahasa Melayu or Tamil). Furthermore, Singapore is a cosmopolitan city, home to people from different countries and backgrounds. Talent is therefore considered to be diverse and multi-faceted. Given that Singapore is a regional hub for businesses across industries, typically there is a deep understanding of the various Asian market. Singapore's employment laws for employees in executive and supervisory functions are flexible. Personal income tax rates in Singapore are one of the lowest in the world. Starting at 0% and ending at 22% for income above SGD 320k for residents. Non-residents are taxed at a rate that varies between 15 and 22%. With a few exceptions, individuals are only taxed on the income earned in Singapore. There is no taxation on capital gains, dividend or inheritance. In the Human Development Index of 2019 of the United Nations, which focuses on the richness of human lives, Singapore ranks 9 <sup>th</sup> of the 189 countries in total.

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
Apart from its sophisticated and strong financial sector, Luxembourg supports and promotes innovative start-ups. Companies carrying out R&D projects benefit from high public grants, proximity to and simplicity of communications with local administrations and government, organizations and decision-makers.	The Netherlands is a hub for R&D and innovation and is home to world-class research institutes (including twelve tech universities) and numerous strategic public-private partnerships in various sectors (including agriculture/food, IT, chemicals, high tech systems, life sciences & health and media). In the EU Innovation Scoreboard 2020, the Netherlands is ranked as the 4 <sup>th</sup> best jurisdiction for innovators. To stimulate innovation and sustainable investments, the Netherlands offers innovative companies (inter alia) a tax compensation for part of the research and development (R&D) expenses incurred and offers tax allowances for energy-saving equipment and environmentally friendly investments.	Singapore has emerged 6 <sup>th</sup> in Savills World Research global ranking of Tech Cities, making it the highest-ranked Asian City. Savills Tech Cities are important in centers of tech and major recipients of VC investments. Singapore has one of the most fastest growing start-up communities in the world. The number of start-ups has more that doubled over the last decade to an estimated 55,000. Singapore is a trusted partner for companies to boost their innovation capabilities in the region. Singapore government is full supportive including the adoption of a sandbox approach to allow innovators, universities and companies to work together and trial new products for the region and beyond. Singapore has established itself as one of the leading private banking and wealth management centers globally and in Asia. With the launch of the Variable Capital Company (VCC) in 2020, Singapore is aiming to become Asia's fund hub for fund domiciliation.

#### **1.4 Other aspects of business environment**

## **2.** Tax on capital contributions

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
There is no tax on capital contributions in Luxembourg.	There is no tax on capital contributions in the Netherlands.	There is no tax on capital contributions in Singapore.
		Since the concept of share premium is not recognized in Singapore, any contribution that is intended to be share premium will be treated as share capital contribution from a Singapore legal and tax perspective.

## **3.** Corporate income tax

#### **3.1 Corporate income tax ('CIT') rate**

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
The effective combined maximum CIT rate is 24.94%, consisting of national CIT, municipal business tax (Luxembourg City rate) and	25%	CIT rate is 17% (unless a concessionary rate applies).
contribution to the unemployment fund.	Reduced rate of 15% for the first EUR 245,000 of taxable profits.	There is a partial tax exemption scheme for companies in Singapore and a tax exemption for new start-up companies.
Net wealth tax		
Annual net wealth tax is levied on the net assets of a company as per January 1 of each year. The first EUR 500 million of taxable net wealth is taxed at a rate of 0.5% and a reduced rate of 0.05% applies to any excess.		<ul> <li>The partial tax exemption applies as follows:</li> <li>75% exemption on the first SGD 10,000 of taxable income; and</li> <li>50% exemption on the next SGD 190,000 of taxable income.</li> </ul>
Participations that qualify for the participation exemption on dividends are exempt from net wealth tax. See 3.2 below for the applicable conditions, except for the 12-month holding period		This partial exemption is not applicable to companies enjoying a concessionary income tax rate.
requirement which is not applicable for the exemption from net wealth tax.		<ul> <li>The tax exemption scheme applies as follows:</li> <li>75% exemption on the first SGD 100,000 of taxable income; and</li> </ul>
Minimum net wealth tax Companies having their statutory seat or place of effective		- 50% exemption on the next SGD 100,000 of taxable income.
management in Luxembourg (i) whose assets at the end of the preceding fiscal year consist for more than 90% of financial fixed assets, transferable securities and cash items, and (ii) whose		A corporate income tax rebate of 25% (capped at SGD 15,000) applies to year of assessment 2020.
balance sheet total at the end of the preceding fiscal year exceeds EUR 350,000, are subject to an annual minimum net wealth tax of EUR 4,815.		Singapore applies a semi-territorial tax system. Onshore sourced income is taxable and offshore sourced income is not taxable until it is remitted or deemed remitted to Singapore, unless it is tax exempt under any of the specific income tax exemption provisions
In case the two abovementioned thresholds are not met, the amount of minimum net wealth tax due depends on the balance sheet total of the taxpayer at the end of the preceding fiscal year,		in the law (e.g. foreign exempt dividends). In principle, only income which accrues in or is derived from Singapore is taxable.
with a minimum of EUR 535 and a maximum of EUR 32,100.		Incentive regimes Singapore offers groups that set up a real economic presence in Singapore a wide range of economic and tax incentives, provided they satisfy the relevant conditions for the incentive. Such incentives can include tax base exclusions of certain items of income or a reduced headline tax rate (i.e. concessionary rate).

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
		The areas in which tax incentives may be obtained range from R&D activities, financial sector activities, fund management, regional or global headquarters, trading and distribution, logistics and transportation, shipping and manufacturing or services relating to high tech or innovative products. Each incentive comes with a set of conditions and substance tests which must be met, and is awarded for a number of years (generally 5-10 years), subject to renewal, provided incremental substance conditions are satisfied.

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
<ul> <li>Dividends (including liquidation distributions) derived from a participation are fully exempt from CIT if the following cumulative conditions are met: <ul> <li>a minimum participation of at least 10% or with an acquisition price of at least EUR 1.2 million is held;</li> <li>the participation is held in (i) a capital company that is fully subject to Luxembourg CIT or a comparable foreign tax (i.e. a tax rate of at least 8.5% and a comparable tax base; a 'Comparable Tax') or (ii) an EU entity that qualifies for the benefits of the EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive; and</li> <li>on the distribution date, the company must have held a qualifying participation continuously for at least 12 months (or must commit itself to hold such participation for at least 12 months).</li> </ul> </li> <li>See, however, under 7.3 below regarding the potential application of the general anti-abuse rule and under 7.6 below regarding the potential application of the anti-abuse rule and the anti-hybrid rule to income derived from EU entities that fall within the scope of the EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive.</li> <li>Certain tax treaties concluded by Luxembourg grant a participation exemption for dividends under conditions different than those listed above.</li> <li>Once the minimum threshold and holding period are met, newly acquired shares of a qualifying participation will immediately qualify for the participation exemption. Dividends (excluding liquidation distributions) derived from a participation which meets the Comparable Tax test, but not (all of) the remaining conditions, are exempt for 50%. Such partial exemption only applies if the participation is held in a company that is resident in a treaty country or is a qualifying entity under the EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Dividends are fully exempt from CIT under the participation exemption if the following three requirements are met: <ol> <li>the company itself or a related party holds a participation of at least 5% of, as a general rule, the nominal paid-up share capital of a company with a capital divided into shares (the 'Minimum Threshold Test');</li> <li>one of the following three tests is met: <ul> <li>the company's objective with respect to its participation is to obtain a return that is higher than a return that may be expected from portfolio investment management (the 'Motive Test');</li> <li>the direct and indirect assets of the subsidiary generally consist for less than 50% of 'low-taxed free passive assets' (the 'Asset Test'); or</li> <li>the payment received from the subsidiary is not deductible for CIT purposes in the country of the subsidiary.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Ad i.</li> <li>If a qualifying participation drops below the threshold of 5%, this requirement will be considered to be met for a subsequent period of three years, provided that the participation qualified for the participation exemption for an uninterrupted period of at least one year prior thereto.</li> </ol></li></ul>	<ul> <li>All dividends paid by resident companies are exempt in the hands of shareholders in Singapore.</li> <li>Foreign dividends are foreign sourced and therefore not subject to income tax until they are remitted or deemed remitted to Singapore. Once (deemed) remitted to Singapore, the foreign dividends are in principle taxed at a rate of 17% unless the foreign dividend is tax exempt under the foreign exempt dividend provisions of the income tax legislation.</li> <li>A dividend qualifies as a foreign exempt dividend if the following two cumulative conditions are met: <ul> <li>i. the headline income tax rate in the foreign jurisdiction must be at least 15%; and</li> <li>ii. the income earned in that foreign jurisdiction must have been effectively subject to tax in that jurisdiction (rate can be lower than ordinary rate).</li> </ul> </li> <li>There is no minimum shareholding requirement.</li> <li>If the aforementioned conditions cannot be met, a concessionary income tax ruling may - in specified scenarios - be applied for, in which the Singapore tax authorities may, at their discretion, decide that foreign dividends received by the Singapore company will nonetheless be exempt.</li> <li>Tax exemptions are also available for qualifying funds established in Singapore and managed by an approved fund management company in Singapore.</li> </ul>

### **3.2 Dividend regime (participation exemption)**

back to table of contents

Luxembou	

#### The Netherlands

#### Ad ii.a)

The Motive Test is an all facts- and-circumstances test that is met when the company aims to obtain a return on its subsidiary that exceeds a portfolio investment return. This is considered to be the case, for instance, if the company is involved in the strategic management of the subsidiary or if the company (or its parent company) fulfills an essential function for the benefit of the business enterprise of the group.

If more than 50% of the consolidated assets of the subsidiary consist of shareholdings of less than 5%, or if the subsidiary (together with its subsidiaries) predominantly functions as a group financing, leasing or licensing company, the Motive Test is deemed to be failed.

#### Ad ii.b)

An asset is a 'low-taxed free passive asset' if (i) it is a passive asset that is not reasonably required in the enterprise carried out by its owner and (ii) the income from such asset is effectively taxed at a rate of less than 10% (see ad ii.c below).

Real estate is deemed to be a good asset for purposes of the Asset Test by operation of law (regardless of its function within the owner's enterprise and regardless of the tax position of the owner). For purposes of the 50% threshold of the Asset Test, the fair market value of the assets is decisive. The Asset Test is a continuous test and should be met throughout (almost) the entire tax year.

Assets that are used for group financing, leasing or licensing activities are as a general rule deemed to be passive, unless they form part of an active financing or leasing enterprise as described in Dutch law, or are for 90% or more financed with loans from third parties.

#### Singapore

In the event a foreign dividend does not satisfy (i) the foreign exempt dividend conditions mentioned above, (ii) the Singapore recipient is not a qualifying fund, or (iii) a concessionary tax ruling is not obtained, the foreign dividend will be taxable when remitted (or deemed remitted) to Singapore. In the event that the dividend is taxable, the Singapore company will be allowed to claim a tax credit for any foreign withholding tax incurred on the dividend.

In addition, it will also be entitled to claim a tax credit for any foreign income tax incurred by the dividend paying company, provided that the Singapore company holds an interest of at least 25% in the dividend- paying company (if a tax treaty applies, this threshold can be reduced to 10%).

	1.
Luxem	bolira
LUACIII	JUUIU

#### The Netherlands

#### Ad ii.c)

As a general rule, a participation is considered to be subject to an adequate levy if it is subject to a tax on profits levied at a rate of at least 10%. However, certain tax base differences, such as the absence of any limitations on interest deduction, a too broad participation exemption, deferral of taxation until distribution of profits, or deductible dividends, may cause a profit tax to disqualify as an adequate levy, unless the effective tax rate according to Dutch tax standards is at least 10%.

Singapore

If the Minimum Threshold Test, as referred to in 3.2 (i) hereof, is met but the remaining conditions of the participation exemption are not, a credit will be granted for the underlying tax paid by the participation at a maximum rate of 5% (except for qualifying EU participations, for which the actual tax can be credited).

#### Ad iii.

The participation exemption does not apply to payments received from a subsidiary to the extent that such payments are, directly or indirectly, deductible for CIT purposes in the country of the subsidiary (irrespective of whether the deduction is actually claimed).

back to table of contents

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
<ul> <li>Gains (including currency exchange gains) realized on the alienation of a participation are exempt from CIT under the following conditions: <ol> <li>a minimum participation of 10% or with an acquisition price of at least EUR 6 million is held;</li> <li>the participation is held in (i) a capital company that is fully subject to Luxembourg CIT or a comparable foreign tax (i.e. a tax rate of at least 8.5% and a comparable tax base) or (ii) an EU entity qualifying under the EU Parent- Subsidiary Directive; and</li> <li>on the date on which the capital gain is realized, the company has held a qualifying participation continuously for at least 12 months (or must commit itself to hold such participation for at least 12 months).</li> </ol> </li> <li>Once the minimum threshold and holding period are met, newly acquired shares of a qualifying participation will immediately qualify for the participation exemption.</li> <li>The capital gains exemption described in this paragraph does not apply to the extent of previously deducted expenses, write-offs and capital losses relating to the respective participation (recapture). Such a recapture can in principle be offset against any carry forward losses available for tax purposes (i.e. losses incurred during the years 1991 – 2016: indefinite loss carry forward), resulting from previously deducted expenses, write-offs and capital losses.</li> </ul>	Gains realized on the alienation of a participation (including foreign exchange results) are fully exempt from CIT under the same conditions as described under 3.2 above for dividends. Gains realized on option rights and warrants are generally exempt by virtue of the participation exemption if, upon exercise, the holder would acquire a qualifying participation.	Capital gains realized on the sale of shares are not subject to income tax. However, if the gain can be characterized as a revenue gain (as opposed to being a capital gain), the gain will be taxable at the ordinary income tax rate. There is rich case law on this matter and authority is derived from decisions of not only the Singapore courts, but also from case law in Hong Kong, Australia, New Zealand and the UK. Whether a gain is capital or revenue in nature, will depend on the intention of the taxpayer when it acquired the shares. If the main intention was to make a future gain on a sale of the shares, the future gain may be considered to be revenue in nature and taxable. The intention is not always obvious and is often inferred from the facts of the case, such as how the shares are financed, how long the shares were held by the taxpayer, whether the taxpayer is in the business of buying and selling securities, whether the taxpayer earned income from the shares prior to the sale, etc. With effect from June 1, 2012, a safe harbor rule exists in the income tax law. A gain derived by a Singapore taxpayer from the sale of ordinary shares sold on or after June 1, 2012 will not be taxable if: i. the divesting company holds a minimum shareholding of 20% in the company whose shares are being disposed of; and ii. he divesting company has held these shares for a minimum period of 24 months immediately prior to the disposal This safe harbor applies until December 31, 2027.

### **3.3 Gains on shares (participation exemption)**

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
		Stamp duty Stamp duty is due on the transfer of shares of a Singapore incorporated company (i.e. share issuance is free of stamp duty). The rate of 0.2% is applied on the value of or consideration paid for the shares, whichever is the higher. Relief is available for: i. qualifying reorganizations or amalgamations; or ii. a qualifying transfer of assets between associated companies.

#### **3.4 Losses on shares**

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
Write-offs and capital losses on a participation (including currency exchange losses) are deductible, except if it concerns a write-off in	Losses on shares qualifying for the participation exemption are not deductible, except in the event of a liquidation of the participation	Capital losses on shares are not deductible.
relation to a pre-acquisition dividend.	(subject to stringent conditions).	Revenue losses incurred on the sale of shares are tax deductible unless the sale is offshore sourced.
Note that the deducted write-offs and capital losses may be recaptured in a future year if a capital gain is realized on the alienation of the respective participation (see under 3.3 above).	Losses incurred on option rights and warrants are not deductible if the participation exemption applies in respect of such option rights and warrants. See under 3.2. and 3.3 above.	

### **3.5 Costs relating to the participation**

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
<ul> <li>Costs relating to a qualifying participation are generally deductible, provided they are at arm's length and subject to the following restrictions:</li> <li>i. costs relating to a qualifying participation that are incurred during a given year are deductible only if and to the extent they exceed the exempt dividend and capital gains income derived from the respective participation in that year;</li> <li>ii. the interest deduction limitation rules implemented on the basis of ATAD 1 (see under 7.2 below) may apply;</li> <li>iii. the hybrid mismatch rules implemented on the basis of ATAD 2 (see 7.5 below) may apply;</li> <li>iv. the deduction limitation rules with respect to interest and royalties due to related party recipients established in non-cooperative jurisdictions (see 7.6 below) may apply; and</li> <li>v. costs relating to qualifying participations that are deductible pursuant to the aforementioned rules are subject to the so-called 'recapture rule' (which is discussed hereafter).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Costs relating to the acquisition or alienation of a participation are not deductible.</li> <li>Other costs relating to the participation, such as interest expenses on acquisition debt, are in principle tax deductible.</li> <li>However, the deduction of expenses on acquisition debt may inter alia be restricted pursuant to one of the following rules: <ol> <li>the earnings stripping rule implemented on the basis of ATAD 1 (see 7.2);</li> <li>the -hybrid mismatch rules implemented on the basis of ATAD 2 (see 7.5);</li> <li>the anti-base erosion rules which restrict, under certain circumstances, the deduction of expenses on related-party debt incurred in connection with certain tainted transactions, including the distribution of a dividend to a related party, or the acquisition of shares in a company which is a related party following the acquisition;</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	Costs are deductible only if they are shown to be revenue expenditures which are wholly and exclusively incurred in the production of income that is taxable in Singapore. Capital expenditures and expenses relating to foreign sourced income or exempt income are thus not deductible.
The 'recapture rule' applies to the cumulative amount of costs that relate a qualifying participation and that have been deducted. If a capital gain is realized in a future year in respect of the respective participation, such capital gain will be taxable up to the amount of the recapture expenses that relate to such participation. However, such taxable gain can be offset against any available tax loss carried forwards (see under 3.3 above). Currency exchange gains and losses incurred on loans to finance the acquisition of the participation are taxable / deductible.	<ul> <li>iv. the hybrid debt classification rules and the non-businesslike loan rules, as developed under case law.</li> <li>As a general rule, currency exchange gains with respect to borrowings to finance a participation are taxable and currency losses incurred on such borrowings are deductible.</li> <li>Subject to advance confirmation from the Dutch tax authorities, the participation exemption will apply to gains and losses on financial instruments entered into by the Dutch company to hedge its currency risk with respect to exempt participations.</li> </ul>	

## 4. Withholding taxes

### 4.1 Withholding tax on dividends

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
The domestic dividend withholding tax rate is generally 15%,	The domestic dividend withholding tax rate is 15%, which may be	Singapore does not levy any withholding tax on dividends.
which may be reduced by virtue of tax treaties to, generally, 5%.	reduced by virtue of tax treaties.	
Exemptions	Distributions by Dutch cooperatives	
A domestic exemption applies if:	Profit distributions by a Dutch cooperative are not subject to Dutch	
i. the dividend distribution is made to (i) a fully taxable	dividend withholding tax, unless it concerns profit distributions by	
Luxembourg resident company, (ii) an EU entity qualifying under the EU Parent- Subsidiary Directive, (iii) a Luxembourg	a so-called holding cooperative.	
branch or EU branch of such EU entity or a Luxembourg	A cooperative qualifies as a holding cooperative if its actual	
branch of a company that is resident of a treaty country,	activities usually consist for 70% or more of holding participations	
(iv) a Swiss resident company subject to Swiss CIT without	or of group financing activities. This is determined based on	
being exempt, or (v) a company which is resident in an EEA	balance sheet totals, along with types of assets and liabilities,	
country or a country with which Luxembourg has concluded	turnover, profit-generating activities and time spent by employees.	
a tax treaty and which is subject to a tax comparable to		
the Luxembourg corporate tax (i.e. a tax rate of 9% and a	No Dutch dividend withholding tax is due on distributions to	
comparable tax base); and	members of the cooperative that have an entitlement to less	
ii. the recipient of the dividend has held or commits itself to	than 5% of the annual profits or the liquidation proceeds of	
continue to hold a direct participation in the Luxembourg	the cooperative, alone or together with related persons or as a	
company of at least 10% or with an acquisition price of at	member of a collaborating group.	
least EUR 1.2 million for an uninterrupted period of at least		
12 months.	Exemption for substantial NL, EU/EEA or treaty shareholder	
	Under domestic rules, an exemption applies if a distribution	
See, however, under 7.3 below regarding the potential application	is made by a Dutch company or cooperative to a substantial	
of the general anti-abuse rule and under 7.6 below regarding the	shareholder established in:	
potential application of the anti-abuse rule and the anti-hybrid rule	i. the Netherlands, provided the shareholder can apply	
to income derived from EU entities that fall within the scope of the	the participation exemption with regard to the dividend	
EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive.	distribution or is included in a CIT consolidation with the	
	distributing company;	
Distributions of advance liquidation proceeds by a Luxembourg	ii. either the EU/EEA or a country with which the Netherlands has	
company are not subject to dividend withholding tax.	concluded a tax treaty that includes a dividend article, provided	
	the shareholder could have applied the participation exemption	
	had it been a tax resident of the Netherlands.	

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
Luxembourg A repurchase and cancellation by a Luxembourg company of part of its own shares is not subject to dividend withholding tax if it qualifies as a 'partial liquidation'. The repurchase and cancellation of all shares held by one of the shareholders, who thereby ceases to be a shareholder of the Luxembourg company, constitutes a partial liquidation. Under current practice, the repurchase and cancellation of an entire class of shares may constitute, under circumstances, a partial liquidation as well. The liquidation of a Luxembourg company or a repurchase of shares may, however, trigger non-resident capital gains tax (see under 5 below).	The Netherlands However, the exemption under (ii) does not apply if (i) the interest in the Dutch entity is held with the main purpose or one of the main purposes to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax and (ii) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is considered artificial if it has not been put in place for valid business reasons that reflect economic reality. Additional conditions apply, dependent on the specific facts and circumstances. Liquidation / share redemption Liquidation distributions and payments upon repurchase of shares are treated as ordinary dividends to the extent they exceed the average fiscally recognized capital contributed to the shares of the Dutch company. An exemption may apply for the repurchase of listed shares. Under Dutch tax treaties liquidation distributions and payments upon a repurchase of shares are in certain circumstances classified as a capital gain and not as a dividend. As a result, if	Singapore
	such treaty classification is applicable, the Netherlands may not be allowed to levy any tax on the proceeds upon liquidation or repurchase of shares.	
	Additional withholding tax on dividend payments as of 2024 The Netherlands government has submitted a legislative proposal to Parliament in order to introduce an additional withholding tax as of 2024 in the case of intra-group profit distributions to shareholders located in certain 'low tax jurisdictions', in line with the conditional withholding tax on intra-group interest and royalty payments referred to in 4.2 and 4.3.	

### **4.2** Withholding tax on interest

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
No withholding tax is levied on arm's length interest payments made to non-residents, except for profit-sharing interest which, under certain circumstances, is subject to 15% withholding tax (subject to reduction under tax treaties). Interest payments made to Luxembourg resident individuals by a Luxembourg paying agent are subject to 20% Luxembourg withholding tax. The 20% withholding tax operates as a full discharge of income tax for Luxembourg resident individuals acting in the context of the management of their private wealth.	<ul> <li>Interest paid on a debt instrument that is treated as capital for Dutch tax purposes is subject to dividend withholding tax at a rate of 15%, which may be reduced under tax treaties. In addition, an exemption is available under the same conditions as mentioned under 4.1 above for regular dividend distributions.</li> <li>Under certain circumstances, a non-resident recipient of Dutch source interest income may be subject to non-resident CIT in the Netherlands; see under 5 below.</li> <li><b>Conditional withholding tax on interest</b></li> <li>The Netherlands introduced a conditional withholding tax on interest payments as of January 1, 2021. The withholding tax is levied at a rate equal to the main CIT rate (25% in 2021) and only applies to interest payments made to group entities in certain situations, whereby control (in short, &gt;50% voting rights) is the relevant criterion to assess whether an entity is a group entity.</li> <li>The withholding tax is applicable in four specific situations: <ol> <li>i. interest payments made by a Dutch company to group entities established or incorporated in a blacklisted jurisdiction;</li> <li>ii. interest payments made by a Dutch company to group entities that are not establishment of a group entity, which permanent establishment is located in a blacklisted jurisdiction;</li> <li>iii. interest payments made by a Dutch company to group entities that are not established or incorporated in a blacklisted jurisdiction, but where an 'abusive situation' exists;</li> <li>iv. interest payments made by a Dutch company to certain hybrid entities.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	Interest, commissions, fees or other payments in connection wit any loan or indebtedness are subject to a final withholding tax of 15% on the gross amount, unless reduced under a tax treaty.

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
	An abusive situation under (iii) exists if (a) the relevant group entity receives the interest payment with the main purpose or one of the main purposes to avoid tax and (b) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is considered artificial if it has not been put in place for valid business reasons that reflect economic reality. Additional conditions apply, dependent on the specific facts and circumstances.	

### 4.3 Withholding tax on royalties

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
None. Note that income paid to a non-resident that is derived from an independent artistic or literary activity that is or has been conducted or put to use in Luxembourg is subject to 10% withholding tax.	The Netherlands introduced a conditional withholding tax on royalty payments as of January 1, 2021. The withholding tax is levied at a rate equal to the main CIT rate (25% in 2021) and only applies to royalty payments made to group entities in certain situations, whereby control (in short, >50% voting rights) is the relevant criterion to assess whether an entity is a group entity. The withholding tax is applicable in four specific situations: i. royalty payments made by a Dutch company to group entities established or incorporated in a blacklisted jurisdiction; ii. royalty payments made by a Dutch company to a permanent establishment of a group entity, which permanent establishment is located in a blacklisted jurisdiction; iii. royalty payments made by a Dutch company to group entities that are not established or incorporated in a blacklisted jurisdiction; iii. royalty payments made by a Dutch company to group entities that are not established or incorporated in a blacklisted jurisdiction; iv. royalty payments made by a Dutch company to group entities that are not established or incorporated in a blacklisted jurisdiction, but where an 'abusive situation' exists; iv. royalty payments made by a Dutch company to certain hybrid entities. An abusive situation under (iii) exists if (a) the relevant group entity receives the royalty payment with the main purpose or one of the main purposes to avoid tax and (b) there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is considered artificial if it has not been put in place for valid business reasons that reflect economic reality. Additional conditions apply, dependent on the specific facts and circumstances.	Royalties paid to non- residents are generally subject to a final withholding tax of 10% on the gross amount of the royalty, unless reduced under a tax treaty. The 10% withholding tax is a final tax and applies to royalties (i) derived by a non-resident from a business carried on outside Singapore or (ii) not effectively connected to a permanent establishment in Singapore. Any other royalty paid to non-resident companies that do not qualify for the final rate are taxed at the prevailing corporate tax rate (17%). Payments to non-resident individuals are subject to withholding of the lower of 22% on net income or 10% on the gross royalties. Payments to non-residents (other than individuals) for technical services rendered in Singapore are subject to 17% withholding tax, unless the rate is reduced under a tax treaty. This includes fees for the rendering of assistance or services in connection with the application or use of scientific, technical, industrial or commercial knowledge or information; or for management or assistance in the management of a trade, business or profession unless the services are rendered entirely outside of Singapore and not performed through a business carried on in Singapore or a permanent establishment in Singapore.

## 5. Non-resident capital gains taxation

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
Gains realized by non-residents on the alienation of a substantial interest in a Luxembourg company (more than 10%), are taxable if the gain is realized within a period of 6 months following the acquisition of the shares. The foregoing may equally apply to distributions received upon liquidation and proceeds from a redemption of shares. Other rules apply in case the non-resident transferor was resident in Luxembourg for at least 15 years in the past.	<ul> <li>Capital gains realized by non-resident entities (without a permanent establishment in the Netherlands) on the alienation of shares in a Dutch company are subject to Dutch taxation if all of the following conditions are met: <ul> <li>i. the non-resident entity holds at the time of the alienation directly or indirectly an equity interest of 5% or more in the Dutch company (a 'substantial interest');</li> <li>ii. the substantial interest is held with one of the main purposes to avoid Dutch personal income tax; and</li> <li>iii. there is an artificial arrangement in place. An arrangement is considered as artificial if it has not been put in place for valid business reasons that reflect economic reality.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The income is calculated on a net basis. If the above-mentioned conditions are met, the non-resident taxation also applies</li> </ul>	Capital gains derived from the sale of shares in a Singapore company by a non-resident shareholder are not subject to taxation in Singapore.
	to distributions made by the Dutch company, as well as income derived from loans granted by the non-resident to the Dutch company. Capital gains realized by non-resident individuals on the alienation of shares in a Dutch company are subject to 26.9% Dutch	
	personal income taxation if that individual – together with his or her partner or any of his or her relatives by blood or by marriage in the direct line (including foster-children) or of those of his or her partner – directly or indirectly holds an equity interest in the Dutch company of 5% or more, or an interest in a class of shares of the Dutch company of 5% or more, unless that equity interest is attributable to a business enterprise of the individual.	

#### 6. Tax rulings

#### Luxembourg

#### The Netherlands

Luxembourg law provides for the possibility to request confirmation from the tax authorities in relation to the application of Luxembourg tax law to an anticipated transaction. Such request may relate to, among others, the application of the participation exemption (e.g. the comparable tax test), confirmation whether a permanent establishment is present in Luxembourg (e.g. in relation to investment funds organized in the form of a Luxembourg limited partnership), the debt qualification of certain instruments, transfer pricing matters and any other tax matters that may be relevant for Luxembourg companies (e.g. financing activities).

A request for confirmation is subject to payment of a fee to the authorities ranging from EUR 3,000 to EUR 10,000 (depending on the complexity of the matter). Any confirmation obtained is binding on the tax authorities and is valid for a period of maximum 5 fiscal years (subject to accuracy of the facts presented, subsequent changes to the facts and changes in national, EU or international law).

In respect of debt-funded intragroup finance activities, certain conditions must be met in order to obtain advance confirmation.

All tax rulings issued by the Luxembourg tax authorities prior to January 1, 2015 automatically expired upon completion of the 2019 fiscal year. The fact that such tax rulings are no longer binding does not mean that the tax positions laid down in the tax rulings become invalid. Taxpayers affected by such measure have the possibility to file a new tax ruling request.

Luxembourg (and all other EU Member States) are required to automatically exchange certain information on cross-border tax rulings and advanced pricing agreements that are issued on or after January 1, 2017. Furthermore, certain tax rulings and advance pricing agreements issued, amended or renewed after January 1, 2012 are also subject to exchange. The application of the participation exemption regime or the domestic exemption of dividend withholding tax (see 4.1 above) does not require obtaining an advance tax ruling ('ATR'), although this is possible.

ATRs are regularly granted in relation to the participation exemption, non-resident taxation and the dividend withholding taxation rules (see under 4.1 and 5 above).

As of July 1, 2019, in order to be eligible for an ATR, the Dutch taxpayer requesting the ATR should have sufficient economic nexus with the Netherlands. This is the case if (i) the Dutch taxpayer that requests the ATR is part of an internationally operating group engaged in an operating business in the Netherlands, and (ii) an operating business activity is carried out by, or for the risk and account of, that taxpayer through a sufficient number of relevant employees in the Netherlands. If such an ATR is issued, an anonymized summary of the ATR will be published.

As of July 1, 2019, it is no longer possible to conclude an ATR if the main motive of the Dutch taxpayer is to save Dutch or foreign taxes, or if an ATR is sought on the tax consequences of transactions with certain blacklisted jurisdictions.

#### Exchange of information on rulings

As of January 1, 2017, the Netherlands is (and all other EU Member States are) required to automatically exchange certain information on cross-border tax rulings and advanced pricing agreements.

In addition, the Netherlands has committed itself to the OECD framework regarding the compulsory exchange of information on tax rulings. The categories of tax rulings on which information has to be exchanged are identified in the OECD BEPS Action 5 Final Report.

#### Singapore

Singapore offers taxpayers the possibility to obtain an advance tax ruling provided it concerns an interpretation of the law. There is no requirement under the law to obtain an advance ruling for foreign dividends or gains, but doing so may be helpful if there is doubt about the interaction of the foreign tax position of an asset with the Singapore tax system.

Taxpayers can apply for an advance ruling from the Singapore tax authority, the Inland Revenue Authority of Singapore ('IRAS'). Broadly, an advance ruling is a written interpretation of how a provision of the Income Tax Act applies to a specific taxpayer and a proposed arrangement. A non-refundable fee applies upon application for the ruling and a further hourly fee applies to the next 4 hours spent on the ruling. The ruling process should take approximately 8 weeks (expedited handling is possible). Rulings are final, binding and confidential.

In June 2016, Singapore became part of the BEPS inclusive Framework and, accordingly, committed itself to the OECD framework regarding the compulsory exchange information on tax rulings. Singapore automatically exchanges certain tax rulings issued on or after April 1, 2017. Tax rulings issued on or after January 1, 2012 that were still valid on or after January 1, 2015 and tax rulings issued on or after January 1, 2015 but before April 1, 2017 were exchanged before yearend 2017. The categories of tax rulings on which information has to be exchanged are identified on the Singapore tax authorities' website.

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
In addition, Luxembourg has committed itself to the OECD framework regarding the compulsory exchange of information on tax rulings issued on or after April 1, 2016. Tax rulings issued on or after January 1, 2010 that were still valid on or after January 1, 2014 had to be exchanged before 2017. The categories of tax rulings on which information has to be exchanged are identified in the OECD BEPS Action 5 Final Report.		

## 7. Anti-abuse provisions

## 7.1 CFC rules

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
Luxembourg has introduced a CFC rule, effective for fiscal years starting as from January 1, 2019, based on 'Model B' as provided for by ATAD 1. A CFC is an entity or a permanent establishment of an entity that meets the following conditions: (i) a Luxembourg taxpayer holds (alone or together with one or more associated enterprises) a direct or indirect participation of more than 50% of the voting rights, the capital or the entitlement to profits of such entity; and (ii) the entity or permanent establishment is subject to an effective tax which is lower than 50% of the Luxembourg national CIT (i.e. for 2020, an effective rate that is lower than 8.5%) that would be due by the entity or permanent establishment if it were established in Luxembourg. Luxembourg corporate taxpayers are taxed on the undistributed net income of a CFC, pro rata to their ownership or control of the (directly and/or indirectly held)entity, to the extent such income is related to significant functions carried out by the Luxembourg corporate taxpayer but only if the relevant CFC has been put in place essentially for the purpose of obtaining a tax advantage. Such CFC income is only subject to Luxembourg national CIT, but not to municipal business tax.	As of January 1, 2019, the Netherlands has introduced CFC rules on the basis of ATAD 1. Under the CFC rules, certain undistributed items of passive income of a direct or indirect subsidiary or a permanent establishment are included in the tax base of the Dutch taxpayer if the subsidiary or permanent establishment is established in a jurisdiction that is included on (i) the Dutch blacklist or (ii) the European list of non-cooperative jurisdictions. The CFC rules only apply to direct or indirect subsidiaries if the Dutch shareholder, alone or together with an associated enterprise or person, holds an equity interest of more than 50% in the subsidiary. Certain exceptions apply, including if the subsidiary or permanent establishment has 'real economic activities'.	Singapore does not have controlled foreign corporation provisions, although the general anti-avoidance rules may apply to such transactions (see under 7.3 below).

### **7.2 Earnings stripping rules**

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
As of January 1, 2019, Luxembourg has introduced earnings stripping rules on the basis of ATAD 1.	As of January 1, 2019, the Netherlands has introduced earnings stripping rules on the basis of, and in accordance with, ATAD 1.	Singapore does not have earnings stripping provisions, although the general anti-avoidance rules may apply to such transactions (see under 7.3 below).
Subject to certain exclusions that are discussion below, the earnings stripping rules limit the deduction of the net amount of interest expenses and economically equivalent expenses (i.e. the excess, if any, of such expenses over interest income) in a taxable year to the higher of: i. 30% of EBITDA for tax purposes; or ii. EUR 3 million.	<ul> <li>The earnings stripping rules limit the deduction of the net amount of interest expenses in a taxable year to the higher of:</li> <li>i. 30% of EBITDA for tax purposes; or</li> <li>ii. EUR 1 million.</li> <li>The earnings stripping rules do not distinguish between third party and related party interest and do not provide grandfathering rules</li> </ul>	
The earnings stripping rules do not distinguish between third party and related party interest. However, the rules contain a grandfathering rule pursuant to which interest and economically equivalent expenses incurred in respect of loans that were concluded prior to June 17, 2016 and were not (materially) modified after such date are out of scope of the earning stripping rules. Furthermore, taxpayers that qualify as 'financial undertakings' or 'standalone entities' within the meaning of the earning stripping rules are excluded from their scope. Moreover, in case the ratio of equity to assets of a taxpayer is equal to or higher than such ratio for the consolidated group to which it belongs, such taxpayer is excluded from the scope of the rules.	for existing loans. The EBITDA is calculated in accordance with Dutch tax standards, which means that for instance dividends that qualify for the participation exemption (see 3.2 above) are not included in the EBITDA. Any non-deductible interest on the basis of the earnings stripping rules can be carried forward indefinitely, unless, in certain circumstances, in the case of a change of control of the taxpayer concerned.	
The EBITDA is calculated on a Luxembourg tax basis, which means for instance that dividends that qualify for the participation exemption (see 3.2 above) are not included in the EBITDA.		
Any interest that is not deductible pursuant to the earnings stripping rules can be carried forward indefinitely. In addition, any unused deduction capacity can be carried forward for 5 years.		

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
Luxembourg taxpayers that have opted to be treated as a single taxpayer for Luxembourg tax purposes (fiscal unity regime) can decide whether the earning stripping rules apply at the level of each Luxembourg taxpayer on a stand-alone basis or at fiscal unity level.		

#### 7.3 General anti-abuse rules

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
Luxembourg tax law contains a general anti-abuse provision, which was amended as per January 1, 2019 in order to bring its wording in line with the wording of the general anti-abuse rule contained in ATAD 1, thereby introducing the concept of a 'non-genuine arrangement'.	A general extra-statutory concept of abuse of law (fraus legis) applies, based on case law. This concept is deemed to be in line with the general anti-abuse rule that is required to be implemented on the basis of ATAD 1. Based on the concept of fraus legis, the actual 'facts' of the transactions are adjusted or substituted to reflect their true substance, or the transactions are disregarded	A general anti-avoidance rule exists in the Singapore tax legislation to disregard the tax effect of schemes entered into with a primary or dominant purpose of obtaining a tax benefit.
<ul> <li>The following criteria must be met cumulatively for an abuse of law to be present:</li> <li>i. the use of one or more legal form(s) or institution(s) of law;</li> <li>ii. the main purpose, or one of the main purposes, of such use of legal form(s) or institution(s) of law is to avoid or reduce a tax liability t in a manner that goes against the object or purpose of the tax law; and</li> <li>iii. such use of legal form(s) or institution(s) of law is non-genuine.</li> </ul>	for tax purposes. Fraus legis can be applied by a court if (i) tax avoidance is the decisive reason for entering into transaction(s) concerned and (ii) the outcome is in conflict with the purpose and intent of a specific provision of Dutch tax law or Dutch tax law in general.	

#### 7.4 Exit taxation

<ul> <li>Exit taxation rules apply to corporate entities and permanent establishments. Exit taxation applies on the difference between the fair market value and the tax book value of transferred assets in any of the following circumstances:</li> <li>a. transfer of assets from the head office to a permanent establishment in the EU or a third country;</li> <li>b. transfer of assets from a permanent establishment in the EU to its head office or to a permanent establishment in another EU Member State or in a third country;</li> <li>c. transfer of tax residence intra-EU or to a third country;</li> <li>c. transfer of tax residence intra-EU or to a third country;</li> <li>c. transfer of tax residence intra-EU or to a third country; except insofar as a permanent establishment remains; and</li> <li>Exit taxation rules apply to Dutch taxpayers and permanent establishment remains; and</li> <li>Exit taxation rules apply to Dutch taxpayers and permanent establishment in another EU Member State or in a third country; except insofar as a permanent establishment remains; and</li> </ul>	Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
d. transfer of a permanent establishment out of an       Payment of exit taxation can be deferred, in certain circumstances.         As from January 1, 2020, in case of transfer of the tax residence to an EU or EEA jurisdiction with which Luxembourg or the EU has concluded an agreement on the mutual assistance for tax recovery; payment of the exit taxation can be deferred upon request in instalments over a period of 5 years. <ul> <li>The payment deferral is discontinued and the tax becomes due immediately in the following cases:</li> <li>the transferred assets or the business carried on by the permanent establishment are toransferred to injurisdiction with which Luxembourg or the EU has concluded an agreement on the mutual assistance for tax recovery;</li> <li>the company goes bankrupt or is liquidated; or</li> <li>the taxpayer does not correct such default within a reasonable period of time which cannot exceed 12 months or does not correct such default within a reasonable period of time which cannot exceed 12 months or does not correct such default within a reasonable period of time which cannot exceed 12 months or does not correct such default within a reasonable period of time which cannot exceed 12 months or does not correct such default within a reasonable period of time which cannot exceed 12 months or does not correct such default within a reasonable period of time which cannot exceed 12 months or does not duy document annually the continued ownership of</li></ul>	<ul> <li>establishments. Exit taxation applies on the difference between the fair market value and the tax book value of transferred assets in any of the following circumstances: <ul> <li>a. transfer of assets from the head office to a permanent establishment in the EU or a third country;</li> <li>b. transfer of assets from a permanent establishment in the EU to its head office or to a permanent establishment in another EU Member State or in a third country;</li> <li>c. transfer of tax residence intra-EU or to a third country, except insofar as a permanent establishment out of an EU Member State.</li> </ul> </li> <li>As from January 1, 2020, in case of transfer of the tax residence to an EU or EEA jurisdiction with which Luxembourg or the EU has concluded an agreement on the mutual assistance for tax recovery, payment of the exit taxation can be deferred upon request in instalments over a period of 5 years.</li> <li>The payment deferral is discontinued and the tax becomes due immediately in the following cases: <ul> <li>the transferred assets or the business carried on by the permanent establishment are transferred to jurisdiction other than an EU or EEA jurisdiction with which Luxembourg or;</li> <li>the transferred assets or the business carried on by the permanent establishment are transferred to jurisdiction other than an EU or EEA jurisdiction with which Luxembourg or the EU has concluded an agreement on the mutual assistance for tax recovery;</li> <li>the company goes bankrupt or is liquidated; or</li> <li>the company goes bankrupt or is liquidated; or</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>establishments in the Netherlands. Exit taxation is due on the difference between the fair market value and the tax book value of assets and liabilities transferred out of the Netherlands, in any of the following circumstances:</li> <li>a. transfer of tax residence intra-EU or to a third country, except insofar a permanent establishment remains in the Netherlands;</li> <li>b. transfer of assets and/or liabilities of a permanent establishment out of the Netherlands;</li> <li>c. transfer of the business carried on by a permanent establishment out of the Netherlands.</li> </ul>	Singapore does not have exit taxation rules.

### 7.5 Hybrid mismatch rules

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
As of fiscal year 2019, Luxembourg applies mismatch rules on the basis of ATAD 1 which apply to intra-EU hybrid mismatches that result from differences in the characterization of a financial instrument or an entity between Luxembourg and another EU Member States and that give rise to a double deduction or a deduction without a corresponding inclusion. As of fiscal year 2020, Luxembourg has transposed the hybrid mitch rules of ATAD 2, extending the scope of the above-mentioned ATAD 1 hybrid mismatch rules to hybrid mismatches between EU Member States and third states and expanding the scope of hybrid mismatches to which the rules apply. The purpose of the hybrid mismatch rules is to neutralize the tax effects of hybrid mismatches by limiting the deduction of payments or by including the payments in the taxable income of a Luxembourg corporate taxpayer.	As of January 1, 2020, the Netherlands applies hybrid mismatch rules, on the basis of ATAD 2. The purpose of the hybrid mismatch rules is to neutralize the tax effects of hybrid mismatches by limiting the deduction of payments or by including the payments in the taxable income of a Dutch corporate taxpayer. The hybrid mismatch situations covered by the rules include (i) payments on hybrid financial instruments, (ii) payments to or by hybrid entities, (iii) payments to or by hybrid permanent establishments, (iv) payments by dual resident entities and (v) payments made on a non-hybrid instrument that fund deductible payments if no equivalent adjustment is made by another state involved ('imported mismatches'), which can lead to deduction of such payment without inclusion or double deduction of such payment. Exceptions may apply, depending on the specific facts and circumstances.	<ul> <li>In 2014, Singapore issued guidelines on the income tax treatment of hybrid instruments, specifying factors generally considered in determining whether an instrument is debt or equity for Singapore income tax purposes.</li> <li>The factors that IRAS rely on when determining the characterization of a hybrid instrument include but are not limited to the following: <ul> <li>a. nature of interest acquired;</li> <li>b. right to participate in issuer's business;</li> <li>c. voting rights conferred by the instrument;</li> <li>d. obligation to repay the principal amount;</li> <li>e. whether the payout is cumulative and is at the discretion of the issuer;</li> <li>f. investor's right to enforce payment;</li> <li>g. classification by other regulatory authority;</li> <li>h. ranking for repayment in the event of liquidation or dissolution.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
The hybrid mismatches covered by the rules include (i) payments on hybrid financial instruments, (ii) payments to or by hybrid entities, (iii) payments to or by hybrid permanent establishments, (iv) payments by dual resident entities and (v) payments made on a non-hybrid instrument that fund deductible payments if no equivalent adjustment is made by another state involved ('imported mismatches'), which can lead to deduction of such payment without inclusion or double deduction of such payment. Exceptions may apply, depending on the specific facts and circumstances. In addition, as of fiscal year 2022, if certain conditions are met, so-called 'reverse hybrid entities' will become subject to Luxembourg CIT if incorporated, established or registered in Luxembourg.	In addition, as of January 1, 2022, reverse hybrid entities may in certain situations become subject to Dutch CIT if incorporated, established or registered in the Netherlands. Corporate taxpayers should include in their administration information that is relevant for determining if and to what extent a payment is affected by the hybrid mismatch rules.	

### **7.6 Other (domestic) anti-abuse provisions and doctrines**

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
The anti-hybrid rule and the anti-abuse rule contained in the EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive were implemented into Luxembourg tax law. Pursuant to such rules, the participation exemption for dividends and the dividend withholding tax exemption do not apply in respect of dividends received from/paid to an EU entity that falls within the scope of the EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive and is not subject to a Comparable Tax (see under 3.2 above) to the extent (a) that the profits received from the EU entity were deductible in the jurisdiction of the payor, or (b) in case (one of) the main purpose(s) of an arrangement is to obtain a tax advantage that would defeat the object or purpose of the EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive and such arrangement lacks economic reality, i.e. is no genuine.	An annual mark-to-market revaluation applies to a substantial (25% or more) shareholding in a low-taxed subsidiary of which the assets consist, directly or indirectly, for 90% or more of 'low-taxed free passive investments'. Anti-abuse rules apply with respect to the participation exemption in relation to hybrid instruments (see under 3.2 iii above). An exemption or reduction of Dutch dividend withholding tax may be denied based on the so called 'anti-dividend- stripping' rules. The rules described under 4.1 above, which excludes certain distributions from the exemption of dividend withholding tax,	A no-substantial-change-in-shareholder test applies to carry forward and carry back losses and capital allowances, unless a waiver is obtained from the Singapore tax authority for the losses and capital allowances to be preserved. The income tax law contains transfer pricing rules. Where conditions are made or imposed between two related parties in their commercial or financial relations that are not on arm's length terms, the IRAS may make adjustments to the profits for income tax purposes. Specific guidance through tax circulars has been given for related party loans and related party services. Singapore does not have economic substance requirements
Pursuant to Luxembourg transfer pricing rules, a transaction (or the relevant part thereof) is ignored for the purposes of determining the at arm's length pricing of such transaction (or the relevant part thereof), when it contains one or several elements that are not motivated by valid business reasons and that have a meaningful impact on the determination of the at arm's length price.	effectively constitute an anti-abuse measure. The same applies to the withholding tax rules on intragroup interest and royalties described under 4.2 and 4.3 above, and the non-resident capital gains taxation rules for non-resident entities described under 5 above.	but IRAS will consider certain factors before granting a residency certificate.
As from March 1, 2021, interest and royalties due by a Luxembourg taxpayer to related entities established in a country or jurisdiction appearing on the EU list of noncooperative jurisdictions ( <b>EU Blacklist</b> ) are not deductible.		

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
For purposes of this rule, two entities are considered related if (i) one directly or indirectly participates in the management, control or capital of the other or (ii) the same persons directly or indirectly participate in the direction, control or capital of both entities. If the legal recipient of the interest or royalties is a transparent entity from a Luxembourg tax perspective, the legal recipient is looked through up to the first direct or indirect entity in the holding chain that is treated as a corporate entity from a Luxembourg tax perspective. Furthermore, for purposes of this rule, the recipient must be the beneficial owner of the income. If the recipient is not the beneficial owner, the application of this rule is assessed at the level of the beneficial owner.		
The denial of the deduction does not apply when the taxpayer demonstrates that valid business reasons exist for the underlying transaction, which are genuine in view of the overall facts and circumstances. The Luxembourg taxpayer has the burden of proof regarding the existence of valid business reasons.		
With respect to the period from March 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021, the rule applies to countries and jurisdictions appearing on the most recent version of the EU Blacklist published by the EU Council as of March 1, 2021, i.e. American Samoa, Anguilla, Dominica, Fiji, Guam, Palau, Panama, Samoa, the Seychelles, Trinidad and Tobago, the US Virgin Islands and Vanuatu. For subsequent calendar years, the rule will apply to countries and jurisdictions appearing on the most recent version of the EU Blacklist published by the EU Council as of January 1st of the relevant year. If a country is removed from the EU Blacklist during a year, the rule will cease to apply as from the date of removal (as published by the EU Council). If a country is added to the EU Blacklist during a year, the rule will only apply as from the following year if such country remains on the most recent version of the EU Blacklist published by the EU Council as of January 1st of the EU Blacklist during a year, the rule will only apply as from the following year if such country remains on the most recent version of the EU Blacklist published by the EU Council as of January 1st of that following year.		

## 8. Mandatory disclosure rules

uxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
s of July 1, 2020, Luxembourg applies mandatory disclosure lles on the basis of DAC6.	As of July 1, 2020, the Netherlands applies mandatory disclosure rules on the basis of DAC6.	Singapore head quartered multinational companies meeting certain conditions are required to prepare and submit country-by-country reports to IRAS.
he law of July 24, 2020 provides for a six-month deferral for the ing and exchange of reportable arrangements. As a result: reportable cross-border arrangements of which the first step was implemented between June 25, 2018 and July 1, 2020 have to be reported (or notified, as the case may be) before February 28, 2021; the 30-day reporting period (and the related notification period) starts on January 1, 2021 for reportable cross-border arrangements being made available for implementation, being ready for implementation, or the first step of which is implemented between July 1, 2020 and December 31, 2020; and the first periodic report in respect of 'marketable' arrangements should be submitted on April 30, 2021 at the latest.	<ul> <li>On June 26, 2020, the Dutch government opted for a six-month deferral for the filing and exchange of reportable arrangements. As a result:</li> <li>reportable cross-border arrangements of which the first step was implemented between June 25, 2018 and July 1, 2020 had to be reported (or notified, as the case may be) before February 28, 2021;</li> <li>the 30-day reporting period (and the related notification period) started on January 1, 2021 for reportable cross-border arrangements being made available for implementation, being ready for implementation, or the first step of which is implemented, between July 1, 2020 and December 31, 2020; and</li> <li>the first periodic report in respect of 'marketable' arrangements should have been submitted on April 30, 2021 at the latest.</li> </ul> In general, the Dutch implementation follows the minimum standard of DAC6. A cross-border arrangement is reportable if it concerns at least one EU Member State and contains at least one of the hallmarks set out in DAC6. In pure domestic situations and situations without a link to any EU Member State, no reporting obligations exist in the Netherlands.	country-by-country reports to IRAS. Singapore does not have DAC6 like disclosure requirements
AC6 can result in a penalty of at maximum EUR 250,000. dministrative guidance was issued by the Luxembourg tax uthorities on certain definitions and concepts and the obligations nder the mandatory disclosure rules.	Administrative guidance was issued in the Netherlands on the hallmarks and the obligations under the mandatory disclosure rules on June 29, 2020.	

## 9. Income tax treaties / MLI

## 9.1 Signatory to the MLI / ratification

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
Luxembourg signed the MLI on June 7, 2017. With the exception of the Luxembourg-Cyprus tax treaty and the Luxembourg-Kosovo tax treaty, Luxembourg has not excluded any of its income tax treaties from the scope of the MLI. Luxembourg has chosen option A in relation to article 5 (Application of Methods for the Elimination of Double Taxation) and the 'principal purpose test' without 'limitation on benefits' clause in	The Netherlands signed the MLI on June 7, 2017. The Netherlands has largely accepted all provisions in the MLI, with limited reservations. The Netherlands has chosen for option A in relation to article 5 (Application of Methods for Elimination of Double Taxation), the 'principal purpose test' without 'limitation on benefits' clause in relation to article 7 (Prevention of Treaty Abuse) and option A in relation to article 13 (Artificial Avoidance of Permanent Establishment Status – Specific Activity Exemption).	Singapore ratified the MLI and deposited the instrument of ratification with OECD on December 21, 2018 and notified 86 of its tax treaties. For Singapore the MLI will enter into force on April 1, 2019. Singapore chose to apply for the principal purpose test in the MLI as a minimum standard and opted for improved mutual agreement procedures and arbitration as dispute resolution mechanisms.
relation to article 7 (Prevention of Treaty Abuse). Luxembourg will not apply article 4 (Dual Resident Entities), article 8 (Dividend Transfer Transactions), article 9 ('Real Estate Rich' Company Clause), article 10 (Anti-Abuse Rule for Permanent Establishments situated in Third Jurisdictions), article 11 (Savings Clause), article 12 (Artificial Avoidance of Permanent Establishment Status through Commissionaire Arrangements), article 14 (Splitting Up of Contracts), and article 15 (Definition of a Closely Related Persons).	The Netherlands will not apply article 11 (Savings Clause) and (temporarily) article 12 (Artificial Avoidance of Permanent Establishment Status -Commissionaire Arrangements). The Netherlands ratified the MLI on March 5, 2019 and deposited the ratification bill with the OECD on March 29, 2019. The MLI entered into force for the Netherlands as of July 1, 2019, with effective date January 1, 2020.	Singapore made reservations to most of the optional provisions. The IRAS will clarify how each relevant treaty will be impacted by the MLI.
Luxembourg ratified the MLI on February 14, 2019 and deposited its ratification instrument with the OECD on April 9, 2019. The MLI thus entered into force for Luxembourg on August 1, 2019. The entry into force of the MLI for a given treaty depends on whether the other signatory has notified the relevant treaty and, if so, when it deposits its ratification instrument with the OECD. In case the other signatory deposited the ratification instrument (i) prior to September 30, 2019, the MLI entered into effect with respect to the relevant treaty on January 1, 2020 with respect to withholding taxes and (ii) prior to March 31, 2020, the MLI will enter into effect with respect to the relevant treaty on January 1, 2021 with respect to other taxes.		

#### 9.2 Income tax treaties and effect of the MLI<sup>2</sup>

The below overview shows income tax treaties that are in force as of January 1, 2021.

Treaties in respect of which both countries have listed the treaty as a Covered Tax Agreement in relation to the MLI are shown in **bold**.

Treaties in respect of which the MLI has entered into force for both countries as of January 1, 2021 (i.e., both countries have deposited their instrument of ratification with the OECD no later than September 30, 2020) are shown in **bold underlined**.

As a general rule, the MLI will be effective for a specific treaty (a) for withholding taxes: as from the first day of the calendar year beginning after the date on which the MLI has entered into force for both countries; and (b) for all other taxes: for taxable periods beginning on or after expiration of a period of 6 calendar months after the date on which the MLI has entered into force for both countries. Exceptions may apply.

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
As of January 1, 2021, Luxembourg has income tax treaties in force with the following countries:	As of January 1, 2021, the Netherlands has income tax treaties in force with the following countries:	As of January 1, 2021, Singapore has income tax treaties in force with the following countries:
1. Andorra	1. Albania	1. <u>Albania</u>
2. Armenia	2. Argentina	2. <u>Australia</u>
3. <u>Austria</u>	3. Armenia	3. <u>Austria</u>
4. Azerbaijan	4. Aruba	4. Bahrain
5. Bahrain	5. <u>Australia</u>	5. Bangladesh
6. Barbados	6. <u>Austria</u>	6. Barbados
7. <u>Belgium</u>	7. Azerbaijan	7. Belarus
8. Brazil	8. Bahrain	8. <u>Belgium</u>
9. Brunei	9. Bangladesh	9. Brunei
10. Bulgaria	10. Barbados	10. Bulgaria
11. Canada	11. Belarus	11. Cambodia
12. China (People's Rep.)	12. Belgium	12. <u>Canada</u>
13. Croatia	13. Bosnia and Herzegovina	13. China (People's Rep.)
14. Cyprus	14. Brazil	14. <u>Cyprus</u>
15. Czech Republic	15. Bulgaria	15. Czech Republic
16. Denmark	16. <u>Canada</u>	16. <u>Denmark</u>
17. Estonia	17. China (People's Rep.)	17. Ecuador
18. <u>Finland</u>	18. Croatia	18. Egypt
19. <u>France</u>	19. Curacao	19. Estonia
20. <u>Georgia</u>	20. <u>Czech Republic</u>	20. Ethiopia
	1	1

2 Only comprehensive income tax treaties are included.

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
21. Germany	21. Denmark	21. <b>Fiji</b>
22. Greece	22. <u>Egypt</u>	22. <u>Finland</u>
23. Guernsey	23. Estonia	23. France
24. Hong Kong	24. Ethiopia	24. <u>Georgia</u>
25. Hungary	25. <u>Finland</u>	25. Germany
26. Iceland	26. <b>France</b>	26. <u>Guernsey</u>
27. India	27. <u>Georgia</u>	27. Hungary
28. Indonesia	28. Germany	28. India
29. Ireland	29. Ghana	29. Indonesia
30. Isle of Man	30. Greece	30. Ireland
31. Israel	31. Hong Kong	31. Isle of Man
32. Italy	32. Hungary	32. <u>Israel</u>
33. <u>Japan</u>	33. Iceland	33. Italy
34. <u>Jersey</u>	34. <u>India</u>	34. <u>Japan</u>
35. Kazakhstan	35. Indonesia	35. <u>Jersey</u>
36. Korea (Rep.)	36. Ireland	36. <u>Kazakhstan</u>
37. Kosovo	37. <u>Israel</u>	37. Korea (Rep.)
38. Laos	38. Italy	38. Kuwait
39. Latvia	39. <u>Japan</u>	39. Laos
40. Liechtenstein	40. <u>Jordan</u>	40. <u>Latvia</u>
41. Lithuania	41. Kazakhstan	41. Libya
42. Malaysia	42. Korea (Rep.)	42. Liechtenstein
43. <u>Malta</u>	43. Kuwait	43. Lithuania
44. Mauritius	44. Latvia	44. Luxembourg
45. <b>Mexico</b>	45. Lithuania	45. Malaysia
46. Moldova	46. Luxembourg	46. <u>Malta</u>
47. <u>Monaco</u>	47. Malaysia	47. <u>Mauritius</u>
48. Morocco	48. <u>Malta</u>	48. <b>Mexico</b>
49. <u>Netherlands</u>	49. <b>Mexico</b>	49. Mongolia
50. <u>Norway</u>	50. Moldova	50. Morocco
51. North Macedonia	51. Montenegro	51. Myanmar
52. Panama	52. Morocco	52. Netherlands
53. Poland	53. New Zealand	53. New Zealand
54. Portugal	54. Nigeria	54. Nigeria
-		

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
55. <b>Qatar</b>	55. North Macedonia	55. Norway
56. Romania	56. <u>Norway</u>	56. <u>Oman</u>
57. <b>Russia</b>	57. <u>Oman</u>	57. Pakistan
58. San Marino	58. Pakistan	58. Panama
59. <u>Saudi Arabia</u>	59. <b>Panama</b>	59. Papua New Guinea
60. Senegal	60. Philippines	60. Philippines
61. <u>Serbia</u>	61. Poland	61. <u>Poland</u>
62. Seychelles	62. <u>Portugal</u>	62. <u>Portugal</u>
63. <u>Singapore</u>	63. <b>Qatar</b>	63. <b>Qatar</b>
64. <u>Slovak Republic</u>	64. Romania	64. Romania
65. <u>Slovenia</u>	65. <b><u>Russia</u></b>	65. <b>Russia</b>
66. South Africa	66. <u>Saudi Arabia</u>	66. Rwanda
67. <b>Spain</b>	67. <u>Serbia</u>	67. <u>San Marino</u>
68. Sri Lanka	68. Singapore	68. <u>Saudi Arabia</u>
69. <u>Sweden</u>	69. <u>Slovak Republic</u>	69. Seychelles
70. Switzerland	70. <u>Slovenia</u>	70. <u>Slovak Republic</u>
71. Taiwan	71. South Africa	71. <u>Slovenia</u>
72. Tajikistan	72. Spain	72. South Africa
73. Thailand	73. Sri Lanka	73. <b>Spain</b>
74. Trinidad and Tobago	74. St. Maarten	74. Sri Lanka
75. Tunisia	75. Suriname	75. Sweden
76. Turkey	76. <u>Sweden</u>	76. Switzerland
77. Ukraine	77. Switzerland	77. Taiwan
78. United Arab Emirates	78. Taiwan	78. Thailand
79. United Kingdom	79. Tajikistan	79. <b>Turkey</b>
30. United States	80. Thailand	80. Ukraine
81. <u>Uruguay</u>	81. Tunisia	81. United Arab Emirates
82. Uzbekistan	82. Turkey	82. United Kingdom
83. Vietnam	83. Uganda	83. Uruguay
	84. Ukraine	84. Uzbekistan
	85. United Arab Emirates	85. Vietnam

Luxembourg	The Netherlands	Singapore
	86. United Kingdom	
	87. United States	
	88. Uzbekistan	
	89. Venezuela	
	90. Vietnam	
	91. Zambia	
	92. Zimbabwe	

# LOYENSLOEFF

# Part III

Spain, Switzerland and the United Kingdom

## **1. Business environment**

## **1.1 Business climate – general**

## Spain

Switzerland

According to the Spanish Institute of Foreign Trade (ICEX), the Spanish economy is the 4<sup>th</sup> economy of the EU and the 14<sup>th</sup> largest economy in the world by GDP, the 13<sup>th</sup> country most attractive for foreign direct investment (FDI), and the 11<sup>th</sup> largest exporter of commercial services. In fact, services represent almost 73% of the business activity in Spain.

Spain is an international center for innovation that benefits from a young and highly qualified population of a proactive nature, and competitive costs in the context of Western Europe, especially as regards graduate and post-graduate employees.

The country has worked hard to equip itself with state-of-the-art infrastructures capable of fostering the future growth of the economy. This has been done alongside a major commitment to R&D.

Switzerland provides great political and economic stability and freedom and boasts one of the highest GDPs per capita worldwide. The Swiss economy and legal framework are very business- and innovation-friendly and offer good protection of the investments. The reliable judicial system enables an efficient and dependable resolution of legal disputes. Switzerland offers highly skilled workforce with Swiss universities achieving high placings in international rankings as well as a well-respected dual educational system. The Swiss franc has proven to be one of the most stable currencies worldwide.

Switzerland is highly regarded as a well-connected international financial and business centre with global outreach. Not being an EU Member, Switzerland has concluded a comprehensive network of bilateral agreements. Switzerland ranks 1st on the 2020 Globalisation Index, which measures the economic, social and political dimensions of the globalization of nation states.

#### United Kingdom

The UK benefits from a well-developed and respected legal and commercial environment, which has continued to be attractive to business throughout its history. Features that benefit businesses established in the UK include its established corporate support network of professional advisors and institutions, and a strong, independent judiciary.

Currently, the UK ranks 5<sup>th</sup> on the 2020 Globalisation Index, and 8<sup>th</sup> in the World Bank's 'Doing Business 2020' rankings.

In December 2020, the UK and the European Union signed the EU-UK Trade and Cooperation Agreement (TCA), just prior to the end of the Brexit transitional period on January 1, 2021.

The resultant change in customs requirements and regulatory permissions is widely reported to has caused various difficulties for trade in both goods and services with the EU. However, the current UK government is continuing to seek new ideas to maintain, or even strengthen, the UK's position as a pro-business jurisdiction.

## **1.2 Location, logistics and infrastructure**

#### Spain

## Switzerland

Spain is attractive for foreign investment, not only because of its domestic market but also because of its privileged geo-strategic position. Its location provides an ideal gateway to Northern Africa and it is also a unique platform to channel investments to Latin America. Strong cultural, economic and historical ties between Spain and Latin America led to a wave of Spanish investment in Latin America, and Spanish companies have become leaders in many strategic sectors of the continent.

Structural reforms have improved Spanish competitiveness and exports, and the country's infrastructures rank among the top seven countries with best infrastructure quality in the world (Global Competitiveness Report, 2019). Especially prominent for their relevance are its International airports and other complementary infrastructures that enable the entry of tourists; its rail system: with Europe's 1st and the world's 3<sup>rd</sup> longest high-speed rail; its ports: global logistics platforms that connect international sea routes; its highways, as well as its logistics centers and other rail infrastructures; its urban transport, frequently integrated in the setting of smart cities, and its telecommunications networks and state-of-the-art IT management systems. Switzerland is located in the centre of Europe and an ideal place for international business operations as well as attractive place to live. Switzerland inhabits around 8.5 million people and has four official languages (German, French, Italian, Romansh). English is widely spoken as well. In addition to the famous Swiss nature and mountain regions, Switzerland has various cities with strong economic activities (e.g. Zurich, Geneva, Basel, Lausanne, Bern) as well as attractive domiciles for holding and group finance companies (e.g. Zug).

Switzerland offers well-developed and reliable infrastructure, including both individual and public transport. Connection within Europe and to business hubs around the world is ensured by several international airports, with Zurich, Geneva and Basel being the largest, as well as smaller regional international and private airports.

#### United Kingdom

The UK's location provides a central link between economies across the world, with business hours overlapping major business locations in Asia, the Middle East and the Americas. Its close proximity to Europe ensures that it benefits from the opportunity to trade with a number of large economies within the European trading bloc.

It benefits from an extensive road and rail network, with well-connected international airports.

The current government has committed to significant infrastructure investment, with a particular focus on developing regions outside of London.

## **1.3 Hiring employees**

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
<ul> <li>Spain has a highly qualified labor force which is very competitive in terms of costs in the context of Western Europe. Spain ranks among the top three European countries as regards number of employees holding university degrees.</li> <li>In keeping with the commitment entered into with the European Union to promote job creation, the Spanish government has implemented significant reforms to the employment laws in recent years, introducing a greater degree of flexibility in employment.</li> <li>Subject to certain conditions, the Spanish inpatriate tax regime allows individuals who move to Spain to work to be taxed under the rules of income tax for non-resident individuals (i.e. taxation on Spanish sourced income at a flat 24% rate for the first EUR 600,000 and at a 47% rate for the excess but without deduction of expenses or allowances) for the tax year of the move to Spain and the following 5 tax years.</li> </ul>	Switzerland offers a well-educated and highly qualified workforce. The Swiss labour market is both multilingual and multicultural and offers good access to international qualified personnel. The Swiss labour market is also known for its great flexibility and reliability. Switzerland has a low unemployment rate of approximately 3.1% as of January 1, 2021.	The UK has a highly qualified English-speaking workforce, ranking 3 <sup>rd</sup> in Eurostat's 2019 measure of tertiary educational attainment. London's position as a global economic and cultural centre ensures workers are drawn to the South East region, with world-leading universities attracting an array of talented students looking for work after the completion of their courses. The UK benefits from a flexible labour market, with employers enjoying a high degree of freedom in their hiring decisions.

## **1.4 Other aspects of business environment**

## Spain

#### Switzerland

Spain's regulatory and institutional framework is modern, clear, and transparent, aligned with the best practices of the OECD. In recent years, the implementation of a series of far-reaching structural reforms has reinforced the competitiveness of the business climate, increasing labor market flexibility and improving the conditions for the development and growth of new companies and corporate groups in the market. In addition, Spain has achieved a high degree of technological development and offers a highly qualified workforce that is recognized internationally, generating an ideal, attractive framework for investment and business activities.

The science and technology parks play a very important role in Spain's police on innovation. The entire national network of technology parks is configured as an efficient instrument for the transfer of technology and for the creation and attraction of companies with high added value. They are available for small and medium companies as well as multinationals, offering a suitable environment for the development of technological know-how and the promotion of innovation. The Swiss economy is driven by its tertiary sector. It is heavily focused on international trade with chemical and pharmaceutical products, machinery and electronics and watches being its main export goods. Switzerland offers a very innovative economic environment and has been repeatedly ranked as the world's most innovative economy by the Global Innovation Index. It has also consistently been one of the most competitive economies worldwide according to the Global Competitiveness Index. In recent years, Switzerland has seen a sharp increase in innovative technology companies.

#### United Kingdom

The UK is rated one of the safest and easiest places to establish a business in the world. Despite a proposed increase to take effect in April 2023, its corporation tax rate will remain the lowest within the G7 (once regional rates are taken into account).

It benefits from providing the language and legal system for the majority of global business, with 27% of the world's jurisdictions using English common law.

It has particular strengths in professional services, including a global financial centre in the City of London.

## **2.** Tax on capital contributions

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
No tax is due on capital contributions made to a Spanish company upon incorporation or thereafter (whether or not the contribution entails a capital increase).	<ul> <li>1% (stamp duty) of the amount contributed (fair market value) with a minimum equal to the nominal value of the shares issued.</li> <li>Exemptions</li> <li>Exemptions apply, inter alia, in the following cases: <ul> <li>Share capital up to an amount of CHF 1 million.</li> <li>Immigration of a company.</li> </ul> </li> <li>On the basis of the Merger Act and a Circular issued by the Swiss federal tax authorities concerning the tax consequences of this law, exemptions are available for: <ul> <li>a. mergers, divisions transformations;</li> <li>b. contributions of separate business activity or qualifying participations, and</li> <li>c. financial restructurings up to an amount of CHF 10 million.</li> </ul> </li> <li>For exemptions based on the Merger Act and the Circular issued in relation thereto, it is highly recommended to obtain an advance tax ruling.</li> </ul>	There is no tax on capital contributions in the UK. However, stamp duty or stamp duty reserve tax is payable at 0.5% on consideration (or deemed consideration) for the transfer of shares in a UK incorporated company, unless an exemption is applicable.

## **3.** Corporate income tax

## **3.1 Corporate income tax ('CIT') rate**

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
The general tax rate is 25%. Certain taxpayers are subject to lower tax rates, including a 4% tax rate for specific activities carried out in the Canary Islands and a 15% rate applicable during the first tax period in which newly incorporated companies carrying out economic activities derive a positive taxable base and the subsequent tax period.	Taxes are levied at three levels: federal, cantonal and communal. In January 2020, the measures relating to the Tax Reform and AHV Financing entered into force. In consequence, previous special tax regimes have been abolished while other new measures were implemented in order to maintain an attractive tax environment after the abolishment of the special tax regimes. Those measures vary on cantonal level depending on their	The current corporation tax rate is 19%. An additional 8% corporation tax surcharge is chargeable on the profits of certain banking companies and building societies. There is an annual allowance of £25 million per group (or per company for non-group members). Where taxable profits (including the sale of a product that includes
Credit institutions and certain entities engaged in the hydrocarbons industry are taxed at a 30% tax rate.	<ul> <li>implementation. They include for example the following measures:</li> <li>Introduction of a Patent box</li> <li>R&amp;D super-deduction (additional deductions of up to 50% for research and development expenses)</li> <li>Deduction for equity-financing (notional interest deduction; in the canton of Zurich only)</li> <li>Lower cantonal corporate income tax rates and capital tax rates or adjustment of the respective tax bases for the assessment of the capital tax.</li> <li>Step-up upon migration or transfer of business operations/functions to Switzerland</li> <li>Step-up as a transition mechanism for companies if an applicable tax regime ends. Two different models available: Depreciation Model (depreciation on built-in gains/goodwill) and Separate Rate (taxation of income at a separate, reduced rate)</li> </ul>	Where taxable profits (including the sale of a product that includes a patent, and income from patent royalties) can be attributed to the exploitation of patents, a lower effective rate of 10% may apply.
	Taxes are deductible for calculating taxable income. Consequently, effective tax rates are lower than the statutory rates. <b>Federal</b> The federal statutory CIT rate is 8.5%. The effective rate of federal CIT is approximately 7.8%.	

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
	<b>Cantonal and communal</b> Cantonal and communal tax rates vary per canton and municipality. The combined statutory cantonal and communal tax rates generally vary between 5% and 20%. The communal tax is levied as a percentage of the cantonal tax and follows the same rules.	
	<b>Total</b> The total (federal, cantonal and communal) effective CIT rate	

generally ranges between 12% and 22%.

Capital tax

Annual cantonal and communal capital tax is levied on the net equity of a company. The rates generally range between 0.001% and 0.50%.

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
Dividends derived from a Spanish or a foreign subsidiary are	For dividends, relief from federal, cantonal and communal income	UK companies other than small companies (see below) are fully
95% exempt from CIT (the taxable 5% corresponding to a	tax is granted ('Participation Reduction') in case:	exempt from corporation tax on dividends received, regardless of
ump sum of non-deductible expenses) under the following	i. dividends derived from a participation of which at least 10% of	whether the distributing company is located in the UK or outside
cumulative conditions:	the nominal share capital is held;	the UK, provided that: (i) the dividend distribution falls within one
at least $50^{\prime}$ of the conital of the subsidiary must be hold	ii. dividends derived from profit rights to at least 10% of the profits and reserves; or	of the five exempt classes described below; (ii) the dividend is not taken out of an exempt class by anti-avoidance rules; and
. at least 5% of the capital of the subsidiary must be held	iii. the shares have a fair market value of at least CHF 1 million.	
(directly or indirectly)	III. The shares have a fair market value of at least CHF 1 million.	(iii) no tax deduction is allowed to a resident of a territory
	Divides de classica d'écono a seculitie ation in a las statue d'unit distinc	outside the UK in respect of the dividend. No minimum holding
Pursuant to a grandfathering rule, companies applying the	Dividends derived from a participation in a low-taxed jurisdiction	period applies.
Spanish holding regime (ETVE regime) may apply the 95%	or from a participation with income from passive sources (such	
exemption if the acquisition value of the foreign subsidiary	as dividends, interest, royalties, insurance or income from group	The classes of exempt dividends are:
exceeded EUR 6 million in tax periods starting before 2015.	services) qualify for the Participation Reduction (no subject-to-tax	i. dividend distributions received from a company (alone or
Additionally, taxpayers may apply the 95% exemption until	or activity test).	jointly) controlled by the UK recipient in terms of powers or
2025 if the acquisition value of the subsidiary exceeded		economic rights. A targeted anti-avoidance rule applies which
EUR 20 million in tax periods starting before 2021.	Relief is granted in the form of a reduction of tax for the part that	tries to prevent schemes that seek to obtain the benefit of this
	is attributable to the 'net dividends' (and 'net capital gains'; see	exempt class without exposing profits to the CFC regime by
In the event that more than 70% of the income obtained by	under 3.3 below). The 'net dividends' (and 'net capital gains') are	manipulation of the ownership of a foreign company;
the subsidiary (or its corporate group) consists of dividends	calculated as the sum of dividends (and capital gains) derived	ii. dividend distributions in respect of non-redeemable ordinary
and capital gains, the applicability of the exemption requires	from qualifying participations less a proportional part of the finance	shares. Certain types of foreign companies do not issue share
a 5% indirect ownership in second or lower tier subsidiaries,	expenses and less related general expenses. Related general	capital; although this does not necessarily prevent these
unless such subsidiaries meet the conditions provided	expenses are deemed to be 5% of the participation income unless	distributions being included in this class of exempt dividends,
by the Commercial Code (Section 42) to form part of the	a lower amount can be demonstrated.	it is essential to consider the facts of each case separately.
corporate group with the first tier subsidiary and they draw up		This exempt class covers any percentage of non- redeemable
consolidated financial statements. This indirect participation	As a result of the Swiss tax reform cantonal and communal tax	ordinary shares held. A targeted anti-avoidance rule applies
requirement does not apply if the dividends received were	regimes which previously provided for tax exemption, including the	which tries to prevent schemes in which the shareholder
included as dividends or capital gains in the taxable base of a	'Holding Status', were abolished as of January 1, 2020 (see under	obtains quasi-preference or quasi- redeemable shares;
subsidiary without any tax relief (exemption or credit).	3.1 above). Even without the abolished 'Holding Status' tax	iii. dividend distributions received from a company in which the
	regime, holding companies can still benefit from tax relief in the	UK recipient, together with connected persons, (i) holds 10%
. the shareholding must be held uninterruptedly for 12 months.	form of the Participation Reduction on the federal, cantonal	or less of the issued share capital, (ii) is entitled to less than
This requirement will be met for dividends distributed before	and communal level under the above-mentioned conditions.	10% of the profits available for distribution to shareholders in
that period elapses provided that the shares are committed to	For entities which exclusively operate as a holding company	the paying company, and (iii) would be entitled to less than
be held for the full 12 month period. The period in which the	the Participation Reduction indirectly leads to a full exemption	10% of the assets available for distribution on a winding-up.
subsidiary was held within the group is taken into account with	from CIT on dividends derived from qualifying participations if	An anti- avoidance rule applies which targets manipulation of
respect to this 12 month period.	properly structured.	the maximum threshold of 10%;

## **3.2 Dividend regime (participation exemption)**

back to table of contents

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
iii. in case of a foreign subsidiary, it must be subject to and not exempt from a tax of identical or similar nature as the Spanish CIT at a minimum rate of 10% during the period in which the income was obtained (regardless of any exemption, credit or other tax relief which may be applicable to the income obtained by the subsidiary). If the foreign subsidiary resides in a treaty country with an exchange of information clause, this requirement is considered to have been met and no evidence is required to be provided by the taxpayer (other than a tax residence certificate issued by the authorities of the treaty country). In the event the foreign subsidiary obtains dividends or capital gains, this subject-to-tax condition must be met, at		<ul> <li>iv. dividends received on shares of any kind paid out of distributable profits other than profits derived from transactions designed to achieve a reduction in UK tax. If a paying company has any such profits, this exempt class is not available and will not be until all these 'tainted' profits have been fully paid out in taxable form; and</li> <li>v. dividends received in respect of shares that are accounted for as liabilities in accordance with UK generally accepted accounting practice and are taxed as loan relationships for UK tax purposes, except if they are held for an unallowable purpose.</li> </ul>
least, by the indirectly held subsidiary.		The above classes of dividend which are exempt from corporation tax are relatively broad and most 'normal' dividends of UK and
In no case this requirement is met in case of dividends paid by a subsidiary which is resident in a tax haven (unless the tax haven is an EU Member State or a part of it and provided		foreign companies will be exempt from UK corporation tax, subject to relevant anti- avoidance rules.
that the incorporation and activity of the subsidiary in such		As a general anti-avoidance rule, the dividend payment must
tax haven meets valid business reasons and it carries out business activities).		<ul><li>not be tax deductible in the source jurisdiction. Furthermore, the distribution must not be made as part of a scheme where:</li><li>i. a tax deduction is obtained or taxable income is given up in</li></ul>
The 95% exemption does not apply in case the dividend		return for the distribution or a right to receive the distribution;
distribution is considered a tax- deductible expense in the subsidiary's taxable base.		<li>ii. goods and services are paid for on terms that differ from the arm's length price and the reason for the difference is that one of the parties expects to receive a distribution;</li>
In the event the subsidiary derives dividends and capital gains from two or more entities in which not all the above-mentioned conditions are met, the 95% exemption only applies to the part of the dividends derived from the entities which meet those requirements. For these purposes, it is required to identify which retained earnings have been distributed to the company.		<ul> <li>iii. the dividend exemption is used to produce a return which is equivalent to interest where the payer and recipient of the distribution are connected and the main purpose, or one of the main purposes, of the scheme is to obtain a more than negligible tax advantage;</li> </ul>

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
The portion of the income which does not qualify for the 95% exemption must be included in the CIT taxable base. In case of foreign subsidiaries, the Spanish company can benefit from a tax credit for the lower of (i) taxes effectively paid abroad, and (ii) taxes payable in Spain on such income. Taxes paid by lower tier subsidiaries are creditable if the minimum 5% indirect ownership requirement is met. In any case tax credits aiming to provide double taxation relief cannot exceed 50% of the tax due in case of taxpayers which had a turnover of more of EUR 20 million in the previous tax year.		<ul> <li>iv. an overseas tax deduction is being given in respect of an amount determined by reference to the distribution where the distribution is made as part of the scheme, and the main purpose, or one of the main purposes, of the scheme is to obtain a more than negligible tax advantage; or</li> <li>v. a company for which a distribution would represent a trade receipt diverts the distribution to a connected company which would want to claim an exemption for the dividend.</li> <li>It is possible for the UK recipient to elect for a distribution not to be treated as exempt, as a consequence of which foreign tax credit rules may apply on dividends received from foreign companies. This election may be beneficial where the terms of a double tax treaty would apply a higher rate of withholding tax if the dividends were exempt in the hands of the UK recipient compared to if the dividends received by a UK company which is a small company within the meaning of Commission Recommendation 2003/361/ EC of May 6, 2003, i.e. a company which employs less than 50 persons and whose annual turnover and/ or annual balance sheet does not exceed EUR 10 million.</li> </ul>

## 3.3 Gains on shares (participation exemption)

#### Spain

## Switzerland

Capital gains derived from the sale (including liquidation, separation of shareholders, merger, partial or total division, capital reduction, contribution in kind or global transfer of assets and liabilities) of a Spanish or foreign subsidiary are 95% exempt from Spanish CIT if:

- i. the conditions listed under 3.2.i) and 3.2.ii) above are met on the day on which the transfer takes place, and
- ii. the conditions listed under 3.2.iii) above are met in each and every tax period of the holding period.

The capital gains 95% exemption will be partially applicable if the requirements listed under 3.2.iii) above were not met during one or more of the tax periods of the holding period. In particular:

- the 95% exemption will apply to the portion of the gain corresponding to retained earnings generated by the foreign subsidiary in tax periods in which the requirements listed under 3.2.iii) above were met.
- the portion of the gain not corresponding to retained earnings generated by the foreign subsidiary and which cannot be allocated to a particular tax period will be allocated proportionally to the tax periods during which the interest in the foreign subsidiary was held, and will be 95% exempt to the extent it is allocated to tax periods in which requirements listed under 3.2. iii) above were met.

In general, the above- mentioned rules regarding a partial exemption should also apply in the event of a transfer of a subsidiary which participates in two or more subsidiaries which do not meet all the requirements. For capital gains, relief from federal, cantonal and communal income tax is granted in the form of the Participation Reduction (see under 3.2 above) under the following conditions:

- the shares disposed of represent at least 10% of the participation's nominal share capital or the capital gain derives from profit rights to at least 10% of the profits and reserves; and
- ii. the shares or profit rights disposed of must have been held for at least 12 months.

If, after the sale of at least 10% of a qualifying participation, the remaining participation falls below the 10% threshold, relief from federal, cantonal and communal income tax will still apply if the fair market value of the remaining participation is at least CHF 1 million.

For entities which exclusively operate as a holding company the Participation Reduction indirectly leads to a full exemption from CIT on capital gains derived from qualifying participations if properly structured.

#### Transfer stamp tax

The transfer of ownership of taxable securities can be subject to transfer stamp tax at a rate of up to 0.15% on securities issued by a Swiss issuer and up to 0.3% on securities issued by a non-Swiss issuer, calculated on the fair market value of the securities transferred if a Swiss securities dealer for transfer stamp tax purposes is a party or an intermediary to the transaction.

Shares, bonds, notes, participation certificates and profit sharing certificates in Swiss or in foreign corporations, as well as participations in limited liability companies or cooperatives and collective investment schemes are considered taxable securities.

#### **United Kingdom**

Capital gains on shares held by a UK company (or shares in UK property-rich entities) are subject to UK corporation tax, unless the capital gains qualify for a full exemption under the substantial shareholding exemption rules.

To qualify for the substantial shareholding exemption, the investing UK company must have owned 10% or more of the ordinary share capital in the investee company and must be beneficially entitled to 10% or more of the investee company's profits available for distribution and of its assets on a winding-up, throughout an uninterrupted period of at least 12 months in the six years preceding the date of the disposal.

Furthermore, the investee company must meet a trading requirement. The investee company must be a sole trading company or a holding company of a trading group or sub-group. This trading requirement must be met from the beginning of the 12-month period by reference to which the shareholding requirement above is satisfied up to the time of disposal.

The jurisdiction of residence or incorporation of the investee company is not relevant. However, special rules apply among others in the case of joint ventures and group reorganizations.

An anti-avoidance measure applies to deny the substantial shareholding exemption in case of an arrangement under which the sole or main benefit that could be expected is the realization of an exempt gain under the substantial shareholding exemption.

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
<ul> <li>The 95% exemption will not apply in the event of a transfer of:</li> <li>a directly or indirectly held subsidiary which is considered a passive company within the meaning of article 5 (2) of the CIT Act. In such a case, the 95% exemption will only apply to the part corresponding to retained earnings;</li> <li>a subsidiary which is a Spanish or European economic interest group. In such a case, the 95% exemption will only apply to the part corresponding to retained earnings; or</li> <li>a directly or indirectly held subsidiary which falls within the scope of the CFC rules if at least 15% of its income is imputed according to such CFC rules.</li> </ul>	Swiss companies owning taxable securities with a book value in excess of CHF 10 million qualify as securities dealers for transfer stamp tax purposes. A number of exemptions is available to facilitate intra-group reorganizations.	
In the event that the circumstances stated in paragraphs (i) and (iii) are met only in one or more tax years of the holding period, the exemption shall not be applicable to the part of the income that proportionally corresponds to those tax years.		
The 95% exemption will in any event not apply in case of a transfer of a subsidiary which is resident in a tax haven (unless the tax haven is an EU Member State or a part of it, provided that the incorporation and activity of the subsidiary in such tax haven meets valid business reasons and it carries out business activities).		
The portion of the gain which cannot benefit from the 95% exemption must be included in the CIT taxable base and, in the case of foreign subsidiaries, the Spanish company can benefit from a tax credit for the lower of (i) taxes effectively paid abroad, and (ii) taxes payable in Spain on such income. Relief is provided for juridical double taxation only. Tax credits aiming to provide double taxation relief cannot exceed 50% of the tax due in case of taxpayers which had a turnover of more of EUR 20 million in the previous tax year.		

## **3.4 Losses on shares**

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
Losses on shares qualifying for the participation exemption are not deductible, except in the event of liquidation of the subsidiary, provided that such liquidation does not take place within a restructuring process. However, losses deriving from the liquidation of a subsidiary must be reduced by the amount of dividends received within the prior 10 years in case such dividends did not reduce the acquisition value of the participation and were entitled to tax relief pursuant to the participation exemption regime or the tax credit regime. Subject to certain conditions, losses on shares not qualifying for the participation exemption may be deductible.	Losses are deductible, unless anti-abuse rules apply. Losses can be carried forward for 7 years. Loss carry back is not possible. Upon realization of a capital gain, any earlier depreciation needs to be recovered before applying the Participation Reduction. Write-downs of qualifying participations can be scrutinized by the tax authorities and added back to the taxable profit in case they are no longer justified.	Losses on a disposal of shares in respect of which the conditions of the substantial shareholding exemption are met do not qualify as an allowable loss for tax purposes. If such conditions are not met, losses on a disposal of shares generally qualify as allowable capital losses which may be offset only against taxable capital gains in the current year and in future years. Use of capital losses against gains in future years is generally restricted to the higher of (i) £5m; or (ii) 50% of the capital gain in the future tax year. No carry back of capital losses is possible. An anti-avoidance measure applies which provides that a capital loss arising on a disposal in connection with arrangements having a main purpose of obtaining a tax advantage will not qualify as an allowable capital loss. Accounting provisions or write offs on shareholdings held other than on trading account can generally not be taken into account for tax purposes. Exceptionally, where the market value of a shareholding has become negligible, a claim can be made to the UK tax authorities to treat the asset as having been sold and immediately reacquired at its negligible value, thus establishing a capital loss for tax purposes.

## **3.5 Costs relating to the participation**

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
In general, costs, including interest payments related to the financing of the acquisition and/or maintenance of the participation, are deductible, subject to the interest deduction limitation rules described in 7.2.	All expenses are in principle deductible. However, due to the method used for calculating the Participation Reduction (see under 3.2 above), expenses that are allocable to dividends and capital gains derived from qualifying participations are effectively not deductible.	Costs relating to the acquisition or sale of the participation are generally not deductible against income profits, but may be deducted from capital gains on disposal (if not covered by the substantial shareholding exemption). However, interest expenses on debt incurred to purchase or to fund participations (whether
The referred 95% exemption on dividends and capital gains is achieved through reducing the full exemption by 5% corresponding to a lump-sum of non-deductible expenses related to the management of the participations. Such reduction will not apply, upon certain conditions, to dividends distributed within the first 3 years from the incorporation of the entity that distributes them if it has been incorporated after January 1, 2021.	Swiss regulations provide for thin capitalisation rules applicable to related party debts which can lead to the result that the related party debts may be treated as taxable equity. Furthermore, for interest payments to related parties fixed safe harbour interest rates should be applied. Otherwise, for interests exceeding the permitted safe harbour rates, deduction may be denied and the	located in the UK or not) are in principle tax deductible, provided the level of debt taken on and the interest payable comply with arm's length terms, do not breach the unallowable purpose rule (i.e. debt should be within business or commercial purposes of the debtor) and provided no other specific rule limiting the deductibility of interest applies (see 7.2).
The non-deductible management expenses cannot be eliminated under the tax consolidation regime.	payments might be treated as hidden distribution subject to Swiss WHT. Certain debt-to-equity ratios and safe harbour interest rules should thus be applied.	

## 4. Withholding taxes

## 4.1 Withholding tax on dividends

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
Under the Spanish holding regime (ETVE regime), which is subject to certain formalities, no withholding tax is levied on the part of the dividend relating to income from qualifying foreign subsidiaries (i.e. if conditions listed under 3.2 above are met) when distributed to a non-resident shareholder, provided that the shareholder is not resident in a tax haven.	The domestic dividend withholding tax rate is 35%, which may be (partially or fully) refunded by virtue of tax treaties or the Agreement between Switzerland and the EU on the automatic exchange of financial account information ('CH/EU Agreement'). For qualifying parent companies a reduction or exemption at source is possible under certain conditions.	The UK does not generally levy withholding tax on dividend payments. One of the few exceptions is a dividend paid by a UK REIT.
Otherwise, the general withholding tax rate applicable for outbound dividends to non-resident shareholders is 19%, which rate is usually reduced to 0 - 15% by virtue of tax treaties or by virtue of the implementation of the EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive in Spanish domestic law if all the applicable requirements are met. The tax exemption deriving from the implementation of the EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive in Spanish domestic law will not apply under a domestic special anti-avoidance rule if the majority of the voting rights in the EU parent company are directly or indirectly held by individuals or other entities that do not reside in an EU Member State (or in the EEA provided that an effective exchange of tax information treaty with Spain exists), unless the incorporation and operations of the EU parent company follow valid economic motives and substantive business reasons.	<ul> <li>If a distribution is made to a Swiss resident company, a full refund can be obtained or, in case a participation of at least 20% is held and a notification procedure is followed, an exemption at source can be obtained.</li> <li>Furthermore, under the tax treaties with various countries, an exemption at source is available for qualifying parent companies. Certain strict requirements have to be met (beneficial ownership test).</li> <li>On the basis of the CH/EU Agreement (art. 9), a full refund or exemption at source may be obtained for dividends paid by a Swiss subsidiary to an EU parent company provided that: <ul> <li>i. the EU parent company holds at least 25% of the nominal share capital of the Swiss subsidiary for at least two years;</li> <li>ii. the parent company is resident for tax purposes in an EU state and the distributing company is resident for tax purposes in Switzerland;</li> <li>iii. under any double tax treaty with a third State neither company is resident for tax purposes in Switzerland;</li> <li>iii. oth companies are subject to corporation tax without being exempt and both have the form of a limited company.</li> </ul> </li> <li>For an exemption at source pursuant to a tax treaty or the CH/EU Agreement, approval must be requested in advance which is valid for 3 years. In addition, in respect of each dividend distribution, a notification procedure applies.</li> </ul>	

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
	Switzerland will continue to apply its strict anti-abuse provisions (beneficial owner test) also under the CH/EU Agreement.	
	Contributed capital and share premium can be repaid free of dividend withholding tax, provided that certain strict formalities are complied with (inter alia, booked in a separate account in the books of the company, periodically reported to the Swiss Federal Tax Administration). With respect to Swiss listed top companies Switzerland has implemented, as of January 2020, restrictions to the amount that a public company listed at the Swiss stock exchange may distribute as capital contribution reserves (i.e. free of any Swiss withholding tax). No similar restrictions apply to any other companies.	

## **4.2** Withholding tax on interest

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
<ul><li>19% withholding tax (which may be reduced under tax treaties to 0-15%).</li><li>0% to tax residents in an EU Member State (not qualified as tax haven, e.g. Gibraltar) or in the EEA provided that an effective exchange of tax information treaty with Spain exists, provided that they do not obtain the interest through a permanent establishment located in Spain or outside the EU.</li></ul>	Withholding tax at a rate of 35% is levied on interest payments by for instance banks and similar financial institutions, or interest paid on bonds, notes and similar securities. If properly structured and documented, interest paid by an ordinary holding company on an intercompany loan is not subject to withholding tax, unless the loan is profit sharing or qualified as hidden equity. Certain safe harbour interest rules may apply on intercompany loans. If Swiss corporations and branches subject to tax in Switzerland suffer from foreign non-recoverable withholding tax on dividend, interest, and royalty income which are taxed with corporate income tax in Switzerland, they may benefit from a reduction of such double taxation by virtue of foreign tax credits (subject to particular conditions).	<ul> <li>The UK levies 20% withholding tax on interest payments made to non- residents on loans with a maturity of 365 days or more. However, there are a few exemptions.</li> <li>No UK withholding tax is due on interest paid on quoted Eurobonds. In addition, interest payments on (UK) bank deposits may be made free of withholding tax, provided a declaration of non-residence is filed with the bank. A further exemption is available for qualifying private placements (a form of long-term, non-bank, unlisted debt) on certain businesses and infrastructure projects.</li> <li>Following the end of the Brexit implementation period, the UK has amended its laws so that the provisions of the EU Interest and Royalty Directive no longer apply.</li> <li>A reduced interest withholding tax rate may apply pursuant to a double tax treaty with the UK. The UK operates a view on treaty applications that demands the recipient of the interest be the 'beneficial owner' of the interest.</li> </ul>

## 4.3 Withholding tax on royalties

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
24%, which can generally be reduced under a tax treaty. Royalties paid to residents of an EU or EEA country with which an effective exchange of information treaty exists, the withholding tax is reduced to 19%. No withholding tax applies between associated companies in the EU pursuant to the provisions of the EU Interest and Royalty Directive. The withholding tax exemption does not apply when the majority of the voting rights in the EU company which derives the royalties are owned, directly or indirectly, by individuals or other entities that do not reside in an EU Member State, unless the incorporation and operations of the EU parent company follow valid economic motives and substantive business reasons.	None.	The UK levies 20% withholding tax on patent royalty payments and payments for copyrights made to non-residents, as well as on certain other classes of regular payments to non-residents. Following the end of the Brexit transition period, the UK has amended its laws so that the provisions of the EU Interest and Royalty Directive no longer apply. The UK recently introduced rules relating to offshore receipts from intangible property (sometimes referred to as 'ORIP'), providing for UK income tax to be charged on income received by certain non-UK resident persons. The income caught arises from payments for the enjoyment or exercise of intangible property rights, where the payments relate to the sale of goods or services in the UK. Generally, the arrangements apply to non-residents located in jurisdictions with which the UK has not agreed a comprehensive income tax treaty and where the relevant rights are held in a jurisdiction other than that in which the business activity relating to the intangible property right takes place.

## 5. Non-resident capital gains taxation

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
Under the Spanish holding regime (ETVE regime), which is subject to certain formalities, capital gains realized by non-residents on the transfer of shares in a Spanish company are not subject to Spanish taxation, to the extent that the capital gains realized relate to retained earnings from dividends obtained from qualifying foreign subsidiaries or to the increase in value of the qualifying foreign subsidiaries, provided that the seller (non-resident shareholder) is not resident in a tax haven. In case non-resident capital gains taxation applies, the applicable rate is 19%.	Gains realized by non-resident individuals or companies on the disposal of shares in a Swiss company are normally not subject to Swiss taxation.	Capital gains realized by a non-resident shareholder on the sale of shares in a UK company are not subject to UK taxation, unless the shares are attributable to a UK permanent establishment of the shareholder or the shares sold are those of a UK-property rich entity (if certain ownership tests are met). A UK property rich entity is defined for these purposes as a company that derives 75% or more its gross asset value (directly or indirectly) from UK real estate. A capital gains charge also applies on direct disposals of interests in UK land.
Other exemptions Qualifying exchanges of shares, mergers, spin-offs and contributions of assets. Additionally, capital gains derived from the transfer of shares in Spanish listed companies by tax residents in a treaty country with an exchange of information clause are exempt. <b>Liquidation</b> The dissolution/winding up of a Spanish company, triggers the same CIT consequences as described above in relation to a		

## 6. Tax rulings

Spain

## Switzerland

Binding rulings can be obtained in relation to the interpretation and/or application of the provisions regulating the Spanish company.

As from January 1, 2017, Spain (and all other EU Member States) is required to automatically exchange certain information on tax rulings and advance pricing agreements issued on or after January 1, 2017. In addition, certain tax rulings and advance pricing agreements issued, amended or renewed after January 1, 2012 will also be subject to exchange.

In addition, Spain has committed itself to the OECD framework regarding the compulsory exchange information on tax rulings issued on or after April 1, 2016. Tax rulings issued on or after January 1, 2010 that were still valid on or after January 1, 2014 had to be exchanged before 2017. The categories of tax rulings on which information has to be exchanged are identified in the OECD BEPS Action 5 Final Report. The application of the Participation Reduction has to be claimed in the tax return and does not require a tax ruling.

Switzerland started spontaneously exchanging information on advance tax rulings as of January 1, 2018 for tax years 2018 onwards. Not only new rulings but also existing rulings applicable as from January 1, 2010 that are still applicable on January 1, 2018 are subject to the spontaneous exchange. The spontaneous exchange of information on advance tax rulings by Switzerland is based on the OECD Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters (MAC) and exchange may take place to the countries where the MAC has entered into force. The MAC as well as the required Swiss domestic legislation (the Swiss Tax Administrative Assistance Ordinance) for the spontaneous exchange of information on advance tax rulings entered into force in Switzerland on January 1, 2017. A spontaneous exchange of information is deemed to be any unrequested exchange of information available to the competent Swiss tax authorities that may be of relevancy for the responsible foreign tax administration.

Rulings which are subject to the spontaneous exchange of information include, inter alia, rulings that carry a significant risk of base erosion and profit shifting such as, inter alia, unilateral transfer pricing rulings or rulings regarding the attribution of income to a permanent establishment.

#### United Kingdom

It is not common practice to obtain advance tax rulings. However, under specific statutory provisions, advance clearance may be obtained for certain transactions. The most common example is a clearance letter for a share-for-share or share-for-debt exchange between two companies to defer any gains. It is also possible to ask for a non-statutory clearance in respect of recent tax legislation where there is genuine uncertainty as to the meaning of the legislation and the matter has a commercial importance to the company seeking the clearance.

As from January 1, 2017, the United Kingdom (and all EU Member States) is required to automatically exchange certain information on tax rulings and advance pricing agreements issued on or after January 1, 2017. In addition, certain tax rulings and advance pricing agreements issued, amended or renewed after January 1, 2012 will also be subject to exchange.

In addition, the United Kingdom has committed itself to the OECD framework regarding the compulsory exchange information on tax rulings issued on or after April 1, 2016. Tax rulings issued on or after January 1, 2010 that were still valid on or after January 1, 2014 had to be exchanged before 2017. The categories of tax rulings on which information has to be exchanged are identified in the OECD BEPS Action 5 Final Report.

## 7. Anti-abuse provisions

## 7.1 CFC rules

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
Under domestic CFC rules, certain income derived by controlled low-taxed foreign subsidiaries must be included in the taxable base of the Spanish tax resident shareholder and therefore taxed in Spain. There is a carve-out clause for EU subsidiaries carrying out economic activities and which incorporation and activity is based on valid business reasons. According to draft legislation published on October 23, 2020 (not in force at the moment of drafting this chapter), the business reasons test will be abolished	ATAD is not applicable for Switzerland as Switzerland is not part of the EU. In consequence of the above, Switzerland has not implemented any CFC provisions and does not apply any 'subject to tax' rules. In principle, foreign companies are thus recognised for Swiss tax purposes, if they are managed and controlled abroad and their intended use does not serve Swiss tax avoidance purposes.	The UK has CFC rules which, broadly, seek to tax UK resident companies on the undistributed profits of certain foreign subsidiaries in lower tax jurisdictions. A number of entity level exemptions may remove foreign subsidiaries from the scope of the charge, for example (broadly): an exempt period applies for the first 12 months after a CFC comes under UK control; and an excluded territories exemption applies for CFCs in territories identified on a list maintained by the UK tax authorities.
<ul> <li>and the carve-out clause will be applicable to EEA countries.</li> <li>The domestic CFC rules apply when the following cumulative requirements are met: <ol> <li>The Spanish corporate taxpayer by itself or jointly with certain related persons or entities, holds 50% or more of the share capital, equity, voting rights or results of the non-resident entity.</li> <li>The tax (CIT or similar) paid by the non-resident entity on the attributable net income is less than 75% of that which would have been payable under Spanish CIT (i.e. in general, a corporate tax rate lower than 18,75%).</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	Even though the ATAD is not applicable for Switzerland as Switzerland is not part of the EU, the ATAD has a substantial impact on the corporate tax position of EU businesses. Therefore, the implications of ATAD can also impact certain Swiss business operations of multinational enterprises and require thus a case by case assessment.	If no entity level exemption applies, UK tax is due on profits that fall within one of the 'CFC charge gateways', which, broadly speaking, aim to capture profits artificially diverted from the UK. Various amendments to the UK's anti-abuse provisions that the UK adopted in order to comply with ATAD 1 remain in force following the Brexit implementation period, including technical changes to its CFC rules and anti-hybrid regime. In August 2019, the EU commission published a decision in the

Subject to the above control and low-taxation conditions, all of the income obtained by a non-resident entity must be included in the taxable base of the Spanish corporate taxpayer in cases where the non-resident entity does not have a minimum organization of human and material means for the performance of its business activities, even if it carries out recurring transactions.

In August 2019, the EU commission published a decision in the Official Journal that the UK's rules for exempting non-trading finance profits from its CFC charge constituted State Aid to the extent that the relevant significant people function for those profits was located in the UK. The UK government and a number of affected multinationals are currently challenging the decision, although the UK has passed legislation to allow it to collect the disputed tax.

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
In case the controlled low-taxed non-resident entity has an organization of human and material means to carry out its business activity, income derived by such entity must be included by the Spanish corporate taxpayer, at the pro rata share in the results of the CFC, if the income derived qualifies as 'passive' (e.g. income from passive real estate investments, interest, dividends from non-qualifying subsidiaries, insurance income, passive intellectual property income, income from derivative instruments).		
Special rules apply for dividends and capital gains, which may be abolished according to draft legislation published on October 23, 2020 (not in force yet), assuming that they are not compliant with ATAD.		
Spanish CFC rules provide for a credit system aimed to avoid double taxation.		

## **7.2 Earnings stripping rules**

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
The domestic earnings stripping rules limit the deduction of the net amount of interest expenses borne by a Spanish corporate taxpayer in a taxable year to the higher of: i. 30% of the EBITDA - as defined for tax purposes -; or ii. EUR 1 million. Net interest expenses which are non-deductible owing to the application of this limit may be deductible in subsequent tax periods, along with those corresponding to such periods, subject to the same limit. In the case the net financing expenses of the tax period do not reach the 30% limit, the difference between that limit and the net financing expenses of that tax period can be added to the limit that will apply in the next 5 tax periods. In case of leveraged acquisitions, there is an additional rule that limits the deductibility of interest on loans that have been obtained for the purchase of shares, to 30% of the operating profit of the acquisition debt does not exceed 70% of the consideration paid for the shares. In the following years, the limitation does not apply if the acquisition debt is proportionally amortized within an eight-year period until it is reduced to 30% of the total consideration.	ATAD is not applicable for Switzerland as Switzerland is not part of the EU (see under 7.1 above). The earnings stripping rules are part of the EU ATAD. Even though Switzerland has not implemented that rule, the implications of the earning stripping rules as part of EU ATAD can also impact certain Swiss business operations of multinational enterprises and require thus a case by case assessment. Swiss thin capitalization rules and safe harbour interest rates for related party transactions must be observed (see under 3.5 above).	The UK's 'interest-barrier' regime limits the deductibility of interest expense for companies that are part of groups with more than £ 2 million of net UK interest expense in a given accounting period. The default position under the rules is that the tax deductibility of a group's net interest expense is limited to a fixed ratio of 30% of its taxable EBITDA. A debt cap applies to ensure that the net UK interest expense does not exceed the net external interest expense of the worldwide group. Alternatively, a group may substitute the fixed 30% ratio with a 'group ratio' method. The group ratio is based, broadly, on the ratio of the net interest expense of the worldwide group to its EBITDA for the period (ignoring amounts payable to shareholders and related parties, and equity-like instruments) on the basis of its consolidated accounts. A debt cap also applies to the group ratio. Interest expense for which deductions are denied may be carried forward indefinitely to any later period where there is sufficient interest allowance. Unused interest allowance can be carried forward for five years. Interest deduction may also be curtailed by the UK's hybrid mismatch rules (see 7.5).

## 7.3 General anti-abuse rules

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
<ul> <li>The Spanish General Tax Act includes the following anti-avoidance rules:</li> <li>Proper characterization of transactions, which establishes that tax obligations are due according to the juridical nature of the transaction, regardless of the form or name used by the parties involved or any issue that could affect its legal validity.</li> <li>Conflict in the application of the tax rules, which prevents taxpayers from obtaining a tax benefit through transactions that (i) individually or jointly considered, are notoriously artificial or improper for the outcome obtained; and (ii) do not give rise to relevant legal or economic effects, apart from the tax benefit and those that the usual or proper transactions would have created.</li> <li>Sham transactions, which, according to case law, imply the creation of a feigned legal situation that conceals a different, underlying legal situation or the absence of any transactions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The 1962 Anti-Abuse Decree and certain Circulars stipulate unilateral anti-abuse measures. They contain specific anti-abuse rules for foreign controlled Swiss companies that claim the benefits of Swiss tax treaties for income which they receive from abroad.</li> <li>Also, certain tax treaties provide specific anti-abuse rules.</li> <li>Switzerland has taken account of some BEPS measures, for example: <ul> <li>the ratification of the OECD Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters provided the legal basis for the spontaneous exchange of information (see under 6 above);</li> <li>the ratification of the Multilateral Competent Authority Agreement on the exchange of Country-by-Country Reports provides for transparency for the taxation of multinational enterprises.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	The UK has a general anti-avoidance rule which counteracts tax advantages arising from abusive tax arrangements. Penalties of up to 60% of the counteracted tax may be imposed. In practice, the general anti-avoidance rule has been little used by the UK tax authorities as a result of the high threshold required to establish abusive arrangements.

## 7.4 Exit taxation

#### Spain

When a Spanish corporate taxpayer transfers its tax domicile abroad, all its latent capital gains are deemed realized and are therefore subject to CIT (certain tax exemptions may apply), except those corresponding to assets that remain effectively connected to a permanent establishment in Spain.

However, if the assets are transferred to an EU Member State or an EEA country with effective exchange of information with Spain, the payment of this tax can be deferred until the assets are transferred to a third party. According to draft legislation published on October 23, 2020 (not in force yet), the system will be adapted to ATAD; i.e. the taxpayer may opt for deferring the payment by paying it in instalments over five years.

## Switzerland

Upon relocation of the domicile, transfer of assets or business functions from Switzerland to abroad (outbound migration), outbound merger or liquidation:

- For CIT purposes, hidden reserves (difference between fair market value and the tax value) are subject to an exit taxation. The CIT rate varies between the cantons (see under 3.1 above). Participation Reduction may be applicable (see conditions under 3.2 above).
- For WHT purposes, the difference between (i) fair market value and (ii) the share capital plus qualifying capital contribution reserves are subject to an exit taxation of 35%.
   A (full or partial) refund may apply based on a tax treaty or the CH/EU Agreement. For qualifying parent companies a reduction or exemption at source (notification procedure) may be possible under certain conditions (see under 4.1 above).

#### United Kingdom

A company ceasing to be resident in the UK is deemed to dispose of and reacquire all of its capital assets at market value immediately prior to the change in residence. Any unrealised gains will therefore be realized and subject to UK corporation tax. Similar charges apply to intangible fixed assets, loan relationships, derivative contracts and any deemed profits arising from the cessation of a UK trade.

Any assets that will be used in a permanent establishment in the UK after the migration are exempt from the exit charge and will be charged once the assets are no longer used in the permanent establishment. Similarly, any capital gain arising in respect of an interest in UK real property will be deferred until the subsequent disposal of that interest.

Companies that migrate to a relevant EEA jurisdiction may enter into a payment plan to defer the tax liability arising as a result of the deemed gains.

The UK's broad substantial shareholding exemption (see 3.2) materially mitigates the effect of the exit charge in respect of pure holding companies.

## 7.5 Hybrid mismatch rules

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
For tax periods not finished by March 11, 2021, Spain applies hybrid mismatch rules as per the implementation of ATAD 2 into Spanish law (except for reverse hybrid mismatches).	ATAD is not applicable for Switzerland as Switzerland is not part of the EU (see under 7.1 above). The hybrid mismatch rules are part of the ATAD. Even though	The UK has hybrid mismatch rules which seek to counteract mismatches involving either double deductions (double deduction cases) for the same expense or deductions for expenses without any corresponding receipt being taxable (deduction/non-inclusion
The purpose of the rules is to neutralize the tax effects of hybrid mismatches mainly by limiting the deduction of payments; rules for the inclusion of payments in the taxable income of a Spanish corporate taxpayer are also set forth.	Switzerland has not implemented that rule, the implications of the hybrid mismatch rules as part of ATAD can also impact certain Swiss business operations of multinational enterprises and require thus a case by case assessment.	cases). The rules apply to arrangements involving a hybrid financial instrument, hybrid transfers, a hybrid entity, a dual resident company and imported mismatches.
Among others, covered hybrid mismatches include (i) scenarios in which an expense is deductible in one territory whereas it is not treated as a taxable revenue in the country of the recipient, or is subject to a reduced tax rate or to any deduction or refund of tax other than a credit to avoid legal double taxation, as a result of the different characterization of the transaction or of the legal		The UK's hybrid rules pre-date the EU ATAD reforms but are consistent with the rules in EU jurisdictions.
nature of the taxpayers involved; (ii) scenarios in which the same expense is deductible in two countries or territories; (iii) scenarios involving deduction without inclusion or double deduction stemming from differences in the recognition of revenues and expenses, or even from the recognition of the actual existence of a permanent establishment, between the country where the permanent establishment is located and the country where the		
parent company is situated; (iv) imported mismatches, occurring where the mismatch takes place in relation to a third entity in another country or territory but gives rise to a deductible expense in Spain; (v) structured arrangements, in which the generation of a deductible expense without any tax on the related revenue or		
of an expense deductible in two or more countries or territories forms part of the expected return under the arrangement (or the arrangement has been designed to produce exactly that outcome); (vi) double use of tax withholdings, for the purposes of the tax credit for international double taxation; and (vi) double tax residence, where it means that an expense is tax-deductible in two countries or territories at the same time.		

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
Additionally, Spanish legislation prevents the tax deductibility of interest expenses paid to group companies on profit sharing loans.		
On a separate note, as mentioned in 3.2, dividends that are considered a deductible expense for the payer are not eligible for the participation exemption. Moreover, exemption for income derived through a permanent establishment located abroad does not apply when it is disregarded.		

## **7.6 Other (domestic) anti-abuse provisions and doctrines**

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
Apart from the anti-abuse provisions discussed under 7.1 to 7.5. Spanish tax laws include rules on transfer pricing based on the OECD guidelines.	Doctrine and case-law provide for the application of an implicit anti-abuse provision for tax matters.	Generally, UK courts adopt a purposive rather than overly literal interpretation of relevant tax legislation, taking a realistic view of the transaction.
Additionally, expenses from transactions directly or indirectly entered into with individuals or entities resident in tax havens jurisdictions are not tax deductible, unless the taxpayer proves that the transactions were carried out for valid economic reasons.		The UK has a so-called 'diverted profits tax' regime which, according to UK government publications, is intended to counteract 'contrived arrangements' to divert profits from the UK by avoiding a UK taxable presence or by other contrived arrangements between connected entities.
Anti-treaty shopping rules are included in some tax treaties. Also, the Spanish Supreme Court has confirmed that the domestic general anti-abuse rule applies at treaty level.		A general rate of 25% (plus interest) applies to diverted profits relating to UK activity, targeting foreign companies which are perceived as exploiting the UK's permanent establishment rules or creating other tax advantages by using transactions or entities that lack economic substance. An increased rate of 55% applies to certain diverted profits of oil and gas companies.
		<ul> <li>The UK has a corporate criminal offence of failure to prevent tax evasion, for which a business is liable if it fails to prevent its employees, agents and other 'associated persons' from criminally facilitating tax evasion. This regime has far-reaching consequences and creates two new offences relating to: <ul> <li>all businesses (wherever located) and the facilitation of UK tax evasion; and</li> <li>businesses with a UK connection and the facilitation of non-UK tax evasion.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
		The UK's digital services tax is a 2% tax on the UK digital services revenues of businesses that provide social media services, internet search engines or online marketplaces, where certain revenue thresholds are met. It may be charged on companies outside the UK and is not limited to UK companies or UK permanent establishments.

## 8. Mandatory disclosure rules

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
<ul> <li>As of April 14, 2021, Spain has fully implemented into national law mandatory disclosure rules on the basis of DAC6.</li> <li>The Spanish regulations implementing DAC6, of April 6, 2021, provides the following reporting deadlines: <ul> <li>reportable cross-border arrangements of which the first step was implemented between June 25, 2018 and June 30, 2020 (arrangements of the "first transitional period") have to be reported (or notified, as the case may be) before May 14, 2021;</li> <li>arrangements where the reporting obligation was triggered between July 1, 2020, and April 14, 2021 (arrangements of the "second transitional period") must also be reported within 30 calendar days after April 14, 2021. Deadline on May 14, 2021.</li> <li>the 30-day reporting period (and the related notification period) starts on April 14, 2021 for reportable cross-border arrangements being made available for implementation, being ready for implementation, or the first step of which is implemented between July 1, 2020 and March 31, 2021; and</li> <li>the first periodical report in respect of 'marketable' arrangements should be submitted on July 31, 2021 at the latest.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	DAC6 is, in principle, not applicable for Switzerland as Switzerland is not part of the EU. However, Swiss companies can also be affected by the mandatory disclosure rules in case of cross-border transactions and arrangements with related companies.	The UK had implemented a regime whereby the UK tax authorities require any person undertaking tax planning which meets certain conditions to make disclosure thereof. This regime is generally understood to have influenced the approach taken by the EU in developing its rules requiring mandatory disclosure of cross-border arrangements showing one of a number of specified 'hallmarks', commonly known as 'DAC6'. Following the signing of the TCA with the EU, the UK announced it would amend its regulations implementing DAC6 significantly. The revised regime now applicable in the UK is designed to implement the OECD's mandatory disclosure rules, and therefore targets structures designed to undermine reporting requirements or obscure beneficial ownership (Hallmark D of DAC 6). The UK requires large companies to disclose UK tax strategies on their business's website.
In general, the Spanish implementation follows the minimum standard of DAC6. A cross-border arrangement is reportable if it concerns at least one EU Member State and contains at least one of the hallmarks set out in DAC6. In pure domestic situations and situations without link to any EU Member State, no reporting obligations exist.		
The Spanish legislation includes a penalty regime for the lack of submission and inaccurate or incomplete submission of the declarations. Specifically, failure to comply with the reporting requirement will result in penalties of EUR 2,000 per data or per omitted or inaccurate data on reportable arrangements, with a minimum penalty of EUR 4,000.		

## 9. Income tax treaties / MLI

## 9.1 Signatory to the MLI / ratification

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
Spain signed the MLI on June 7, 2017. The ratification of the MLI includes the fulfillment of the procedures required for any international treaty signed by Spain. However, as of May 1, 2021, the internal procedures for the ratification of the MLI have not ended yet. According to its provisional position, Spain has largely accepted all provisions in the MLI, with limited reservations. Spain reserves the right for article 4 (Dual Resident Entities) not to apply. Spain will not apply articles 11 (savings clause) and 14 (splitting-up of contracts) either. Spain has opted for the application of the principal purpose test and the mandatory binding arbitration in its covered tax treaties.	The MLI was signed by Switzerland on June 7, 2017 and entered into force on December 1, 2019. Switzerland implements only a minimum standard either within the framework of the MLI or by means of the bilateral negotiation of tax treaties. With respect to the effect the MLI has on covered tax agreements Switzerland follows the 'amending view'. Switzerland has reserved the right to apply the MLI only to a covered tax agreement once Switzerland has expressly notified the OECD that it has completed its internal procedures to amend the specific treaty. Switzerland expressed reservations on the majority of the articles of the MLI, i.e. committed to the application of only the international minimum standards. Therefore, Switzerland will adhere to the new standards on (i) the prevention of treaty abuse	The United Kingdom signed the MLI on June 7, 2017 and ratified it on May 23, 2018. The United Kingdom has accepted most of the provisions in the MLI. However, the United Kingdom will not apply: article 3(2) (Transparent Entities); article 6(1) (Purpose of a Covered Tax Agreement); article 8 (Dividend Transfer Transactions); article 9 (Capital Gains from Alienation of Shares or Interests of Entities Deriving their Value Principally from Immovable Property); article 10 (Anti-abuse Rule for Permanent Establishments Situated in Third Jurisdictions); article 12 (Artificial Avoidance of Permanent Establishment Status through Commissionaire Arrangements and Similar Strategies); and article 14 (Splitting-up of Contracts).
	by applying a principle purpose test and (ii) dispute resolution to avoid double taxation.	

## 9.2 Income tax treaties and effect of the MLI<sup>3</sup>

The below overview shows income tax treaties that are in force as of January 1, 2021.

Treaties in respect of which both countries have listed the treaty as a Covered Tax Agreement in relation to the MLI are shown in **bold**.

Treaties in respect of which the MLI has entered into force for both countries as of January 1, 2021 (i.e., both countries have deposited their instrument of ratification with the OECD no later than September 30, 2020) are shown in **bold underlined**.

As a general rule, the MLI will be effective for a specific treaty (a) for withholding taxes: as from the first day of the calendar year beginning after the date on which the MLI has entered into force for both countries; and (b) for all other taxes: for taxable periods beginning on or after expiration of a period of 6 calendar months after the date on which the MLI has entered into force for both countries. Exceptions may apply.

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
As of January 1, 2021, Spain has income tax treaties in force with the following countries:	As of January 1, 2021, Switzerland has income tax treaties in force with the following countries:	As of January 1, 2021, the UK has income tax treaties in force with the following countries:
1. Albania	1. Albania	1. <u>Albania</u>
2. Algeria	2. Algeria	2. Algeria
3. Andorra	3. Argentina	3. Antigua and Barbuda
4. Argentina	4. Armenia	4. Argentina
5. Armenia	5. Australia	5. Armenia
6. Australia	6. Austria	6. <u>Australia</u>
7. Austria	7. Azerbaijan	7. Austria
8. Azerbaijan	8. Bangladesh	8. Azerbaijan
9. Barbados	9. Belarus	9. Bahrain
10. Belgium	10. Belgium	10. Bangladesh
11. Bolivia	11. Bulgaria	11. Barbados
12. Bosnia and Herzegovina	12. Canada	12. Belarus
13. Brazil	13. <b>Chile</b>	13. <u>Belgium</u>
14. Bulgaria	14. China (People's Rep.)	14. Belize
15. Cabo Verde	15. Colombia	15. Bolivia
16. Canada	16. Croatia	16. Bosnia and Herzegovina
17. <b>Chile</b>	17. Cyprus	17. Botswana
18. China (People's Rep.)	18. Czech Republic	18. Brunei
19. Colombia	19. Denmark	19. Bulgaria
20. Costa Rica	20. Ecuador	20. <u>Canada</u>

3 Only comprehensive income tax treaties are included.

back to table of contents

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
21. Croatia	21. Egypt	21. <b>Chile</b>
22. Cuba	22. Estonia	22. China (People's Rep.)
23. Cyprus	23. Faroe Islands	23. Colombia
24. Czech Republic	24. Finland	24. Croatia
25. Dominican Republic	25. France	25. Cyprus
26. East Timor	26. Georgia	26. Czech Republic
27. Ecuador	27. Germany	27. <b>Denmark</b>
28. Egypt	28. Ghana	28. <u>Egypt</u>
29. El Salvador	29. Greece	29. Estonia
30. Estonia	30. Hong Kong	30. Ethiopia
31. Finland	31. Hungary	31. Falkland Islands
32. France	32. Iceland	32. Faroe Islands
33. Georgia	33. India	33. <b>Fiji</b>
34. Germany	34. Indonesia	34. <u>Finland</u>
35. Greece	35. Iran	35. <u>France</u>
36. Hong Kong	36. Ireland	36. Gambia
37. Hungary	37. Israel	37. <u>Georgia</u>
38. Iceland	38. <b>Italy</b>	38. Germany
39. India	39. Ivory Coast	39. Ghana
40. Indonesia	40. Jamaica	40. Gibraltar
41. Iran	41. Japan	41. <b>Greece</b>
42. Ireland	42. Kazakhstan	42. Grenada
43. Israel	43. Korea (Rep.)	43. Guernsey
44. Italy	44. Kosovo	44. Guyana
45. Jamaica	45. Kuwait	45. Hong Kong
46. Japan	46. Kyrgyzstan	46. Hungary
47. Kazakhstan	47. Latvia	47. Iceland
48. Korea (Rep.)	48. Liechtenstein	48. <u>India</u>
49. Kuwait	49. <u>Lithuania</u>	49. <u>Indonesia</u>
50. Kyrgyzstan	50. Luxembourg	50. <b>Ireland</b>
51. <b>Latvia</b>	51. Malawi	51. Isle of Man
52. Lithuania	52. Malaysia	52. Israel
53. Luxembourg	53. Malta	53. Italy
54. Malaysia	54. <b>Mexico</b>	54. Ivory Coast

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
55. <b>Malta</b>	55. Moldova	55. Jamaica
56. <b>Mexico</b>	56. Mongolia	56. Japan
57. Moldova	57. Montenegro	57. Jersey
58. <b>Morocco</b>	58. Morocco	58. <u>Jordan</u>
59. Netherlands	59. Netherlands	59. Kazakhstan
0. North Macedonia	60. New Zealand	60. <b>Kenya</b>
61. New Zealand	61. North Macedonia	61. Kiribati
62. Nigeria	62. Norway	62. Korea (Rep.)
63. Norway	63. Oman	63. Kosovo
64. <b>Oman</b>	64. Pakistan	64. Kuwait
65. Pakistan	65. Peru	65. <u>Latvia</u>
66. <b>Panama</b>	66. Philippines	66. Lesotho
57. Philippines	67. Poland	67. Libya
68. Poland	68. Portugal	68. Liechtenstein
69. Portugal	69. Qatar	69. Lithuania
0. Qatar	70. Romania	70. Luxembourg
1. Romania	71. Russia	71. Malawi
2. Russia	72. Serbia	72. Malaysia
3. Saudi Arabia	73. Singapore	73. <u>Malta</u>
4. Senegal	74. Slovakia	74. Mauritius
5. Serbia	75. Slovenia	75. <b>Mexico</b>
6. Singapore	76. South Africa	76. Moldova
7. Slovak Republic	77. Spain	77. Mongolia
'8. <b>Slovenia</b>	78. Sri Lanka	78. Montenegro
9. South Africa	79. Sweden	79. Montserrat
30. Sweden	80. Taiwan	80. <b>Morocco</b>
1. Switzerland	81. Tajikistan	81. Myanmar
2. Tajikistan	82. Thailand	82. Namibia
33. Thailand	83. Trinidad and Tobago	83. Netherlands
34. Trinidad and Tobago	84. Tunisia	84. New Zealand
85. <b>Tunisia</b>	85. <b>Turkey</b>	85. Nigeria

Spain	Switzerland	United Kingdom
86. Turkey	86. Turkmenistan	86. North Macedonia
87. Turkmenistan	87. Ukraine	87. <u>Norway</u>
88. Ukraine	88. United Arab Emirates	88. <u>Oman</u>
89. United Arab Emirates	89. United Kingdom	89. Pakistan
90. United Kingdom	90. United States	90. <b>Panama</b>
91. United States	91. Uruguay	91. Papua New Guinea
92. Uruguay	92. Uzbekistan	92. Philippines
93. Uzbekistan	93. Venezuela	93. <b>Poland</b>
94. Venezuela	94. Vietnam	94. Portugal
95. Vietnam	95. Zambia	95. <b>Qatar</b>
		96. Romania
		97. <u>Russia</u>
		98. <u>Saudi Arabia</u>
		99. Senegal
		100. <u>Serbia</u>
		101. Sierra Leone
		102. Singapore
		103. <u>Slovakia</u>
		104. <u>Slovenia</u>
		105. Solomon Islands
		106. South Africa
		107. <b>Spain</b>
		108. Sri Lanka
		109. St. Kitts and Nevis
		110. Sudan
		111. Swaziland
		112. <u>Sweden</u>
		113. Switzerland
		114. Taiwan
		115. Tajikistan
		116. Thailand
		117. Trinidad and Tobago

Switzerland	United Kingdom
	118. Tunisia
	119. Turkey
	120. Turkmenistan
	121. Tuvalu
	122. Uganda
	123. <u>Ukraine</u>
	124. United Arab Emirates
	125. United States
	126. <u>Uruguay</u>
	127. Uzbekistan
	128. Venezuela
	129. Vietnam
	130. Zambia
	131. Zimbabwe
	Switzerland

LOYENSLOEFF

## **Contact details contributing firms**

#### Hong Kong

## Deacons

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Alexandra House 18 Chater Road Central, Hong Kong Hong Kong www.deacons.com

Stefano Mariani T +852 2825 9314 stefano.mariani@deacons.com

#### Ireland

Matheson 70 Sir John Rogerson's Quay Dublin 2 Ireland www.matheson.com

John Ryan T +353 1 232 20 00 F +353 1 232 33 33 john.ryan@matheson.com

#### Aidan Fahy

T +353 1 232 20 00 F +353 1 232 33 33 aidan.fahy@matheson.com

## Singapore

Rajah & Tann 9 Straits View #06-07 Marina One West Tower Singapore 018937 Singapore www.rajahtannasia.com

Vikna Rajah T +65 6232 0597 vikna.rajah@rajahtann.com

## Spain

Cuatrecasas Avinguda Diagonal 191 08008 Barcelona Spain www.cuatrecasas.com

Josep Marsal T +34 93 290 55 00 F +34 93 290 55 67 josep.marsal@cuatrecasas.com

Javier Rodríguez T +34 93 290 55 16 F +34 93 290 55 67 javier.rodriguez@cuatrecasas.com

Pablo Espolita T +34 93 272 64 70 F +34 93 290 55 58 pablo.espolita@cuatrecasas.com

#### **United Kingdom**

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP 40 Bank Street London United Kingdom www.skadden.com

James Anderson T +44 207 519 70 60 F +44 207 072 70 60 james.anderson@skadden.com

Joseph Hone T +44 207 519 72 35 F +44 207 072 72 35 joseph.hone@skadden.com

## LOYENSLOEFF

## **Our offices**

#### Amsterdam

P.O. Box 71170 1008 BD Amsterdam Parnassusweg 300 1081 LC Amsterdam The Netherlands T +31 20 578 57 85

#### London

15 Austin Friars, 2<sup>nd</sup> floor London EC2N 2HE United Kingdom T +44 20 7826 30 70

## Paris

1, Avenue Franklin D. Roosevelt 75008 Paris France T +33 1 49 53 91 25

## Tokyo

21F, Shin Marunouchi Center Bldg. 1-6-2 Marunouchi Chiyoda-ku Tokyo 100-0005 Japan T +81 3 32 16 73 24

#### **Brussels**

Tervurenlaan 2 1040 Brussels Belgium T +32 2 743 43 43

#### Luxembourg

18-20, rue Edward Steichen 2540 Luxembourg T +352 46 62 30

#### **Rotterdam**

P.O. Box 2888 3000 CW Rotterdam Blaak 31 3011 GA Rotterdam The Netherlands T +31 10 224 62 24

#### Zurich

Alfred-Escher-Strasse 50 8002 Zurich Switzerland T +41 43 434 67 00

## **Hong Kong**

Unit 1502, Sun House 181 Des Voeux Road Central Hong Kong T +852 3763 9300

#### **New York**

555 Madison Avenue, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10022 USA T +1 212 489 06 20

#### Singapore

80 Raffles Place # 14-06 UOB Plaza 1 Singapore 048624 Singapore T +65 6880 3070



LOYENSLOEFF.COM

As a leading firm, Loyens & Loeff is the logical choice as a legal and tax partner if you do business in or from the Netherlands, Belgium, Luxembourg or Switzerland, our home markets. You can count on personal advice from any of our 900 advisers based in one of our offices in the Benelux and Switzerland or in key financial centres around the world. Thanks to our full-service practice, specific sector experience and thorough understanding of the market, our advisers comprehend exactly what you need.

Amsterdam, Brussels, Hong Kong, London, Luxembourg, New York, Paris, Rotterdam, Singapore, Tokyo, Zurich