

**GAR KNOW HOW CHALLENGING AND ENFORCING ARBITRATION AWARDS**

---

# Belgium

Hakim Boularbah, Olivier van der Haegen  
and Anaïs Mallien

Loyens & Loeff

APRIL 2022

---

## Applicable requirements as to the form of arbitral awards

### 1 Must an award take any particular form?

The Belgian law on arbitration is contained in Part Six of the Belgian Judicial Code (BJC) (articles 1676 to 1722). To a large extent, it is inspired by the UNCITRAL Model Law. Arbitration proceedings initiated before 1 September 2013, and court proceedings relating to those arbitrations, remain governed by the former rules of the BJC. In 2016 (by an Act of 25 December 2016), some minor changes and corrections of the Act of 24 June 2013 were implemented, which entered into force on 9 January 2017.

The form of arbitral awards is governed by article 1713 of the BJC, which deals with the validity requirements and different aspects relating to the content of arbitral awards. Belgian law builds on article 31 of the UNCITRAL Model Law, but also adding to it and deviating from it in a number of ways, including by requiring that an arbitral award issued in Belgium should be reasoned and by removing the opportunity for parties in an arbitration seated in Belgium to agree that no reasons need to be given (a lack of reasoning of an award in an arbitration seated in Belgium constitutes a ground for annulment of the arbitral award).

To be valid under Belgian law, an arbitral award rendered in Belgium must:

- as to form, be in writing and signed by the arbitral tribunal (the signature of the majority of the members of an arbitral tribunal is sufficient, provided the reason for any omitted signature is stated) [BJC, article 1713, section 3];
- as to substance, state the reasons on which it is based [BJC, article 1713, section 4] and contain, as a minimum, the following information:
- the names and domiciles of the arbitrators;
- the names and domiciles of the parties;
- the object of the dispute (and a citation of the arbitration agreement, although this is not explicitly required by law);
- the date on which the award was rendered; and
- the place of arbitration.

Following an amendment of the Belgian law on arbitration in 2016, it is no longer required by law that an original copy of the award be filed with the competent court for the enforcement.

---

## Applicable procedural law for recourse against an award (other than applications for setting aside)

### 2 Are there provisions governing modification, clarification or correction of an award? Are there provisions governing retractation or revision of an award? Under what circumstances may an award be retracted or revised (for fraud or other reasons)? What are the time limits?

Parties may apply for an interpretation, a correction or an additional award within a month of the arbitral award being notified to the parties.

If there are any errors in calculation, any clerical or typographical errors, or any other errors of a similar nature, the parties (or the arbitral tribunal on its own motion) may request the correction of the arbitral award pursuant to article 1715, section 1a) of the BJC.

A party may also, if the parties have so agreed (which may result from the applicable institutional rules) and subject to notification to the other parties, request the arbitral tribunal to provide an interpretation of (an aspect of) the award [BJC, article 1715, section 1b)).

Unless agreed otherwise, the parties may also request the arbitral tribunal to issue an additional award on claims that had been presented to it but on which it did not rule. If the arbitral tribunal finds the request well-founded, it shall supplement its award within two months (BJC, article 1715, section 3).

In principle, the same arbitral tribunal is competent to issue correcting, interpreting or additional awards as described above. When it is impossible for the same arbitrators to do so, the court of first instance is competent (BJC, article 1715, section 6).

In addition, Belgian law also provides parties with the opportunity to ask the court of first instance to order the arbitral tribunal to remedy certain potential annulment grounds. Pursuant to article 1717, section 6 of the BJC, parties must request the court seized in setting-aside proceedings to stay those proceedings for a period determined by the court, for the arbitral tribunal to take any measure necessary (including reopening the arbitration proceedings) to remedy the potential grounds for setting aside.

### 3 May an award be appealed to or set aside by the courts? What are the differences between appeals and applications to set aside awards?

Pursuant to article 1716 of the BJC, appeals against arbitral awards are only possible when the parties have provided beforehand, in their mutually agreed arbitration clause, for the possibility of an appeal. In this very exceptional circumstance, the appeal should be brought before a new arbitral tribunal.

Unless otherwise stipulated, the time limit to appeal is one month as of the notification of the award.

Pursuant to article 1717 of the BJC, Belgian awards, which are not (or no longer) open to appeal, may be set aside by Belgian courts on the basis of an exhaustive list of grounds provided in the law.

If none of the parties are Belgian nationals, they may waive, by explicit declaration in the arbitration agreement or by later agreement, the possibility for annulment of the arbitral award (BJC, article 1718).

The annulment (or setting-aside) decision is final and cannot be appealed before the courts of appeal (BJC, article 1717, section 2). However, a recourse before the Belgian Supreme Court remains open.

The law provides for a limited number of grounds that can warrant the setting aside of an arbitral award. Those exhaustive grounds are inspired by article 34(2) of the UNCITRAL Model Law and are similar to the grounds for refusal of enforcement.

A party may seek the setting aside of a Belgian award if it provides proof of one of the following grounds, as set out in article 1717 of the BJC:

- one of the parties to the arbitration agreement was under some incapacity, or the arbitration agreement is invalid under the law applicable to it, or if there is none, under Belgian law (Section 3(a)(i));
- the party seeking annulment invokes a violation of the right to be heard (ie, that party was not notified properly of the appointment of an arbitrator or of the arbitral proceedings, or it was otherwise impossible for that party to present its case [section 3(a)(ii)]. This ground will be accepted only if the irregularity had an effect on the arbitral award;
- the arbitral award pertains to a dispute that does not fall within the terms of or under the scope of the arbitration agreement [section 3(a)(iii)]. Here, only the part of the award that does not fall under the scope of the arbitration agreement may be set aside;
- the arbitral award is not reasoned [section 3(a)(iv)];
- there was an irregularity in the composition of the arbitral tribunal or the arbitral proceedings, according to either the parties' agreement or Part Six of the BJC [section 3(a)(v)]. Irregularities in the arbitral proceedings may lead to a setting aside only if it is established that they had an effect on the award;
- the arbitral tribunal exceeded its powers [section 3(a)(vi)];
- the subject matter of the dispute cannot be settled by way of arbitration (non-arbitrability) [section 3(b)(i)];
- the award is contrary to public policy [section 3(b)(ii)]; and
- the award was obtained by fraud [section 3(b)(iii)].

The latter three grounds (non-arbitrability, public policy and fraud) must also be raised by the court of first instance (when seized by the party seeking setting-aside of the award) on its own motion, thus even if the parties do not invoke any of these grounds.

It must be noted that a party may be estopped from advancing certain grounds for setting aside if it was aware of them during the arbitration proceedings but failed to invoke them before the arbitral tribunal (BJC, article 1717, section 5, referring to the grounds set out in section 3(a), paragraphs (i), (ii), (iii) and (v) (see above)).

If an arbitral award is set aside, it is deemed to no longer exist under Belgian law. If the award was set aside on any ground other than the invalidity of the arbitration agreement, it is possible for the parties to initiate new arbitration proceedings. In contrast, an appeal against the arbitral award (if the parties provided for that opportunity) will result in a new arbitral award, which in turn will be open to setting-aside proceedings.

In principle, only a person or entity that was a party to the original arbitration proceedings may request the annulment of the arbitral award. It is only in the event of fraud that a third party may be admitted to request the setting-aside of an arbitral award.

Nevertheless, the Belgian Constitutional Court decided (in a judgment dated 16 February 2017) that third parties aggrieved by an arbitral award should be able to exercise recourse against that award by way of third-party opposition proceedings instituted before domestic courts. Therefore, a third party is now in principle entitled to challenge an arbitral award in the same way as a third party can challenge a judicial decision (a challenge that is known as a *tierce-opposition/derdenverzet*, as provided in article 1122 of the BJC). This opens the possibility for a review of the awards on the merits. So far, the legal regime governing this third-party opposition to an arbitral award has not been developed. The precise consequences of the Constitutional Court's decision remain to be delineated. In our view, there is a need to adjust the BJC to provide for the applicable regime to this specific challenge from third parties.

---

## Applicable procedural law for setting aside of arbitral awards

### 4 Is there a time limit for applying for the setting aside of an arbitral award?

The law provides a time limit for initiating setting-aside proceedings of three months from the date on which (1) the award was communicated to the party seeking the set-aside, or (2) the arbitral tribunal's decision on an application for correction or a request for additional award or omitted claim (if such an application or request was made) was communicated to that party (BJC, article 1717, section 4).

In a judgment dated 28 January 2021, the Belgian Constitutional Court decided that the three-month deadline from notification of the award was unconstitutional when applied to the ground for challenge based on fraud, when it is proven that the fraud was unknown at the time of notification of the award.

### 5 What kind of arbitral decision can be set aside in your jurisdiction? Can courts set aside partial or interim awards?

Pursuant to article 1717 of the BJC, only arbitral awards rendered by an arbitral tribunal with its seat in Belgium, which are not (or are no longer) open to appeal, may be set aside by Belgian courts on the basis of an exhaustive list of grounds provided in the law. Therefore, it must no longer be possible for the arbitral award to be contested before an arbitral tribunal. The fact that the award may be subject to an application for correction or interpretation, or that a request for an additional award on omitted claims has been submitted, does not render the application for annulment inadmissible.

However, pursuant to article 1690, section 4 of the BJC, a preliminary award that confirms the arbitral tribunal's jurisdiction may not immediately be subject to a setting-aside proceeding. An application for setting aside an interim award finding jurisdiction may be made only with the first subsequent award dealing with the merits of the case.

## **6 Which court has jurisdiction over an application for the setting aside of an arbitral award? Is there a specific court or chamber in place with specific sets of rules applicable to international arbitral awards?**

Setting-aside proceedings must be initiated by an applicant by writ of summons served on the other party or parties to the arbitration proceedings, before one of the six competent courts in Belgium (the courts of first instance of Brussels (French-speaking and Dutch-speaking), Antwerp, Ghent, Liège and Mons) (BJC, article 1717, section 2).

There is no specific court in place with specific sets of rules applicable to international arbitral awards. However, within the different courts of first instance, set aside proceedings are usually referred to the same chambers.

## **7 What documentation is required when applying for the setting aside of an arbitral award?**

The arbitral award will have to be part of the bundle of documentary evidence that will be submitted to the court of first instance. Article 1717, section 2 of the BJC provides that an arbitral award may only be contested before the court of first instance, by means of a writ of summons. Under Belgian law, a writ of summons must contain:

- the signature of the court bailiff;
- the surname, first names and residence of the applicant and, where appropriate, the applicant's national register or company number;
- the surname, first names and residence or, if there is no permanent residence, the current address of the person on whom the summons is served;
- the subject matter and a brief summary of the arguments of the action;
- the court before which the action is being brought;
- the day, month, year and place where the writ was served; and
- details of the place, date and time of the court hearing.

## **8 If the required documentation is drafted in a language other than the official language of your jurisdiction, is it necessary to submit a translation with the application for the setting aside of an arbitral award? If yes, in what form must the translation be?**

Although there is no express or formal requirement for translation of the exhibits submitted in setting-aside proceedings, the court will require a translation of the arbitral award in the language of the proceedings. Unless there is a challenge raised in relation to the translation, in principle, Belgian courts are satisfied with an informal translation.

## **9 What are the other practical requirements relating to the setting aside of an arbitral award? Are there any limitations on the language and length of the submissions and of the documentation filed by the parties?**

The typical costs involved in the setting aside of an arbitral award include a number of fixed fees, including a modest contribution to the budgetary fund for judicial assistance (€22), the statutorily prescribed contribution towards the other party's legal representation costs, as stipulated in article 1022 of the BJC (a lump sum contribution), and other typical costs relating to the court registry and registration of the judgment.

There are no limitations on the length of submissions or the documentation filed by the parties. However, the application itself must be drafted in the language of the proceedings (either French or Dutch, depending on where the setting aside proceedings have been initiated).

## 10 What are the different steps of the proceedings?

Article 1717, section 2 of the BJC provides that an arbitral award may only be contested before the court of first instance, by means of a writ of summons. This procedure is adversarial in nature.

The writ of summons must contain:

- the signature of the court bailiff;
- the surname, first names and residence of the applicant and, where appropriate, the applicant's national register or company number;
- the surname, first names and residence or, if there is no permanent residence, the current address of the person on whom the summons is served;
- the subject matter and a brief summary of the arguments of the action;
- the court before which the action is being brought;
- the day, month, year and place where the writ was served; and
- details of the place, date and time of the court hearing.

In general, after the service of the writ of summons, a case management conference will be held by the court, during which a procedural timetable for the exchange of written submissions will be set. The parties exchange written submissions and, normally, a hearing is held several weeks after submission of the last written brief. The court will decide based on the application, the final submissions exchanged between the parties, and the hearing, as well as the supporting evidence.

As a matter of principle, the court should render its decision within one month of the date of the hearing, but non-compliance with this deadline is not sanctioned. In practice, this deadline is not always respected and it can be several months after the date of the initial writ of summons before a decision is rendered.

## 11 May an arbitral award be recognised or enforced pending the setting- aside proceedings in your jurisdiction? Do setting aside proceedings have suspensive effect? If not, which court has jurisdiction over an application to stay the enforcement of the award pending the setting aside proceedings, and what are the different steps of the proceedings?

The setting-aside proceedings do not have suspensive effect (ie, the enforcement of the arbitral award is not suspended). An arbitral award may be recognised or enforced pending setting-aside proceedings in Belgium. However, the judge having jurisdiction for enforcement issues can, at the request of the party that filed a recourse against the enforcement, order to stay the enforcement of the arbitral award pending the setting aside proceedings.

## 12 What are the grounds on which an arbitral award may be set aside?

Belgian law provides for a limited number of grounds that can warrant the setting aside of an arbitral award. Those exhaustive grounds are inspired by article 34(2) of the UNCITRAL Model Law and are similar to the grounds for refusal of enforcement.

A party may seek the setting aside of a Belgian award if it provides proof of one of the following grounds, as set out in article 1717 of the BJC:

- one of the parties to the arbitration agreement was under some incapacity, or the arbitration agreement is invalid under the law applicable to it, or if there is none, under Belgian law (section 3(a)(i));
- the party seeking annulment invokes a violation of the right to be heard (ie, that party was not notified properly of the appointment of an arbitrator or of the arbitral proceedings or it was otherwise impossible for that party to present its case) (section 3(a)(ii)). This ground will be accepted only if the irregularity had an effect on the arbitral award;

- the arbitral award pertains to a dispute that does not fall within the terms of, or under the scope of, the arbitration agreement (section 3(a)(iii)). Here, only the part of the award that does not fall under the scope of the arbitration agreement may be set aside;
- the arbitral award is not reasoned (section 3(a)(iv));
- there was an irregularity in the composition of the arbitral tribunal or the arbitral proceedings, according to either the agreement of the parties or Part Six of the BJC (section 3(a)(v)). Irregularities in the arbitral proceedings may only lead to a setting aside if it is established that they had an effect on the award;
- the arbitral tribunal exceeded its powers (section 3(a)(vi));
- the subject matter of the dispute cannot be settled by way of arbitration (non-arbitrability) (section 3(b)(i));
- the award is contrary to public policy (section 3(b)(ii)); and
- the award was obtained by fraud (section 3(b)(iii)).

The latter three grounds (non-arbitrability, public policy and fraud) must also be raised by the court of first instance (when seized by the party seeking setting aside of the award) on its own motion, thus even if the parties do not invoke such grounds.

It must be noted that a party may be estopped from advancing certain grounds for setting aside if it was aware of them during the arbitration proceedings but failed to invoke them before the arbitral tribunal (BJC, article 1717, section 5, referring to the grounds set out in section 3(a), paragraphs (i), (ii), (iii) and (v) (see above)).

### **13 What is the effect of the decision on the setting-aside application in your jurisdiction? What challenges are available?**

The arbitral award retains all its effects until it has been set aside. If an arbitral award is set aside, it is deemed to no longer exist under Belgian law. If the award was set aside on any ground other than the invalidity of the arbitration agreement, it is possible for the parties to initiate new arbitration proceedings. In contrast, an appeal against the arbitral award (if the parties provided for that opportunity) will result in a new arbitral award, which in turn will be open to setting-aside proceedings.

The decision of the court of first instance on an application for setting aside is not subject to appeal. A decision by the court of first instance is final (BJC, article 1717, section 2) and may only be reviewed by the Supreme Court (be it limited to matters of law).

### **14 Will courts take into consideration decisions rendered in relation to the same arbitral award in other jurisdictions or give effect to them (eg, in recognition or enforcement proceedings)?**

This question is debated under Belgian law. As a matter of principle, a foreign decision on recognition and enforcement of a Belgian arbitral award should not bind the Belgian courts having exclusive jurisdiction over an application for setting aside a Belgian arbitral award. A foreign judgment cannot be recognised based on the EU Brussels Recast Regulation (since arbitration is excluded from the Regulation's scope). Lately, Belgian courts have applied other private international law instruments on this question, leading to controversial decisions.

---

## Applicable procedural law for recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards

### 15 What is the applicable procedural law for recognition and enforcement of an arbitral award in your jurisdiction? Is your jurisdiction party to treaties facilitating recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards?

The Belgian law on arbitration is contained in Part Six of the BJC (as remodelled by the Arbitration Act of 24 June 2013 and by the Act of 25 December 2016) and is to a large extent inspired by the UNCITRAL Model Law. Chapter VIII of the BJC (articles 1719 to 1721) governs the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards.

Belgium is party to the following treaties facilitating recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards:

- the New York Convention of 1958 (which Belgium signed with the reservation of reciprocity). The New York Convention supersedes the Geneva Convention of 26 September 1927 on the enforcement of foreign awards, which Belgium had also ratified;
- the European Convention on International Commercial Arbitration of 21 April 1961; and
- the ICSID Convention of 18 March 1965 (the Belgian Act of 17 July 1970 implements the ICSID Convention under Belgian law).

The recognition and enforcement of ICSID arbitral awards is governed by a distinct regime.

Belgium has also signed bilateral treaties on recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards with Austria, France, Germany, the Netherlands and Switzerland.

Article 1721(3) of the BJC provides that a treaty concluded between Belgium and the country where the arbitral award was rendered takes precedence over domestic rules. This provision must be read together with the 'more favourable law' provision of the New York Convention, which provides that the Convention does not take precedence over legislation that is more favourable to recognition and enforcement.

### 16 Is the state a party to the 1958 New York Convention? If yes, what is the date of entry into force of the Convention? Was there any reservation made under article I(3) of the Convention?

Belgium is a party to the New York Convention. Belgium signed the Convention on 10 June 1958 and ratified it on 18 August 1975. The New York Convention entered into force on 16 November 1975.

Belgium has made a reciprocity reservation under article I(3) of the Convention. Therefore, it is only applicable to the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards made in the territory of a contracting state. In Belgium, the Convention is applicable in both commercial and civil matters.

---

## Recognition proceedings

### 17 Is there a time limit for applying for the recognition and enforcement of an arbitral award?

A party can apply to enforce a foreign or domestic award only if the award can no longer be contested before an arbitral tribunal or if it is declared provisionally enforceable. There is no strict deadline for commencing recognition and enforcement proceedings (but a statute of limitation of 10 years will apply).



### **18 Which court has jurisdiction over an application for recognition and enforcement of an arbitral award? Is there a specific court or chamber in place with specific sets of rules applicable to international arbitral awards?**

The court of first instance has jurisdiction to hear applications for recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards rendered in Belgium or abroad.

In the case of a foreign award, the territorially competent court of first instance is the court of the seat of the court of appeal in whose district the party against whom enforcement is sought has his domicile or, in the absence thereof, habitual residence, or, if appropriate, registered office or, in the absence thereof, its place of business or its branch. In the absence of any of these in Belgium, the application must be brought before the court of first instance of the seat of the court of appeal in whose district the applicant wishes to enforce the arbitral award (BJC, article 1720, section 2).

In the case of a domestic award, the competent court is the court of first instance of the seat of the court of appeal with jurisdiction at the place of the seat of the arbitration. If that place is not determined, or is not situated in Belgium, the competent court of first instance is the court of the seat of the court of appeal that would have had jurisdiction to hear the dispute if it had not been submitted to arbitration (BJC, article 1680, section 6).

There are no specific sets of procedural rules applicable to proceedings related to international arbitral awards, but these cases are usually entrusted to the same chamber within the competent court of first instance.

### **19 What are the requirements for the court to have jurisdiction over an application for recognition and enforcement and for the application to be admissible? Must the applicant identify assets within the jurisdiction of the court that will be the subject of enforcement for the purpose of recognition proceedings?**

As for any other proceedings, the applicant has to demonstrate that it has locus standi (meaning a genuine interest to act). Apart from that, there are no specific requirements for the court to have jurisdiction over an application for recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards, whether foreign or domestic. For an application to be admissible, the applicant must elect domicile in the jurisdiction of the competent court of first instance (indicating an address in that jurisdiction, generally at the premises of the law firm of the applicant's external counsel).

It is not required under Belgian law that an applicant identifies assets within the jurisdiction of the court to obtain the recognition and enforcement of an arbitral award.

### **20 Are the recognition proceedings in your jurisdiction adversarial or ex parte? What are the different steps of the proceedings?**

Recognition proceedings are ex parte in Belgium, meaning recognition is sought by way of a unilateral request. The party against whom enforcement is sought has no right to be heard at that stage of the procedure (but can lodge an appeal against the exequatur order).

An application for enforcement or recognition must contain the following information pursuant to Article 1026 of the BJC:

- the date;
- the name, domicile and profession of the applicant;
- the subject matter of the dispute and a brief summary of arguments;
- the name of the judge in charge of the case; and
- the signature of the applicant's lawyer.

In general, the proceedings are conducted only in writing. The court will render its decision based on the application and supporting evidence. However, the court may summon the applicant, and the person against whom the enforcement is sought, to chambers if the need arises.

Normally, a court seized by a unilateral request will render its order after a very short period. Usually, this is within one month of the date of the application for recognition or enforcement (with the possibility that it is already rendered within a number of days).

## **21 What documentation is required to obtain recognition?**

Pursuant to article IV of the New York Convention, the applicant must provide the court with the original or a duly certified copy of both the arbitral award and the arbitration agreement.

Pursuant to the BJC, the applicant must provide the court with the original or a duly certified copy of the arbitral award (BJC, article 1720, section 4). Following the entry into force of the latest amendments to the Belgian law on arbitration in January 2017, it is no longer required to provide the court with the original of, or a copy of, the arbitration agreement. This amendment was introduced to make article 1720 of the BJC compatible with article 35 of the UNCITRAL Model Law and Article 1681 of the BJC, which no longer requires the arbitration agreement to be in writing. Article 1721, Section 2 of the BJC provides that the court of first instance will stay its decision for as long as a written award signed by the arbitrators is not provided in support of the application.

The application itself must be filed in triplicate and signed by an attorney entitled to plead before Belgian courts.

## **22 If the required documentation is drafted in a language other than the official language of your jurisdiction, is it necessary to submit a translation with an application to obtain recognition? If yes, in what form must the translation be?**

Pursuant to article IV of the New York Convention, if the required documentation is not drafted in the language of the proceedings (either French or Dutch, depending on where the recognition or enforcement proceedings have been initiated), it is necessary to submit a certified translation of the full arbitral award and the arbitration agreement.

No translation requirement is provided in the BJC. In practice, it is recommended to submit a translation (an informal translation should suffice) to allow the exequatur judge to have a clear understanding of the case.

In principle, other documents submitted to the court should also be translated into the language of the proceedings.

## **23 What are the other practical requirements relating to recognition and enforcement? Are there any limitations on the language and length of the submissions and of the documentation filed by the parties?**

An applicant must elect domicile in the district of the court of first instance with jurisdiction over the application for recognition and enforcement of the arbitral award (BJC, article 1720, section 1/1). In practice, foreign applicants usually elect domicile at their attorney's office.

The typical costs involved in the enforcement of an arbitral award include a number of fixed fees to obtain the exequatur order of the arbitral award, including the register fees (currently €165 for the courts of first instance), a modest contribution to the budgetary fund for judicial assistance (€22) and the costs for obtaining a certified copy of the exequatur order (calculated on the basis of the number of pages of the exequatur order, which includes the arbitral award in its entirety). At this stage, the main expenditure will be the costs for obtaining a certified translation of the arbitral award.

If the arbitral award is recognised by the exequatur judge, a registration fee of 3 per cent of the amount of the award (excluding interest) will be levied by the Belgian Tax Authority. In principle, a registration fee is payable only by the award debtor.

The party seeking enforcement will also have to instruct a bailiff to serve the exequatur order on the award debtor. The bailiff works on the basis of fees fixed by law.

The aforementioned costs are recoverable from the award debtor as part of the payment requested under the arbitral award once it is enforced in Belgium.

There are no limitations on the length of submissions or the documentation filed by the parties. However, the application itself must be drafted in the language of the proceedings (either French or Dutch, depending on where the recognition or enforcement proceedings have been initiated).

If an award debtor does not lodge any recourse against enforcement proceedings, an arbitral award can be enforced within a few months. However, if recourse is lodged, the time it takes to enforce an arbitral award will depend on the nature of the objections of the award debtor.

## 24 Do courts recognise and enforce partial or interim awards?

Belgian courts generally recognise and enforce partial and interim awards (whatever their form) as long as they contain an order that is no longer subject to appeal before the arbitrators.

## 25 What are the grounds on which an arbitral award may be refused recognition? Are the grounds applied by the courts different from those provided under article V of the New York Convention?

Article 1721 of the BJC provides several grounds for refusing recognition and enforcement that are inspired by article 36 of the UNCITRAL Model Law and, to a large extent, are similar to those provided under article V of the New York Convention.

The grounds for refusal of exequatur set forth in article 1721 of the BJC are similar to the grounds for annulment of Belgian arbitral awards. Hence, recognition and enforcement of an arbitral award may be refused if the party against whom enforcement is sought evidences that:

- one of the parties to the arbitration agreement was under some incapacity, or the arbitration agreement is invalid under the law applicable to it, or if there is none, under Belgian law;
- the right to be heard of the party against whom enforcement is sought was breached (ie, that party was not notified properly of the appointment of an arbitrator or of the arbitral proceedings or it was otherwise impossible for that party to present its case), if the irregularity had an effect on the arbitral award;
- the arbitral award pertains to a dispute that does not fall within the terms of, or under the scope of, the arbitration agreement. If only part of the award falls under the scope or terms of the arbitration agreement, only that part may be recognised and enforced;
- the arbitral award is not reasoned. Recognition or enforcement may be refused only if such reasoning is required under the rules applicable to the arbitration proceedings;
- there was an irregularity in the composition of the arbitral tribunal or the arbitral proceedings, either according to the parties' agreement, or to the law of the country where the arbitration took place. Irregularities in the arbitral proceedings may only lead to a refusal of recognition where it is established that they had an effect on the award;
- the arbitral award has not yet become binding on the parties (for example, because it is still open for appeal) or has been set aside or suspended by a court of the country where the award was made (or which laws were applicable to the proceedings); or
- the arbitral tribunal exceeded its powers.

Recognition and enforcement of an arbitral award may also be refused ex officio if:

- the subject matter of the dispute cannot be settled by way of arbitration (non-arbitrability);
- the award is contrary to public policy; or
- the award was obtained by fraud.

## **26 What is the effect of a decision recognising an arbitral award in your jurisdiction?**

The order of the exequatur judge recognising an arbitral award rendered in Belgium is immediately enforceable.

Under Belgian law, the party against whom enforcement is sought can challenge the decision granting the exequatur to the award within one month of the date of the service of the order by way of third-party opposition proceedings before the same court of first instance, this time in adversarial proceedings. The challenge does not in itself stay the enforcement of the arbitral award.

As of 9 January 2017, the party who lodges a recourse against a decision enforcing an arbitral award issued in Belgium, and who wants to have the arbitral award set aside, must submit a setting-aside application concomitantly with the challenge to the enforcement order and in the same procedure (provided that the deadline to file a setting-aside application has not expired) (BJC, article 1717, section 7).

Aside from that, it has long been decided by the Belgian Court of Cassation that third parties (those who did not participate and who were not called to participate in the arbitration) may not challenge an order recognising and enforcing the arbitral award. The Belgian Constitutional Court decided in a judgment dated 16 February 2017, however, that a third party should have the right to directly challenge an arbitral award before the Belgian courts (to avoid being opposed to the *res judicata* effect of that award). Nevertheless, it remains the case that a third party may not challenge the enforcement of an arbitral award.

## **27 What challenges are available against a decision refusing recognition in your jurisdiction?**

If recognition is refused, an applicant may only lodge an appeal against that decision before the Belgian Court of Cassation on points of law (the Arbitration Act of 2013 removed the possibility to challenge the decision before a court of appeal).

## **28 What are the effects of annulment proceedings at the seat of the arbitration on recognition or enforcement proceedings in your jurisdiction?**

With respect to foreign arbitral awards, article VI of the New York Convention provides that, if annulment proceedings are initiated in the state where the award was rendered, the exequatur judge may, if appropriate, adjourn the decision on the enforcement of the award. Belgian courts essentially rely on the seriousness of the grounds invoked at the seat of the arbitration for setting aside an arbitral award. If there is no reasonable risk of the award being set aside, Belgian courts will not adjourn the proceedings.

There is no similar provision under Belgian law pertaining specifically to the adjournment of recognition proceedings in the event of setting-aside proceedings pending in the state where the arbitration had its seat. Nevertheless, once the exequatur is granted, the person against whom enforcement is sought and who challenges the recognition order may request before the court of attachments a temporary stay of the enforcement of the exequatur order based on article 1127 of the BJC. According to the relevant case law and legal literature, the applicant must demonstrate either that there is a strong *prima facie* chance that the exequatur order will be reversed or that a risk of irreparable harm exists.

## **29 If the courts adjourn the recognition or enforcement proceedings pending annulment proceedings, will the defendant to the recognition or enforcement proceedings be ordered to post security?**

In accordance with Article VI of the New York Convention, the exequatur judge may, at the request of the applicant, order the person against whom enforcement is sought to post suitable security. Article VI grants the exequatur judges a great margin of discretion in deciding whether to order the posting of security and the amount that should be posted as security.

As for the adjournment of the decision on the enforcement of an award, Belgian exequatur judges will consider the likelihood of success of the setting-aside proceedings as well as the potential ease or difficulty of enforcing the award.

**30 Is it possible to obtain the recognition and enforcement of an award that has been fully or partly set aside at the seat of the arbitration? If an arbitral award is set aside after the decision recognising the award has been issued, what challenges are available?**

Pursuant to article V(1)(e) of the New York Convention, and new article 1721(1)(a)(vi) of the BJC, the setting aside of an arbitral award at the seat of the arbitration is a ground for refusal of its recognition and enforcement. However, it can be argued that the enforcement court retains discretion under article V of the New York Convention in this respect (hence the same argument can be made with respect to article 1721(1)(a)(vi) of the BJC).

Under the former regime of the BJC, the setting aside of an arbitral award was not one of the grounds for refusal of recognition and enforcement (former article 1723). Therefore, several prominent authors have argued that Belgian law was more favourable and had to prevail based on article VII(1) of the New York Convention.

---

## Service

**31 What is the procedure for service of extrajudicial and judicial documents to a defendant in your jurisdiction? If the extrajudicial and judicial documents are drafted in a language other than the official language of your jurisdiction, is it necessary to serve these documents with a translation?**

Service of judicial and extrajudicial documents in Belgium is carried out by bailiffs. They are the only officers entitled to perform that mission pursuant to the BJC. The service must occur in the language of the region in which the service will be carried out (Dutch, French or German). The exequatur order, which must be served on the defendant, includes the arbitral award in its entirety, which will already have been translated at this point.

**32 What is the procedure for service of extrajudicial and judicial documents to a defendant outside your jurisdiction? Is it necessary to serve these documents with a translation in the language of this jurisdiction? Is your jurisdiction a party to the 1965 Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents in Civil or Commercial Matters (Hague Service Convention)? Is your jurisdiction a party to other treaties on the same subject matter?**

Different regimes are potentially applicable for the service of extrajudicial and judicial documents abroad depending on the state addressed.

In principle, service on a defendant who is not domiciled or has no (chosen) place of residence in Belgium is governed by the BJC, more specifically article 40, which provides that service occurs by registered mail through normal postal services, and that the service is deemed complete at the time of delivery of the documents to the postal services against receipt. However, international agreements take precedence over the general rule of domestic law. Hence the procedures set forth at the European and international levels (as set out below) will supersede article 40 of the BJC.

Service from and to member states of the European Union is regulated by Regulation (EU) 2020/1784 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2020 on the service in the member states of judicial and extrajudicial documents in civil or commercial matters (service of documents) (recast), which replaces Regulation (EC) No. 1393/2007 of 13 November 2007 on the service in the

member states of judicial and extrajudicial documents in civil or commercial matters. Regulation 2020/1784 provides a procedure for the service of documents via designated 'transmitting agencies' and 'receiving agencies' between EU countries, including Denmark. A transmitting agency transmits documents to a receiving agency, which 'serve[s] the document or ha[s] it served, either in accordance with the law of the Member State addressed or by a particular method requested by the transmitting agency, unless that method is incompatible with the law of that Member State' (Regulation 2020/1784, article 11(1)). With the 2020 reform, it is now also possible, under certain conditions, to serve judicial documents directly on a person who has a known address for service in another member state by any electronic means of service available under the law of the forum member state for the domestic service of documents (Regulation 2020/1784, article 19). With respect to translation, Regulation 2020/1784 provides that in:

*"all cases where the document to be served is not in the official language or one of the official languages of the place of service, the receiving agency should inform the addressee in writing . . . that the addressee can refuse to accept the document to be served if it is neither in a language which the addressee understands nor in the official language or one of the official languages of the place of service. (Preamble)"*

This right of refusal also applies in respect of service by diplomatic agents or consular officers, service by postal services, electronic service and direct service. Regulation 2020/1784 provides that it 'should be possible to remedy the service of the refused document by serving a translation of the document on the addressee' and that if a translation is attached, it 'should be certified or otherwise deemed suitable for proceedings in accordance with the law of the Member State of origin'.

Service in states outside the European Union is regulated by the 1965 Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents in Civil or Commercial Matters (Hague Service Convention), for those states that have ratified the Convention. The Convention provides that the authority or judicial officer competent under the law of the state in which the documents originate (in Belgium, the bailiff is a competent judicial officer) shall forward a request to the central authority of the state addressed (as designated by that state – in Belgium, the Federal Public Service for the Judiciary). In this respect, the Belgian Supreme Court has admitted the 'double date theory', determining that the service of judicial acts is deemed to be accomplished towards the served party from the date this party actually receives the served act. Towards the serving party, service under article 3 of the Convention is considered effective when the judicial act is handed over to the postal service of the state of origin with notice of registered sending, and therefore prior to the actual receipt of the act by the served party. The Convention allows for service by way of alternative channels (such as registered mail), on the condition that the contracting states did not issue an objection in that regard. The Convention provides that if 'the Central Authority may require the document to be written in, or translated into, the official language or one of the official languages of the State addressed.'

Judicial and extrajudicial documents can also be served through diplomatic channels, especially when they are to be served on sovereign states.

---

## Identification of assets

### **33 Are there any databases or publicly available registers allowing the identification of an award debtor's assets within your jurisdiction? Are there any databases or publicly available registers providing information on award debtors' interests in other companies?**

Article 22 of the Belgian Constitution protects the right of the debtor to privacy, including the privacy of its estate. Therefore, only restricted means exist to identify the assets of an award debtor located in Belgium. Public registers are available for immovable property (land and mortgage registers) but not for other types of assets (movable and intangible properties).

Usually award creditors use publicly available information, run private investigations or perform third-party attachments (garnishments) with banks and financial institutions to identify assets in Belgium.

There are no specific databases or publicly available registers providing information on award debtors' interests in other companies. However, this information can in some cases be traced by consulting the annual accounts of companies that are published by the National Bank of Belgium.

### **34 Are there any proceedings allowing for the disclosure of information about an award debtor within your jurisdiction?**

Under Belgian law, it is possible to request investigatory measures from a court, which allow the collection of evidence and potential disclosure of assets of a certain party located in Belgium. Specifically, pursuant to article 877 of the BJC, a party may request an order from the competent court forcing a debtor to disclose specific documents. Courts will only order a party (or a third party) to file a document containing evidence of a relevant fact if there are serious and certain indications that a party or a third party holds that document. Although this option is only available in the course of court proceedings, investigatory measures can also be requested by means of an ex parte application if the applicant demonstrates an absolute necessity to waive adversarial proceedings (ie, extreme urgency, the need to benefit from a surprise element or it being impossible to identify the adverse party).

Additionally, the Belgian legislature introduced a new procedure in articles 1447/1 and 1447/2 of the BJC for creditors in Belgium seeking to identify assets of their debtor located in Belgium (modelled after the procedure set forth in Regulation (EU) No. 655/2014 of 15 May 2014 establishing a European Account Preservation Order procedure to facilitate cross-border debt recovery in civil and commercial matters). The operability of these provisions was linked to an update of the central register system of the National Bank of Belgium, which was installed on 30 June 2020 and is now operational. The procedure allows a judgment creditor who has reasons to believe that the judgment debtor holds one or more accounts with a bank in Belgium, but cannot identify the bank, or banks, to nevertheless initiate third-party garnishment proceedings (without thereby identifying the third party or bank, which is normally a requirement) and at the same time request the court to obtain the information necessary to allow the bank and the debtor's account to be identified from the information authority (the National Chamber of Bailiffs). The National Chamber of Bailiffs has access to a central register operating as a centralised electronic database of information regarding accounts and financial contracts (this register was updated to an automated and permanently updated system that holds real-time information in the course of 2020, as mentioned above). As soon as the court receives the requested information, it decides on the relating third-party garnishment. Although this procedure does require the judgment creditor to provide the court with some indications that the judgment debtor holds accounts with certain banks in Belgium, it certainly facilitates asset discovery and, hence, debt recovery in purely domestic cases (indeed, the procedure after which it was modelled exists only in cases of cross-border enforcement within the European Union pursuant to Regulation No. 655/2014).

Foreign creditors are already able to submit a request to obtain account information pursuant to article 555/1, section 1, 25° and section 2 of the BJC (implementing Regulation No. 655/2014). The National Bailiffs' Association of Belgium was appointed as information authority on 2 July 2018 and the corresponding procedure entered into force on 1 January 2019.

---

## **Enforcement proceedings**

### **35 What kinds of assets can be attached within your jurisdiction?**

The two types of attachments that can be made are:

- attachments of immovable assets of the debtor (such as real estate property); and



- attachments of movable assets, which will usually take the form of third-party attachments, namely the attachment by the creditor of a claim owed by a third party to the debtor.

### **36 Are interim measures against assets available in your jurisdiction?**

Article 1413 et seq. of the BJC authorise award creditors to apply for conservatory attachments against assets of their debtor. Conservatory attachments operate like freezing orders.

Conservatory attachments are valid for a (renewable) three-year period from the date of their service on the debtor by the bailiff.

Other types of interim measures that are possible include requesting an order for security, a specific guarantee or the appointment of a court receiver who can keep and preserve movable assets during the course of the proceedings. The rules concern conservatory attachments (which are the most frequently applied in Belgium).

### **37 What is the procedure to apply interim measures against assets in your jurisdiction?**

The following conditions are required to apply for a conservatory attachment against assets in Belgium:

- a valid title (ie, a claim that is certain and due, and definite or subject to a provisional estimate) (BJC, article 1415, section 1); and
- urgency (BJC, article 1413), to be determined on the basis of objective criteria.

However, article 1414 of the BJC provides that a judgment, even not enforceable, can serve as an authorisation to lay interim measures on assets of the debtor. For the purposes of article 1414, non-recognised foreign arbitral awards are equally considered as judgments provided that a treaty exists between Belgium and the state where the award was made.

Moreover, garnishments of bank accounts (or of other types of claims held by a debtor in Belgium) can be made without prior authorisation.

### **38 What is the procedure for interim measures against immovable property within your jurisdiction?**

Apart from the general rules relating to application for interim measures against assets, specific documentation has to be filed with the court of attachments with the ex parte application, namely an extract from the land register pertaining to the immovable property targeted by the interim measure and a certificate from the General Administration of the Patrimony Documentation, indicating, if applicable, all existing registrations and all orders or attachments concerning these assets (BJC, article 1430).

If the court of attachments grants the authorisation, its order has to be served on the debtor. To be valid, the conservatory attachment on immovable property must be registered with the competent office of the General Administration of the Patrimony Documentation of the place where the property is situated.

The debtor has one month to lodge an appeal against the order of the court of attachments from the date of its service by the bailiff.

### **39 What is the procedure for interim measures against movable property within your jurisdiction?**

There are no specific rules dealing with conservatory attachments against movable property (other than the general rules for the application of interim measures against assets).

Once the authorisation is granted by the court of attachments, the order has to be served on the debtor. An appeal may be lodged within a month of the date of service.



#### **40 What is the procedure for interim measures against intangible property within your jurisdiction?**

A prior authorisation of the court of attachments is required, in principle. However, in respect of intangible assets, pursuant to Article 1445 of the BJC, garnishments may be made on the basis of a 'private title', without prior authorisation of the court of attachments.

An order of the court of attachments or a writ of attachment (if no authorisation has been requested) must be served by a bailiff on the garnishees listed in that document (generally, banks, financial institutions and companies). The garnishees have 15 days from the date of the service to issue a declaration of every debt they owe the principal debtor as well as their origin, amount, and terms and conditions. If they fail to do so, garnishees may be summoned before the court of attachments to be declared themselves debtor of all or part of the principal claim (and costs). Moreover, as soon as the order or the writ has been served on the garnishees, they may no longer relinquish any sums or securities that form the object of the attachment, again under penalty of being declared debtor of the principal claim (and costs) themselves.

The garnishments must be notified to the debtor within eight days of the service on the garnishees by the bailiff. A challenge can be lodged within a month of the date of that notification.

#### **41 What is the procedure to attach assets in your jurisdiction?**

To lay an 'executorial' attachment on assets (ie, an attachment that will enable the creditor to be paid out of the value of the assets), the creditor must hold an enforceable title (eg, the exequatur order enforcing the arbitral award). Once this title is granted, the creditor can either convert a conservatory attachment measure into an executorial attachment, or lay an autonomous executorial attachment.

According to articles 1491 and 1497 of the BJC, if a conservatory attachment was made pending the grant of an enforceable title, no new attachment is required to convert the interim measure into an executorial attachment. The service of the exequatur order on the debtor will automatically convert the conservatory attachment into an executorial one. However, if an appeal has been lodged against the interim measure, article 1491(3) of the BJC provides that the conversion is delayed until a judgment is handed down by the court of attachments.

To avoid the risk of a delay in the conversion of the interim measure into an executorial attachment, the creditor may choose to lay an autonomous executorial attachment based on the title obtained in the meantime. The autonomous attachment can be made from the day after service of the title on the debtor.

#### **42 What is the procedure for enforcement measures against immovable property within your jurisdiction?**

The 'executorial' attachment of immovable property is preceded by the service of a prior notice to pay under the penalty of attachment. To save time, service of the prior notice can be made at the same time as service of the enforceable title on the debtor. The prior notice is registered with the competent office of the General Administration of the Patrimony Documentation of the place where the property is situated. From that point, the immovable property cannot be disposed of.

Service of the writ of executorial attachment can only be performed 15 days after service of the prior notice on the debtor (BJC, article 1566). Furthermore, the attachment will have to be registered with the competent office of the General Administration of Property Documentation of the place where the property is located, for the portion of the attached property located in that district, within 15 days (BJC, article 1569).

After the registration of the attachment, the creditor has one month to file an ex parte application with the court of attachments to request the appointment of a notary to proceed with the auction of the attached property (BJC, article 1580). A challenge may be brought by the debtor no later than one month after service of that order.

According to the BJC, a public auction shall take place within six months of the order appointing the notary (in principle, an appeal by the debtor against the appointment order does not stay the auction process). Meanwhile, the notary gathers information (title deeds, land plans, etc) and visits the attached immovable property to draw up the terms of sale. The terms of sale have to be served on the interested parties at least one month prior to the first auction session. Those terms can be challenged within eight days of their service (on form and substance). Once any dispute on the terms of sale is settled by the court of attachments, the public auction can take place. In principle, the property is allocated to the highest bidder.

#### **43 What is the procedure for enforcement measures against movable property within your jurisdiction?**

The 'executorial' attachment of movable property is preceded by service of a prior notice to pay under the penalty of attachment. To save time, service of the prior notice can be made at the same time as service of the enforceable title on the debtor. There must be at least one day between service of the prior notice and the laying of the attachment.

The bailiff will draw up a report describing precisely and in detail the attached movable property. This report is either given to or served on the debtor. The auction will take place one month after this service. In principle, movable property is allocated to the highest bidder.

#### **44 What is the procedure for enforcement measures against intangible property within your jurisdiction?**

Similarly to conservatory garnishments, an attachment writ served on garnishees must be notified to the debtor within eight days (BJC, article 1539, last section). The debtor has 15 days to challenge the garnishment. Article 1543 of the BJC provides that if the debtor has not challenged the attachment within the 15 days, the garnishee(s) shall transfer the attached monies (their debts towards the principal debtor) up to the amount of the principal claim of the creditor. The monies will be transferred in the hands of the bailiff, at the earliest, two days after expiry of the 15-day deadline. If the debtor challenges the attachment, any transfer of funds to the bailiff will be stayed until a decision is handed down by the court of attachments.

#### **45 Are there specific rules applicable to the attachment of assets held by banks? Is it possible to attach in your jurisdiction sums deposited in bank accounts opened in a branch or subsidiary of a foreign bank located in your jurisdiction or abroad? Is it possible to attach in your jurisdiction the bank accounts opened in a branch or subsidiary of a domestic bank located abroad?**

In principle, Belgian courts only have jurisdiction to order enforcement measures that have effect on the Belgian territory. This follows from the principle of territoriality. In other words, a Belgian attachment judge may grant authorisation only for attachment measures against assets that are situated in Belgium. The question, therefore, is whether the assets (here, bank accounts opened in a branch or subsidiary of either a foreign bank located in Belgium or abroad, or of a domestic bank located abroad) may be deemed to be located on the Belgian territory.

The Belgian Supreme Court specified in its Hemisphere judgment of 26 September 2008 that, pursuant to the principle of territoriality, a Belgian judge cannot order an attachment on assets situated on the territory of a foreign state, nor can a creditor instruct a bailiff to garnish assets of its debtor that are not situated in Belgium. In Hemisphere, the Court confirmed that a (third-party) attachment can be levied on a foreign legal entity that has a branch in Belgium, if the claims of the third party on the foreign legal entity relate to the activities of the branch.

---

## Recognition and enforcement against foreign states

### **46 Are there any rules in your jurisdiction that specifically govern recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards against foreign states?**

The Act of 17 July 1970 implementing the ICSID Convention (Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes Between States and Nationals of Other States) in Belgium sets out a specific regime applicable to the recognition and enforcement of ICSID arbitral awards. Article 3 of the Act of 1970 provides that the Belgian Ministry for Foreign Affairs is entitled to validate the authenticity of the awards for recognition and enforcement purposes. This is done simply by presenting a certified copy of the foreign arbitral award (signed and certified by the Secretary General of the ICSID Secretariat) to the competent ministry. The verified and certified documents are then transmitted by the Ministry of Justice to the Chief Clerk of the Court of Appeal of Brussels to grant the exequatur to the arbitral awards.

The Belgian Ministry for Foreign Affairs may not review the substance of the ICSID award. The only criterion that must be met is that the ICSID award must be authentic.

There are no other domestic rules that specifically govern recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards against foreign states. If the award is not an ICSID award, the general rules will apply.

### **47 What is the procedure for service of extrajudicial and judicial documents to a foreign state? Is it necessary to serve extrajudicial and judicial documents with a translation in the language of the foreign state?**

Unless provided otherwise by a treaty, judicial and extrajudicial documents intended for service on sovereign states are usually served through diplomatic channels.

No specific provision of the BJC governs diplomatic service, which is based on an international custom, recognised and admitted in Belgium. In practice, when judicial and extrajudicial documents are intended for service on sovereign states, they are transmitted by bailiffs to the foreign government through the Belgian Ministry for Foreign Affairs. The Ministry acts as intermediary by sending the documents to the Belgian Embassy located in the foreign state. The Embassy then forwards the documents to the competent local authority. In general, a copy of the judicial and extrajudicial documents is also sent by the Ministry for Foreign Affairs to the diplomatic mission of the foreign state in Belgium, for information purposes.

No specific provisions of the BJC govern the need for translation of extrajudicial or judicial documents for service to a foreign state, but translations will normally be provided.

### **48 May a foreign state invoke sovereign immunity (immunity from jurisdiction) to object to the recognition or enforcement of arbitral awards?**

State immunity from jurisdiction in Belgium is governed by customary international law as interpreted and applied by the Belgian courts. In principle, there are two international instruments pertaining to state immunity, namely the European Convention on State Immunity (ECSI) and the UN Convention on Jurisdictional Immunities of States and Their Property of 2004 (UNCISJ). Belgium has signed the UNCISJ, but the treaty has not yet entered into force. Nevertheless, its provisions already have a large impact on the regime of sovereign immunity law and reflect the current state of customary international law.

Pursuant to article 17 of the UNCISJ (which is in line with article 12 of the ECSI), if a state enters into an agreement in writing with a foreign natural or juridical person to submit to arbitration disputes relating to a commercial transaction, that state cannot invoke immunity from jurisdiction before a court of another state that is otherwise competent in proceedings that relate to:

- the validity, interpretation or application of the arbitration agreement;
- the arbitration procedure; or

- the confirmation or the setting aside of the award,
- unless the arbitration agreement otherwise provides.

#### **49 May award creditors apply interim measures against assets owned by a sovereign state?**

Following an amendment of the BJC by law dated 23 August 2015, any measures of enforcement, including conservatory garnishments, against assets owned by a foreign state will only be successful if an exception enshrined in article 1412-quinquies, section 2 of the BJC applies (ie, when the assets are not covered by sovereign immunity).

#### **50 Are assets belonging to a foreign state immune from enforcement in your jurisdiction? Are there exceptions to immunity?**

Subject to the application of mandatory supranational and international provisions, the assets of a foreign state located in Belgium, including bank accounts held or managed by that foreign state, particularly in the exercise of functions of diplomatic representation of the foreign state or its consular posts, its special missions, its representations to international organisations or delegations to bodies of international organisations or to international conferences, are immune from enforcement (BJC, article 1412-quinquies, section 1).

Pursuant to article 1412-quinquies, section 2 of the BJC, however, there are three specific exceptions to the immunity from enforcement against assets belonging to a foreign state:

- the foreign state has ‘explicitly’ consented to enforcement against the assets. The Belgian Constitutional Court determined in 2017 that the requirement that the consent also be ‘specific’ (as the law still reads) only applies with regard to diplomatic assets;
- the foreign state has specifically allocated these assets to the enforcement of the claim that forms the basis of the application for enforcement; and
- the assets are specifically used or allocated to an economic or commercial activity and are located in Belgium.

The party seeking to enforce against the assets of a foreign state must obtain prior authorisation from an attachment judge, who will determine whether one of the above-mentioned exclusions applies. This is so even if, under the general rules, prior authorisation would not be required.

Otherwise, state immunities are governed by customary international law as interpreted and applied by Belgian courts. Belgium has signed the UN Convention on Jurisdictional Immunities of States and Their Property, but that treaty has not yet entered into force.

#### **51 Is it possible for a foreign state to waive immunity from enforcement in your jurisdiction? What are the requirements of waiver?**

It is possible for a foreign state to waive its state immunity from enforcement. The waiver needs to be explicit.

Assets used or intended to be used for diplomatic purposes, including bank accounts, are covered by a special immunity from enforcement by virtue of customary international law and the 1961 Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations. Waiver of diplomatic immunity from enforcement needs to be explicit and specific.

There is little authority on the persons or organs of state entitled to waive immunity from enforcement. According to legal literature, the issue is governed by the law of the foreign state concerned.

**52 Is it possible for a creditor of an award rendered against a foreign state to attach the assets held by an alter ego of the foreign state within your jurisdiction?**

In principle, although this matter remains controversial, the creditor of an award rendered against a foreign state cannot attach the assets held by an alter ego of the foreign state, except in the case of simulation.

The Belgian Supreme Court has confirmed that the attachment judge is competent to decide on questions of simulation.



### **Hakim Boularbah**

Loyens & Loeff

Hakim Boularbah (partner) is recognised as an expert in civil and commercial litigation and arbitration at national, European, and international levels. His practice covers civil and commercial litigation and arbitration, especially where it presents an urgent or a cross-border dimension. Hakim has an extensive practice in enforcement of foreign judgments or awards (especially against sovereigns) as well as in obtaining interim relief measures and protective measures or in opposing them. Hakim is professor of civil procedure law at the University of Liège (ULiège). He is the author of numerous books and publications on judicial law, private international law and arbitration. He holds a law degree (1996) and a PhD (2007) from the University of Brussels (ULB). Hakim heads up the Litigation and Arbitration Practice of Loyens & Loeff's Brussels office.



### **Olivier van der Haegen**

Loyens & Loeff

Olivier van der Haegen (partner) specialises in international commercial arbitration and litigation. He has represented clients in multiple international commercial arbitrations, either ad hoc or under institutional rules. He also handles litigations before Belgian courts in the real estate, construction, energy, food and media industries. He holds a law degree from the Université Catholique de Louvain (2008), LLM degrees from the College of Europe (Bruges, 2009) and the University of Chicago (2010).



### **Anaïs Mallien**

Loyens & Loeff

Anaïs Mallien specialises in national and international dispute resolution with a particular focus on complex civil and commercial disputes and the enforcement of domestic and foreign judgments and arbitral awards. She is a lawyer at the Brussels Bar (2017) with a law degree from King's College London (LLM, 2017) and the University of Brussels (2016).

---

# Loyens & Loeff

---

As a leading firm, Loyens & Loeff is the natural choice for a legal and tax partner if you do business in or from the Netherlands, Belgium, Luxembourg and Switzerland, our home markets. You can count on personal advice from any of our 900 advisers based in one of our offices in the Benelux and Switzerland or in key financial centres around the world. Thanks to our full-service practice, specific sector experience and thorough understanding of the market, our advisers comprehend exactly what you need. As a fully independent law firm, Loyens & Loeff is excellently positioned to coordinate international tax and legal matters. We have our own network of offices in major financial centres, staffed with specialists in Dutch, Belgian, Luxembourg and Swiss law. Our office network is complemented by several country desks all of which are experienced in structuring investments all over the world. Moreover, we are on excellent terms with other leading independent law firms and tax consultants. That way, we can guarantee you top-level advice in every part of the world. Each problem requires a customised solution. Our pragmatic approach and drive to devise innovative solutions allow us to effectively address the demands of our clients' domestic and international businesses. Thanks to the broad range of our legal experience, know-how and the size of our practices, we can offer you top-level advice, locally and internationally. We are committed to meeting your needs at the highest quality level in the most efficient way.

---

Tervurenlaan 2  
B-1040  
Belgium  
Tel: +32 2 743 43 43  
Fax: +32 2 743 43 10

[www.loyensloeff.com](http://www.loyensloeff.com)

**Hakim Boularbah**  
[hakim.boularbah@loyensloeff.com](mailto:hakim.boularbah@loyensloeff.com)

**Olivier van der Haegen**  
[olivier.van.der.haegen@loyensloeff.com](mailto:olivier.van.der.haegen@loyensloeff.com)

**Anaïs Mallien**  
[anaïs.mallien@loyensloeff.com](mailto:anaïs.mallien@loyensloeff.com)